The netrin receptor DCC focuses invadopodia-driven basement membrane transmigration in vivo
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Introduction

Basement membrane is a thin, dense, extracellular matrix that surrounds most tissues, acting as a physiological barrier to maintain tissue homeostasis (Kalluri, 2003). Several cell types, including those undergoing epithelial–mesenchymal transition, migrating neural crest and muscle, implanting trophoblast, and leukocytes have the specialized ability to breach and cross basement membrane barriers (Hughes and Blau, 1990; Poelmann et al., 1990; Sato et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2006; Nakaya et al., 2008). Acquisition of cell-invasive activity is also critical for the deadly spread of most cancers (Valastyan and Weinberg, 2011).

Traversing basement membrane requires several distinct cellular processes, including matrix adhesion, basement membrane breaching and removal, passage through the basement membrane barrier, and integration into the neighboring tissue. The difficulty of visualizing and experimentally examining cell–basement membrane interactions during invasion in vivo has greatly limited our understanding of how cells coordinate these tasks during basement membrane transmigration (Rowe and Weiss, 2008; Madsen and Sahai, 2010).

Though critical to normal development and cancer metastasis, how cells traverse basement membranes is poorly understood. A central impediment has been the challenge of visualizing invasive cell interactions with basement membrane in vivo. By developing live-cell imaging methods to follow anchor cell (AC) invasion in Caenorhabditis elegans, we identify F-actin–based invadopodia that breach basement membrane. When an invadopodium penetrates basement membrane, it rapidly transitions into a stable invasive process that expands the breach and crosses into the vulval tissue. We find that the netrin receptor UNC-40 (DCC) specifically enriches at the site of basement membrane breach and that activation by UNC-6 (netrin) directs focused F-actin formation, generating the invasive protrusion and the cessation of invadopodia. Using optical highlighting of basement membrane components, we further demonstrate that rather than relying solely on proteolytic dissolution, the AC’s protrusion physically displaces basement membrane. These studies reveal an UNC-40–mediated morphogenetic transition at the cell–basement membrane interface that directs invading cells across basement membrane barriers.
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By developing methods for time-lapse microscopy of AC invasion, we identify here highly dynamic, integrin-dependent, F-actin–based structures that breach the basement membrane. These protrusive, F-actin–rich foci share molecular composition and activity with invadopodia, invasive structures identified in transformed and highly invasive cancer cells in vitro (David-Pfeuty and Singer, 1980; Chen, 1989; Murphy and Courtneidge, 2011). Many invadopodia form and turn over within the AC before invasion, but usually only one penetrates the basement membrane and then gives rise to a stable protrusion that invades the vulval tissue. We find that the netrin receptor UNC-40 (DCC) is highly enriched at sites of basement membrane breach and within the invasive protrusion. Animals lacking UNC-40 fail to form an invasive protrusion and have persistent invadopodia, multiple basement membrane breaches, and inefficient basement membrane removal. Using optical highlighting of basement membrane components, we show that this UNC-40–dependent invasive protrusion accelerates basement membrane removal in part by physically displacing this barrier. Together, these studies reveal an UNC-40 (DCC)–dependent mechanism that ensures focused invasion at nascent sites of basement membrane breach.

**Results**

Dynamic F-actin–based AC-invadopodia breach the basement membrane

To investigate how the AC crosses the basement membrane, we developed methods combining nontoxic anesthesia, multidimensional time-lapse microscopy, and quantitative image analysis to view the invasive cell–basement membrane interface in real time (Fig. 1 A and Video 1). Actin dynamics and basement membrane integrity were simultaneously visualized using animals expressing AC-specific F-actin probes (cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD or cdh-3 > Lifeact::GFP) and functional transgenes for the basement membrane components laminin (laminin::GFP) and type IV collagen (emb-9::mCherry; Ziel et al., 2009; Ihara et al., 2011). Beginning 3 h before invasion, F-actin within the AC was polarized toward the basement membrane and organized into highly dynamic punctate structures with lifetimes on a timescale of minutes (Fig. 1 B; Fig. S1, B and E; and Video 1). Quantitative image analysis revealed these F-actin foci had a mean diameter of 1.00 ± 0.38 µm (±SD, n = 8,182 structures from 10 animals) and a median lifetime of 45 s (ranging from 15 to 930 s). Before invasion, nascent structures formed at a steady rate of 2.8 ± 0.4 structures per minute and there were on average 11 ± 3 structures present (Fig. S1 E).

F-actin–rich, matrix-degrading membrane structures called invadopodia have been identified in a variety of malignant cancer cell lines, as well as transformed fibroblasts and zebrafish epithelial cells (Linder et al., 2011; Murphy and Courtneidge, 2011; Seiler et al., 2012). Invadopodia are defined by their localization at sites of extracellular matrix removal, association with invasive cells, and highly protrusive nature (Linder et al., 2011; Murphy and Courtneidge, 2011). To determine if the F-actin structures in the AC were similar to invadopodia, we first examined their relationship to the basement membrane. High-resolution lateral perspective imaging at single time points revealed that these F-actin foci were highly protrusive, often appearing to depress the underlying basement membrane (Fig. 1 C and see Materials and methods). Time-lapse imaging from the ventral perspective showed that these structures always presaged and then localized to the site of the initial visible basement membrane breach (Fig. 1, D and E; Fig. S1 C; and Video 2; n = 8/8 animals observed). Strikingly, in most cases only one or two basement membrane breaches were made within a narrow 15-min period during the mid-to-late L3 larval stage (n = 6/12 animals had one breach, 3/12 two, 1/12 three, and 2/12 four; Fig. 2 C), usually occurring within the central region of the AC’s footprint (Fig. S1 D). The protrusive nature of these F-actin structures and their localization at sites of basement membrane breach strongly suggest these are in vivo invadopodia.

Although there is no single molecular marker of invadopodia, many actin regulators are known to associate with these structures (Saltel et al., 2011; Klemke, 2012). We recently demonstrated that several actin regulators that are connected with invadopodia in vitro localize to the invasive cell membrane of the AC before invasion (Ziel et al., 2009). These include the Ena/VASP orthologue UNC-34, the phospholipid phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (P(l),45P), and the Rac GTPases MIG-2 and CED-10 (Nakahara et al., 2003; Philippar et al., 2008). Quantitative analysis revealed that all of these molecules coloclated with the F-actin foci in the AC (Fig. S2 A). Importantly, the cell membrane marker FM1-43 was found uniformly in the AC’s invasive cell membrane, indicating that these structures are specific molecular enrichments as opposed to general accumulations of membrane (Fig. S2 B). In vitro studies have also demonstrated that engagement of the extracellular matrix receptor integrin is a prerequisite for invadopodia formation (Destaing et al., 2010). Notably, RNAi-mediated depletion of the integrin heterodimer INA-1/PAT-3, the sole integrin expressed in the AC (Hagedorn et al., 2009), dramatically reduced F-actin structure formation (Fig. S2 C; n = 8/8 animals). Collectively our results offer compelling evidence that these F-actin foci are AC-invadopodia.

An invadopodia-to-invasive protrusion transition drives the AC through a single basement membrane breach and into the vulval tissue

To determine how AC-invadopodia contribute to basement membrane transmigration, we followed the fate of the invadopodia that breached the basement membrane. We found that soon after breaching, an infiltrated invadopodium matured into an invasive process that expanded through the basement membrane and into the underlying vulval tissue (over an ~1-h time frame and at a rate of 1.08 ± 0.42 µm²/min; Fig. 2, A and C; and Video 3; n = 13 animals observed). At the onset of protrusion extension, AC-invadopodia ceased to form and F-actin enriched in the expanding cellular process (Video 4; n = 18/18 animals). As the invasive protrusion expanded ventrally, the corresponding breach widened radially until the completion of invasion (rate of 0.20 ± 0.06 µm²/min; Fig. 2, B and C; and http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201301091/DC1/Video 4; n = 13 animals). During this time the neck of the invading cellular protrusion...
molecular signal might specify or reinforce the site of basement membrane breach. We have previously shown that UNC-6 (netrin) secreted from the ventral nerve cord (VNC) adjacent to the vulval cells promotes AC invasion, in part, by targeting its receptor UNC-40 (DCC) to the invasive cell membrane (Fig. S1 A; Ziel et al., 2009). To determine whether netrin signaling might select a site for basement membrane breach, we examined the localization of a functional GFP fusion to the netrin receptor UNC-40 (DCC). UNC-40::GFP was present throughout the invasive cell membrane before invasion, but did not tightly colocalize with the AC-invadopodia (Fig. S3 A). Consistent with this localization, loss of *unc-40* did not alter invadopodia formation or their dynamics before invasion (Fig. S3, B and C). Strikingly, however, UNC-40 enriched at nascent basement membrane breaches (Fig. 3, A and B; and Video 5). This enrichment in UNC-40 occurred ~20 min before the formation of a visible basement membrane breach, suggesting UNC-40 might promote basement membrane opening (Fig. 3 B).

**UNC-40 (DCC) enriches at sites of basement membrane breach**

The observation that hundreds of invadopodia form and turn over before invasion, but only one or two basement membrane breaches form, suggested that a molecular signal might specify or reinforce the site of basement membrane breach. We have previously shown that UNC-6 (netrin) secreted from the ventral nerve cord (VNC) adjacent to the vulval cells promotes AC invasion, in part, by targeting its receptor UNC-40 (DCC) to the invasive cell membrane (Fig. S1 A; Ziel et al., 2009). To determine whether netrin signaling might select a site for basement membrane breach, we examined the localization of a functional GFP fusion to the netrin receptor UNC-40 (DCC). UNC-40::GFP was present throughout the invasive cell membrane before invasion, but did not tightly colocalize with the AC-invadopodia (Fig. S3 A). Consistent with this localization, loss of *unc-40* did not alter invadopodia formation or their dynamics before invasion (Fig. S3, B and C). Strikingly, however, UNC-40 enriched at nascent basement membrane breaches (Fig. 3, A and B; and Video 5). This enrichment in UNC-40 occurred ~20 min before the formation of a visible basement membrane breach, suggesting UNC-40 might promote basement membrane opening (Fig. 3 B).
Collectively, these data indicate that UNC-40 mediates a morphogenetic transition that promotes the maturation of an invadopodium into a stable invasive protrusion, which leads to the cessation of further invadopodia formation, invasion into the neighboring vulval tissue, and accelerated basement membrane removal.

UNC-40 activity is dependent on UNC-6 during invadopodia maturation

We next examined the relationship between UNC-40 and UNC-6 during invadopodia maturation. UNC-6 is secreted from the VNC adjacent to the vulval cells, and we have previously shown that low, uniform levels of the functional reporter UNC-6::Venus are present in the basement membrane at the time of invasion (Ziel et al., 2009). We found that unc-6 (ev400) mutants displayed a phenotype largely similar to loss of unc-40—there was a delay in basement membrane breaching, a multiple basement membrane hole phenotype, and a complete failure to extend an invasive protrusion through the basement membrane (Fig. 4, A–D). Notably, the multiple basement membrane hole phenotype was more severe in unc-40 mutants, suggesting that this activity is not fully dependent on UNC-6 (Fig. 4 B). Examination of UNC-40::GFP in unc-6 (ev400) mutants also revealed that UNC-40::GFP still enriched at basement membrane breaches (Fig. S4 C). These observations suggest that UNC-6 activates the UNC-40 receptor, but that another mechanism must exist to selectively localize UNC-40 to the site of basement membrane breach.

Figure 2. Invadopodia give rise to an invasive protrusion that removes basement membrane.

(A) A lateral view time series shows the growth of the invasive membrane protrusion (viewed with the AC-specific PI(4,5)P2 probe cdh-3 > mCherry::PLCδ1 in cyan [top; grayscale, bottom]) as it advances through the basement membrane (viewed with laminin::GFP in magenta [top]) and into the vulval epithelium.

(B) A ventral view time series shows the expanding hole in the basement membrane that forms during AC invasion. The yellow arrows indicate a second breach that is overcome by the expanding basement membrane gap opening where the invasive protrusion is growing. Bars, 5 µm. (C) The graphs display the growth rate of the invasive protrusion (left) and dynamics of basement membrane gap formation (middle and right). Black dotted lines represent the mean rate of invasive protrusion expansion (1.08 ± 0.42 µm³/min ±SD, mean r² = 0.83, n = 13) and basement membrane hole expansion (0.20 ± 0.06 µm²/min ±SD, mean r² = 0.97, n = 13).

Supporting this notion, we found that in unc-40 (e271) mutant animals the initial visible breach was delayed by ∼1.5 h (Fig. 3 C). We conclude that UNC-40 (DCC) does not regulate invadopodia formation or dynamics, but has a role in promoting visible basement membrane gap formation.

UNC-40 (DCC) mediates invasive protrusion formation

The specific localization of UNC-40::GFP at sites of basement membrane breach led us to investigate the role of UNC-40 in building the stable invasive protrusion that forms shortly after basement membrane penetration. Notably, UNC-40::GFP continued to further enrich during basement membrane opening and localized to the invasive process that extended into the vulval tissue (Fig. 3 B and Fig. S4 A). This specific enrichment suggested that UNC-40 might promote basement membrane opening and the transition of invadopodia into an invasive process that switches the invasive state of the AC from invadopodia-driven basement membrane breaching to invasive protrusion-directed basement membrane crossing. Consistent with this idea, though delayed in breaching, in unc-40 mutant animals the invadopodia persisted after visible basement membrane gaps were formed, often resulting in excessive breaches (as many as seven; Fig. 4, A and B; and Fig. S4 B). Furthermore, we never observed the formation of a significant invasive protrusion after loss of unc-40 (Fig. 4, C and D). The hole in the basement membrane of unc-40 mutant animals widened at half the rate of wild-type animals (Fig. 4, E and F; and Video 6), suggesting that the protrusion is important for basement membrane gap opening. Collectively, these data indicate that UNC-40 mediates a morphogenetic transition that promotes the maturation of an invadopodium into a stable invasive protrusion, which leads to the cessation of further invadopodia formation, invasion into the neighboring vulval tissue, and accelerated basement membrane removal.
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Figure 3. **UNC-40 (DCC) presages and then enriches at the basement membrane breach.** [A] The netrin receptor UNC-40::GFP (middle, arrow) enriches at the site of basement membrane breach (right, arrow). [B] A ventral view time series shows that UNC-40::GFP (middle row, arrows) presages and then progressively enriches at the basement membrane breach as it expands (bottom, arrows). [C] Timing of basement membrane breach in wild-type, unc-40 (e271), and unc-6 (ev400) mutant animals (n ≥ 14 animals observed for each genotype, at each time point). Timing was assigned in relationship to the divisions of the neighboring uterine and P6.p vulval cells (Fig. S1). The time between the mid P6.p two-cell stage when wild-type animals initiate breach and early P6.p four-cell stage when unc-40 mutants penetrate basement membrane is ~1.5 h at 20°C. Bars, 5 µm.

**UNC-40 effectors localize to basement membrane breaches and promote invasive protrusion formation**

We next addressed how UNC-40 promotes formation of an invasive protrusion. UNC-40 (DCC) is thought to function in cell migration and axon guidance by recruiting and activating downstream effectors that modulate the actin cytoskeleton (Quinn et al., 2008; Ziel et al., 2009; Lai Wing Sun et al., 2011). We therefore examined the localization of functional GFP-tagged reporters for known UNC-40 effectors to determine if they are recruited to basement membrane breaches like UNC-40. We found that the Ena/VASP orthologue UNC-34 and the Rac orthologue MIG-2 both enriched at the initial basement membrane breach in wild-type animals but that this enhancement in localization was absent in unc-40 mutants (Fig. 5 A and Fig. S4 D). Furthermore, examination of F-actin, the output of these effectors, revealed dynamic and focused formation at the expanding site of breach during protrusion growth with F-actin repeatedly forming and disassembling at the site of breach where UNC-40 was stably localized (Fig. 5, B and C; Video 7; and Video 8). In contrast, in unc-40 mutant animals, dynamic F-actin polymerization was not focused at sites of breach (Fig. 5 C and Video 9). Consistent with these effectors acting downstream of UNC-40 at sites of basement membrane breach, we have previously reported that perturbation of these molecules leads to a delay in AC invasion (Ziel et al., 2009). To determine if these effectors might also mediate invasive protrusion formation, we examined unc-34(e951); mig-2(mu28) double mutant animals. Supporting a role in fostering invasive protrusion growth downstream of UNC-40, we found a complete absence of invasive processes after loss of unc-34 and mig-2 (n = 9/9 animals observed). These results suggest that UNC-40 directs focused F-actin generation through F-actin regulatory proteins at the site of basement membrane breach to direct stable protrusion formation.

The AC’s invasive protrusion physically displaces basement membrane to widen the basement membrane gap

We were next interested in understanding how the UNC-40-mediated invadopodia-to-invasive protrusion transition enhances basement membrane removal. During our initial analysis, we noted that the invasive protrusion in wild-type animals remained in continuous contact with the edge of the expanding basement membrane gap (Fig. 55 A). Further, the basement membrane opening was much rounder in wild-type animals versus unc-40 mutants, even in cases where only a single gap was created (Fig. 6, B and C; wild type: circularity = 0.89, n = 15; unc-40(e271): circularity = 0.56, n = 14; P < 0.0001, Student’s t test). Finally, we noticed increased levels of laminin and type IV collagen at the rim of the expanding basement membrane gap in wild-type animals (Fig. S5 B). These observations suggested that the expanding protrusion might speed basement membrane gap opening by physically displacing the basement membrane. Importantly, the AC is known to secrete matrix components during
outer edges of highlighted region (Fig. 6, A and C), indicating that the basement membrane was physically moved. In contrast, control regions of optically highlighted basement membrane adjacent to the AC remained intact and only slightly widened, likely because of diffusion of basement membrane components and growth (the basement membrane beneath the AC widened 1.8- versus 1.2-fold in control regions; Fig. 6, A and C; Ihara et al., 2011). To quantify the amount of the basement membrane displaced during invasion, we photoconverted all of the laminin:Dendra in the vicinity of the AC before invasion. An estimate of the percentage of basement membrane displaced was then calculated based on the additional fluorescence intensity at the edges of the expanding gap (see Materials and methods; Fig. 6, B and C). Using this approach we determined that a
mean of 26% of the basement membrane was physically displaced during AC invasion in wild-type animals (Fig. 6, B and C; n = 27). Importantly, this estimate likely underrepresents basement membrane displacement, as our method for quantification can only measure basement membrane that accumulated at the edge of the expanding gap and does not account for basement membrane that might be stretched thin or moved beyond the boundary of the basement membrane gap. Similar results were obtained with type IV collagen::Dendra (Fig. S5 C). In unc-40 (e271) mutants, where an invasive protrusion is never formed, we observed that only 8% of the basement membrane was displaced during gap formation (n = 14; P = 0.0012; Fig. 6, B and C), supporting the notion that the invasive protrusion in wild-type animals accelerates basement membrane removal by displacement. The finding that some laminin and type IV collagen still accumulate at the edges of basement membrane openings in unc-40 mutants suggests that a redundant mechanism might also exist to physically move basement membrane or that basement membrane diffusion may facilitate displacement. We conclude that the invasive protrusion enhances basement membrane crossing, in part, by physically displacing this barrier to clear a path for invasion.

Discussion

The ability of cells to invade through basement membrane and enter new tissues is critical to many normal morphogenetic processes as well as cancer metastasis. By developing real-time methods to visualize AC invasion in C. elegans, we find that dynamic invadopodia are used to breach the basement membrane. Further, we show that the UNC-40 (DCC) receptor localizes to sites of basement membrane breach and directs the creation of a single large invasive protrusion that displaces basement membrane, guides invasion into the underlying vulval tissue, and leads to the cessation of further invadopodia formation (for a summary, see Fig. 7). Together, our results reveal a key UNC-40–mediated morphogenetic transition that directs invading cells through basement membrane and into neighboring tissues.

To date, invadopodia, defined primarily by their protrusive nature and ability to remodel extracellular matrix, have only been described in metastatic cancer cell lines or transformed cells (Chen, 1989; Linder et al., 2011; Seiler et al., 2012). Using live-cell imaging, we find that basement membrane breaching during AC invasion is mediated by protrusive F-actin–based invadopodia. Although most of the F-actin–based structures within the AC were similar in size and stability (~1 µm in diameter and lifetime of 45 s; Fig. S1 E), some were consistently outside of this range in dimension and lifetime. Thus, these F-actin foci are likely not all invadopodia and some may be sites of endocytosis and adhesion. Nevertheless, the largely similar dynamics and their striking disappearance shortly after basement membrane breaching offers compelling evidence that many if not most of these structures are bona fide in vivo invadopodia.

Over fifty proteins are known to localize to or regulate invadopodia function in cancer cells (Hoshino et al., 2012; Klemke, 2012). Our initial characterization indicates that the invadopodia in the AC have similar molecular composition to cancer cell invadopodia, including the presence of C. elegans orthologues ofvertebrate Rac GTPases and Ena/VASP, as well as the presence of the phospholipid PI(4,5)P2 (Nakahara et al., 2003; Philippar et al., 2008; Yamaguchi et al., 2010). The AC-invadopodia also share a dependency on integrin activity (Destaing et al., 2010). Additionally, the C. elegans genome encodes orthologues of many additional proteins implicated in invadopodia formation or function, such as the Src and FAK tyrosine kinases, paxillin, the Arp 2/3 complex, the actin nucleation-promoting factor WASP, and matrix metalloproteinases, which may play a similar role in the AC as they do in cancer cells (Saltel et al., 2011; Shaye and Greenwald, 2011; Hoshino et al., 2012; Klemke, 2012). One notable exception, however, is the absence of the actin nucleation–promoting factor cortactin in C. elegans, a key regulator of invadopodia in several cancer
this critical switch. UNC-40 selectively enriched at sites where invadopodia first visibly breached the basement membrane, and through activation by UNC-6 and recruitment of effectors, promoted F-actin formation and the construction of an invasive protrusion. Although netrins are well established for their role in mediating axon guidance, cell migration, and epithelial morphogenesis (Lai Wing Sun et al., 2011), they are also proangiogenic factors in vertebrates and promote vascular sprouting through basement membrane (Heissig et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2006). Further, the netrin-1 ligand is overexpressed in numerous metastatic cancers (Fitamant et al., 2008; Dumartin et al., 2010; Ramesh et al., 2011) and stimulates invasion in pancreatic, colon, glioblastoma, and melanoma cancers assayed in vitro and ex vivo (Rodrigues et al., 2007; Kaufmann et al., 2009; Dumartin et al., 2010; Shimizu et al., 2012). These results suggest that netrin signaling broadly promotes invasion and that netrin might play a conserved role in directing invasive protrusion formation. Importantly, we have found that the netrin ligand UNC-6 and its receptor UNC-40 (DCC) mediate}

Figure 6. The basement membrane is physically displaced by the UNC-40–generated invasive protrusion. (A) Lateral view images show two regions of laminin:Dendra (one beneath the AC and an adjacent control) that were photoconverted by brief exposure to 405-nm light (left, fluorescence overlay; right, grayscale of red fluorescence). Only time points after photoconversion are shown. Cytoplasmic GFP expression (cdh-3 > GFP) was used to precisely determine the boundaries of the AC’s footprint. The same animal is shown before invasion (top) and 2 h later, after AC invasion (bottom). The region of photoconverted laminin:Dendra beneath the AC was displaced laterally during invasion (red bar) compared with the control region that remained unchanged (blue bar; quantification reported in C). (B) Ventral view images show grayscale (top) and corresponding spectral representation of fluorescence intensity (middle) of wild-type (left) and unc-40(e271) (right) animals in which the entire region shown was photoconverted before invasion. Only time points after invasion are shown. Yellow arrows point to accumulation of photoconverted laminin:Dendra at the boundary of the expanding breach. The schematic diagram (bottom) depicts the quantitative method used to estimate basement membrane displacement. (C) Graph displays the fold change in optically highlighted regions before and after invasion (left, see A [right] for corresponding measurements). Measurements of the percentage of basement membrane displaced (middle) and the circularity of basement membrane breaches (right and see Materials and methods) in wild-type and unc-40(e271) animals are reported. The asterisks denote statistically significant differences (P < 0.001, Student’s t test; n ≥ 14 animals for each genotype). Error bars represent SEM. Bars, 5 µm.
may also function as tumor and metastasis suppressors, perhaps through their role in maintaining epithelial and endothelial cell survival in the presence of netrin ligands and promoting apoptosis in their absence (VanZomeren-Dohm et al., 2011; Castets et al., 2012; Krimpenfort et al., 2012). These observations indicate that in different cell contexts DCC may function as either a tumor suppressor or enhancer during cancer progression.

The expression of matrix-degrading proteases and the presence of type IV collagen degradation products at sites of invasion in human carcinomas have supported the widely held idea that basement membrane barriers are overcome through proteolytic dissolution by invasive cells (Garbisa et al., 1980; Xu et al., 2001; Sherwood et al., 2005; Hotary et al., 2006; Overall and Kleifeld, 2006; Page-McCaw et al., 2007). Intriguingly, studies of epithelial and carcinoma cells have shown that these cells physically compress and displace basement membrane components in vitro (Rabinovitz et al., 2001), a cellular behavior that might also contribute to creating gaps in endogenous basement membrane. Using optical highlighting, we found that the AC physically displaces the basement membrane components laminin and type IV collagen during basement membrane gap formation. Our quantitative analysis suggests that at least 26% of basement membrane is displaced during invasion. We think this is an underestimate, as our methods for determining displacement cannot account for basement membrane that stretches or moves beyond the basement membrane gap boundary. Notably, we previously found that the matrix metalloproteinase zmp-1 is expressed in the AC during invasion, where it may act redundantly with other proteases that promote basement membrane removal (Sherwood et al., 2005). These results offer a new model to account for cell invasion through basement membrane barriers, where the combined action of proteases and physical forces coordinate basement membrane removal. Collectively our studies indicate that basement membrane transmigration is not a simple process of basement membrane dissolution, but rather a progressive series of highly regulated and interlinked steps that act to mediate breaching, removal, and passage through basement membrane barriers.

Materials and methods

Strains and culture conditions

Culturing and handling of *C. elegans* was done as previously described (Brenner, 1974). Wild-type animals were strain N2. In the text and figures, we designated linkage to a promoter with a greater than symbol (>) and used a double colon (::) for linkages that fuse open reading frames. The following alleles and transgenes were used in this study: qyIs61[cdh-3 > GFP::UNC-34], qyIs242[cdh-3 > Lifeact::GFP], qyIs46[emb-9::mCherry], qyIs221[cdh-3 > GFP::CED-10], qyIs219[cdh-3 > GFP::PLCβ3], qyIs220[cdh-3 > GFP::MIG-2], qyIs67[cdh-3 > UNC-40::GFP], qyIs127[laminin::mCherry], qyIs66[cdh-3 > UNC-40::GFP], qyIs166[cdh-3 > GFP::CAAX], UGI, unc-40(e271); UGI, unc-40(e271); LGII, qyIs10[laminin::GFP]; LGV, qyIs50[cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD]; and LGX, qyIs7[laminin::GFP], unc-6(ev400), qyIs24[cdh-3 > mCherry::PLCβ3], and qyIs66[cdh-3 > UNC-40::GFP].
**Microscopy, image acquisition, processing, and analysis**

Images were acquired using a camera (EM-CCD; Hamamatsu Photonics) and a spinning disk confocal microscope (CSU-10; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (Axioimager; Carl Zeiss) with a Plan-APochromat 100×/1.4 oil differential interference contrast objective and controlled by iVision software (Biovision Technologies). Acquired images were processed using ImageJ 1.40g and Photoshop (CS5 Extended; Adobe). 3D reconstructions were built from confocal z-stacks, analyzed, and exported as mov files using IMARIS 7.4 (Bitplane, Inc.). Figures and graphs were constructed using Illustrator (CS5 Extended; Adobe). Videos were annotated using Photoshop. Quantitative analyses of AC-invadopodia, invasive pro- trusion, or basement membrane breach formation was done using either ImageJ, Imaris, or both. To be as consistent as possible isosurface renderings, built in place of polymerized F-actin, were used to determine a threshold for assigning the spots that were used to quantify AC-invadopodia dynamics. For time-lapse microscopy, worms were anesthetized in 0.2% tricaine and 0.02% levamisole in M9 and then transferred to 5% noble agar pads, sealed with VALAP, and imaged at 23°C. Capturing the AC-invadopodia structures that pre-saged the initial basement membrane breach required ventral view time-lapse imaging. Although AC-invadopodia could be observed to depress the basement membrane in single time point lateral view images, we were unable to resolve whether the structures that pre-saged the initial breach repeatedly depressed the basement membrane.

**RNAi**

RNAi targeting pat-3 was delivered by feeding worms Escherichia coli expressing double-stranded RNA. To avoid embryonic lethality, synchronized L1-arrested larvae were grown for 5 h on regular OP50 bacteria in the absence of RNAi. These worms were then transferred to bacteria expressing pat-3 double-stranded RNA. The empty RNAi vector L4440 was used as a negative control. The RNAi vector was sequenced to verify correct insert.

**Construction of GFP protein fusions**

Lifeact::GFP was amplified from pJWZ73, and then linked by PCR fusion. Construction of GFP protein fusions double-stranded RNA. The empty RNAi vector L4440 was used as a negative control. The RNAi vector was sequenced to verify correct insert. Pat-3::GFP was amplified from pGFPN166 and then linked to the pBsSK, and N2 genomic DNA and then linked to a JCB DataViewer at http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201301091.dv.
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Figure S1. AC-invadopodia breach the basement membrane. (A) Schematic diagram depicts four developmental time points over the course of AC invasion in C. elegans (times shown are after hatching at 20°C). 5 h before invasion, at the P6.p one-cell stage, the integrin receptor INA-1/PAT-3 and the netrin receptor UNC-40 (DCC) localize to the invasive cell membrane and regulate a polarized F-actin-rich membrane domain (orange) within the AC (green) at the basement membrane (BM) interface (magenta). AC invasion initiates at the P6.p two-cell stage and by the P6.p four-cell stage the AC clears a large gap in the basement membrane and moves between the central P6.p vulval cells. By the P6.p eight-cell stage, the invaginating vulval cells have expanded the gap in the basement membrane beyond the AC, where it then stabilizes in its position. At this time the AC is situated at the apex of the vulva between the central vulval cells. (B) A ventral view time series of an AC-specific Lifeact::GFP probe (cdh-3 > Lifeact::GFP), an alternative F-actin marker, reveals dynamic F-actin-rich invadopodia along the invasive cell membrane of the AC. Images were acquired using a lateral view of the animal and then rotated on the z axis, resulting in some cropping of the most proximal part of the image (top of images shown). Bar, 5 µm. (C) Ventral view images show F-actin-rich AC-invadopodia (middle, expressing cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD) in relation to the basement membrane (left, overlay; right, viewed with laminin::GFP). AC-invadopodia form before breach (top, dashed boxes, insets) and occupy the initial breach site (bottom, dashed boxes, insets). Bars: (main images) 5 µm; (insets) 1 µm. (D) The diagrams depict the location of the initial basement membrane breach (spots) relative to the footprint of the AC (top, outlines) and underlying P6.p vulval cells (bottom, vertical white line marks the interface of the central vulval cells; n = 13 animals). Each color represents the same animal. (E) The graphs show quantitative data of wild-type AC-invadopodia in the hour preceding basement membrane invasion. Green lines denote population means for measurements of size (1.00 µm; n = 8,182 structures), lifetime (1.13 min; n = 729 structures), rate of formation (2.8 structures per minute), and numbers over time (11 structures); red boxes show the interquartile range and whiskers show 1.5 x interquartile range. The same data are shown in the lifetimes and lifetimes distribution graph.
**Figure S2.** AC-invadopodia are enriched in actin regulators and are dependent on integrin. (A) Lateral view images show ACs coexpressing the F-actin marker cdf-3 > mCherry::moeABD (green in overlays) and one of four GFP-tagged proteins (GFP::UNC-34, mCherry::PLCγPH [PI(4,5)P₂], GFP::MIG-2, and GFP::CED-10; magenta in overlays). Arrows point to colocalization at F-actin structures; insets show magnification of the invasive cell membrane. Venn diagrams (right) display the percentage of total volume colocalized for each protein. The mean percent overlap ± SD is reported. Bars: (main images) 5 µm; (insets) 1 µm. (B) The vital dye FM1-43, which labels the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, was not enriched at invadopodia structures at the AC–basement membrane interface (bracket). (C) Images show 3D reconstructions of confocal z-stacks rotated forward. The AC is expressing the PI(4,5)P₂ marker cdf-3 > mCherry::PLCγPH (cyan). The basement membrane is visualized with laminin::GFP (magenta). In wild-type animals [top] many invadopodia are present along the invasive cell membrane of the AC (outlined with a dashed circle in the overlay). RNAi knockdown of pat-3 (bottom), the β-subunit of the integrin heterodimer INA-1/PAT-3, resulted in a dramatic reduction in invadopodia formation (asterisk; similar results observed in eight animals).
Figure S3. **UNC-40 does not localize to invadopodia or regulate their dynamics.** (A) Lateral view images show an AC coexpressing the F-actin marker cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD (green in overlay) and UNC-40::GFP (magenta). Arrows highlight F-actin–rich AC-invadopodia that lack specific enrichment of UNC-40::GFP. Venn diagram (right) shows the percentage of total volume colocalized for UNC-40 and F-actin. The mean percent overlap ± SD is reported. (B) Ventral view time series show similar invadopodia dynamics (viewed with cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD) in wild type (top) and unc-40 mutants (bottom). Bars, 5 µm. (C) Graphs show quantitative analysis of invadopodia lifetimes and rate of formation in wild-type animals and in unc-40 (e271) mutants. Red boxes mark the interquartile range and whiskers show 1.5 × interquartile range. Green lines denote population means for measurements. Wild-type invadopodia had mean lifetimes of 84 s and formed at a mean rate of 2.8 structures per minute ($n = 309$ structures from four animals). In unc-40 mutants, invadopodia had mean lifetimes of 81 s and formed at a mean rate of 3.8 structures per minute ($n = 473$ structures from four animals). No statistical differences were observed ($P > 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). The same data for wild type and unc-40 (e271) is reported in the lifetimes graph (top left) and lifetimes distribution graphs (bottom). The error bars represent the SEM.
Figure S4. **UNC-40 localization and regulation of invadopodia breaching.** (A) Lateral view images show an AC coexpressing UNC-40::GFP (green in overlay) and laminin::mCherry (labeling the basement membrane; magenta). Arrows highlight UNC-40::GFP enriched in the invasive protrusion. (B) Ventral view images show AC-invadopodia (viewed with cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD; green) and the basement membrane component laminin::GFP (magenta) in an *unc-40* mutant animal. Invadopodia occupy multiple breaches in the basement membrane [arrows; dashed box corresponds to inset]. Bars: (main images) 5 µm; (insets) 1 µm. (C) Lateral view images show an AC coexpressing UNC-40::GFP (green in overlay) and the basement membrane component laminin::mCherry (magenta) in an *unc-6* mutant animal. UNC-40::GFP localizes to the site of basement membrane breach [arrows] in *unc-6* mutants to a similar extent as wild-type animals (2.21-fold enrichment at breach relative to the invasive cell membrane in *unc-6* mutants and 2.48-fold enrichment in wild-type animals; *n* = 10 animals examined for each; *P* > 0.43, Student’s *t* test). (D) Graphs show the fold enrichment of MIG-2 and UNC-34 at the initial basement membrane breach (relative to the rest of the invasive membrane). The fold enrichment of MIG-2 and UNC-34 at the initial basement membrane breach was reduced in *unc-40 (e271)* [MIG-2 = 1.07-fold; UNC-34 = 0.97-fold] compared with wild type [MIG-2 = 1.74-fold; UNC-34 = 1.93-fold; *n* ≥ 10 animals each marker/genotype; *P* < 0.001, Student’s *t* test]. The error bars represent the SEM.
Figure S5. **The basement membrane is physically displaced during invasion.** (A) Images show how the invasive protrusion from the AC (visualized with GFP::CAAX membrane marker; green in overlay) maintains continuous contact with the edges of the expanding basement membrane gap (magenta). (B) Images of an animal coexpressing laminin::GFP (left) and type IV collagen::mCherry (right) show that both basement membrane components accumulate at the edge of the expanding basement membrane gap (arrows; grayscale above and spectral representation of fluorescence intensity below). Asterisks in B and C mark large intracellular accumulations of type IV collagen in neighboring muscle cells. (C) Images show accumulation of displaced optically highlighted type IV collagen::Dendra at the edges of the expanding basement membrane gap (arrows; grayscale above and spectral representation of fluorescence intensity below; see Fig. 6 B for experimental details). Bars, 5 µm.
Video 1. **AC-invadopodia turn over dynamically before basement membrane breaching.** Ventral view time-lapse shows dynamic F-actin–rich AC-invadopodia (visualized with the F-actin probe cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD; left) prior to invasion. Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). Spot tracking analysis was performed in IMARIS 7.4 (Bitplane, Inc.) to quantify invadopodia dynamics (reported in Figs. S1 E and S3 C). A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 15 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. The video plays at 10 frames per second. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the animal shown in Fig. 1 B.

Video 2. **An AC-invadopodium presages and then occupies the site of basement membrane breach.** Ventral view time-lapse shows an AC-invadopodium (visualized with cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD in green) that presages (center, arrowhead) and then occupies the basement membrane breach (right, arrowhead). Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). A 30-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 15 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the animal shown in Fig. 1 E.

Video 3. **Invasive protrusion growth during basement membrane transmigration.** An AC is shown just after breaching the basement membrane (3D lateral view rotated forward). The video shows the AC’s invasive protrusion (cyan) expanding through the basement membrane breach (magenta) and into the vulval tissue. The AC’s cell membrane is visualized with a P(4,5)P2 probe (cdh-3 > mCherry::PLCδ1) and the basement membrane with laminin::GFP. Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 15 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the animal shown in Fig. 2 A.

Video 4. **The invadopodia-to-invasive protrusion transition.** Ventral view time-lapse shows the transition between dynamic AC-invadopodia (visualized with the F-actin probe cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD in green) and the formation of a stable protrusion at the site of basement membrane breaching (visualized with laminin::GFP in magenta). Halfway through the video, AC-invadopodia cease to form along the remainder of the AC–basement membrane interface (overlay on left), as an invasive protrusion expands rapidly through the basement membrane and the corresponding breach widens radially. Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 15 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Video is played at 20 frames per second. Bar, 5 µm.

Video 5. **UNC-40::GFP enriches at the basement membrane breach.** Ventral view time-lapse shows UNC-40::GFP (green) enriching at the site of basement membrane breach (visualized with laminin::mCherry in magenta). Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 2 min. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the animal shown in Fig. 3 B.

Video 6. **UNC-40 accelerates basement membrane gap opening.** Ventral view time-lapses show similarly sized basement membrane gaps (visualized with laminin::GFP) in a wild-type and an unc-40 mutant animal. The basement membrane breach in the unc-40 mutant animal opens at half the rate of the wild-type animals (for quantification see Fig. 4 F). Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 2 min. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the animals shown in Fig. 4 E.

Video 7. **F-actin formation is focused at sites of UNC-40::GFP enrichment.** Ventral view time-lapse reveals recurrent F-actin formation (visualized with cdh-3 > mCherry::moeABD in green) at the site-stable UNC-40::GFP (magenta) enrichment. Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa) mounted on a microscope (AxioImager; Carl Zeiss). A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 1.5 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the animal and time-lapse summation shown in Fig. 5 B.
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Video 8. **F-actin formation is focused at the basement membrane breach in wild-type animals.** Ventral view time-lapse shows recurrent F-actin formation (visualized with cdh-3 > mCherry:moeABD in green) at the site of basement membrane breach (visualized with laminin::GFP in magenta) in a wild-type animal. Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope [CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa] mounted on a microscope [Axioskop; Carl Zeiss]. A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 15 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the wild-type animal and time-lapse summation shown in Fig. 5 C.

Video 9. **F-actin formation is not focused at basement membrane breaches in unc-40 mutants.** Ventral view time-lapse demonstrates that dynamic F-actin formation (visualized with cdh-3 > mCherry:moeABD in green) is not tightly correlated with the sites of basement membrane breach (visualized with laminin::GFP in magenta) in an unc-40 (e271) mutant animal. Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope [CSU-10 scan head; Yokogawa] mounted on a microscope [Axioskop; Carl Zeiss]. A 60-min time-lapse is shown with time points acquired every 15 s. A projection of eight confocal z-sections (step size of 0.5 µm) is shown. Bar, 5 µm. This video corresponds to the unc-40 (e271) animal and time-lapse summation shown in Fig. 5 C.

### Table S1. Primer sequences and templates used for all PCR fusions generated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Primer type</th>
<th>Amplicon</th>
<th>Template</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'-TAATgTgATgTACCTACGTACTGCG-3'</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; promoter</td>
<td>pPD107.94/mk62-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-AACTgATgATACgCTACACATCTATGg-3'</td>
<td>forward nested</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; promoter</td>
<td>pPD107.94/mk62-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTgCTACCTCAACTGCAAG-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pPD95.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-ATgATgTAAgGAgAAACCTTCTGg-3'</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>pPD95.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTgT3ATgTACCTACGTgCCATg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>Lifeact::GFP</td>
<td>pJWZ73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-CTgAggGAATACCTGCAAAAATggATACCTAACACTGGATGg-3'</td>
<td>cdh-3 extension, forward</td>
<td>Lifeact::GFP</td>
<td>pJWZ73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTACCTCGAgCTCACACCTgAgg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>pBSK-GFP-PLCα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TgggGAgATACCTGCAAAAATggATACCTAACACTGGATGg-3'</td>
<td>cdh-3 extension, forward nested</td>
<td>GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>pBSK-GFP-PLCα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTACCTCGAgCTCACACCTgAgg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>pBSK-GFP-PLCα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-ATTACACAgCGATTgATgTAATAgCTCTCACAgCTACAgCTG-3'</td>
<td>gfp extension, forward</td>
<td>MIG-2</td>
<td>N2 genomic DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTACCTCGAgCTCACACCTgAgg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>MIG-2</td>
<td>N2 genomic DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTATgATgAATACCTGCAAAAATggATACCTAACACTGGATGg-3'</td>
<td>cdh-3 extension, forward</td>
<td>GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>pSA129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TACAggTAATgTACCTCGAgCTACAgCTAATAgAAgAgAAgAACTTCTGg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>pSA129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTACCTCGAgCTCACACCTgAgg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>pSA129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTACCTCGAgCTCACACCTgAgg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>PLCAα extension, forward</td>
<td>unc-54 3' UTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-TTTCTACCTCGAgCTCACACCTgAgg-3'</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>unc-54 3' UTR</td>
<td>pPD95.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S2. Extrachromosomal arrays and integrated stains generated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ex designation</th>
<th>Is designation</th>
<th>PCR fusion created</th>
<th>Injected concentration</th>
<th>Coinjection marker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>qyEx234</td>
<td>qyIs242</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; Lifeact::GFP</td>
<td>0.2 ng/ml</td>
<td>unc-119+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qyEx231</td>
<td>qyIs219</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; promoter</td>
<td>0.01 ng/ml</td>
<td>unc-119+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qyEx232</td>
<td>qyIs220</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; GFP::PLCα</td>
<td>0.2 ng/ml</td>
<td>unc-119+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qyEx233</td>
<td>qyIs221</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; GFP::CED-10</td>
<td>0.1 ng/ml</td>
<td>unc-119+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qyEx191</td>
<td>qyIs166</td>
<td>cdh-3 &gt; GFP::CAAX</td>
<td>0.1 ng/ml</td>
<td>unc-119+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3' UTR from the unc-54 gene was included at the 3' end of the construct.

Construct was built using a three-step PCR fusion.

The endogenous 3' UTR was used.

Coding regions were linked to a cdh-3 > GFP ampiclon in the last step of the PCR fusion.