

GK geometry

James Sparks

Mathematical Institute, Oxford

Based on work with [Chris Couzens](#), [Jerome Gauntlett](#) and [Dario Martelli](#).

- GK = Gauntlett-Kim.
- For mathematicians: natural Kähler geometry + PDE arising in string theory.
- For physicists: describes supersymmetric **AdS₃**, **AdS₂** solutions in string/M-theory, latter including near horizon limits of extremal black holes.
- There is a close relation to Calabi-Yau cone geometry, and branes wrapped on Riemann surfaces.

Calabi-Yau cones:

- An odd-dimensional manifold (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{g}) is Sasakian iff the cone metric $d\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}^2\mathbf{g}$ is Kähler, $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbf{X}$.
- \mathbf{X} naturally embedded at $\mathbf{r} = 1$.
- The *Reeb vector* $\xi = \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{r}\partial_{\mathbf{r}})$ is holomorphic and Killing, unit length on \mathbf{X} .
- \Rightarrow one-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F}_{ξ} of \mathbf{X} .

- Define $\eta = \xi$ one-form on \mathbf{X} dual to ξ , then

$$\mathbf{g} = \eta^2 + \mathbf{g}_T,$$

where $d\eta = 2\mathbf{J}$, where $(\mathbf{g}_T, \mathbf{J})$ is a transverse Kähler metric and form.

- \Rightarrow Sasakian geometry is sandwiched between two Kähler geometries.
- If orbits of ξ all close, then $\mathbf{X} =$ circle orbibundle over a Kähler orbifold.

- The Kähler cone $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}) = n$ is Ricci-flat (Calabi-Yau) iff
 - ▶ $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is Einstein with $\mathbf{Ric}_{\mathbf{X}} = (2n - 2)\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{X}}$ (Sasaki-Einstein).
 - ▶ equivalently $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is Einstein with $\mathbf{Ric}_{\mathbf{T}} = 2n \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{T}}$ (Kähler-Einstein).
- E.g. $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, \mathbf{X} = round sphere, $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{T}}$ = Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.
- $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})$ admits a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ -form Ω with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \Omega = \text{in} \Omega ,$$

iff $[\rho_{\mathbf{T}}] = 2n[\mathbf{J}] \in \mathbf{H}^{1,1}(\mathcal{F}_{\xi})$, $\rho_{\mathbf{T}}$ = transverse Ricci form.

In string/M-theory:

- $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})$ are string/M-theory solutions for $\mathbf{n} = 3$, $\mathbf{n} = 4$, respectively.
- Can put \mathbf{N} D3-branes or \mathbf{N} M2-branes at tip $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ of the cones.
- There are corresponding $\mathbf{AdS}_5 \times \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{AdS}_4 \times \mathbf{X}$ solutions, with \mathbf{N} units of flux through \mathbf{X} .
- AdS/CFT: string/M-theory on these backgrounds is completely equivalent to the low-energy SCFT on the branes.
- Note Calabi-Yau cones exist in all dimensions, but applications to physics $\Rightarrow \mathbf{n} = 3, \mathbf{n} = 4$.

GK geometry:

- Odd-dimensional manifold (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{g}) , with a unit norm Killing vector $\xi \Rightarrow$ foliation \mathcal{F}_ξ .
- Again let $\eta =$ one-form dual to ξ , then

$$\mathbf{g} = \eta^2 + e^B \mathbf{g}_T,$$

where \mathbf{g}_T is Kähler, and $e^B = \frac{1}{8}(\mathbf{n} - 3)^2 \mathbf{R}$.

- \Rightarrow need $\mathbf{n} \geq 4$ and transverse scalar curvature $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_T > 0$.
- $d\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{n} - 3)\rho$ (compare Sasakian $d\eta = 2\mathbf{J}$).

- There is a natural closed two-form in the problem

$$\mathbf{F} = -\frac{2}{n-3}\mathbf{J} + d(e^{-B}\eta).$$

- $*_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{F}$ determines the flux in the corresponding string/M-theory solutions.
- Bianchi identity $d(e^{(4-n)B} *_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{0}$ is equivalent to the Kähler PDE

$$\square \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{R}_{ij}\mathbf{R}^{ij}.$$

- We call a solution to this an on-shell GK geometry.

The metric cones $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Y})$:

- $d\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}^2 \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{Y}}$, where $\mathbf{r} > \mathbf{0}$.
- These are naturally complex, with holomorphic $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{0})$ -form Ω and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \Omega = \frac{2}{\mathbf{n}-3} \mathbf{i} \Omega,$$

where $\xi = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{r} \partial_{\mathbf{r}})$.

- Not Kähler! (Physically, because of the flux.)
- However, $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Y})$ is in some sense a “Calabi-Yau cone”.

- Integrating the PDE over \mathbf{Y} implies

$$\int_{\mathbf{Y}} \eta \wedge \rho^2 \wedge e^J = \mathbf{0},$$

\Rightarrow Sasakian geometries with $[\rho] \propto [J] \in \mathbf{H}^{1,1}(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ are not examples.

- Circle bundles over products of Kähler-Einstein manifolds are examples, with at least one factor having non-positive curvature (PDE is a trivial algebraic equation).
- Many classes of examples constructed using symmetry reduction:
 - ▶ cohomogeneity one
 - ▶ orthotoric Kähler

In string/M-theory:

- These describe supersymmetric $\mathbf{AdS}_3 \times \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{AdS}_2 \times \mathbf{Y}$ solutions of string/M-theory for $\mathbf{n} = 4$, $\mathbf{n} = 5$, respectively.
- They are sourced only by D3-brane and M2-brane flux, respectively:

$$\mathbf{F}_5 = \text{vol}_{\mathbf{AdS}_3} \wedge \mathbf{F} + *_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{F}, \quad \mathbf{G} = \text{vol}_{\mathbf{AdS}_2} \wedge \mathbf{F}.$$

- Flux quantization over codimension two cycles \mathbf{S}_A in \mathbf{Y} :

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}_A} \eta \wedge \rho \wedge e^{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{N}_A = \text{fixed}.$$

(Mathematicians: think fixing the transverse Kähler class $[\mathbf{J}] \in \mathbf{H}^{1,1}(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$, although this is not quite equivalent).

Geometry	D3-branes	Field theory	M2-branes	Field Theory
Sasakian	$\mathbf{AdS}_5 \times \mathbf{X}_5$	$\mathbf{d} = 4$	$\mathbf{AdS}_4 \times \mathbf{X}_7$	$\mathbf{d} = 3$
GK	$\mathbf{AdS}_3 \times \mathbf{Y}_7$	$\mathbf{d} = 2$	$\mathbf{AdS}_2 \times \mathbf{Y}_9$	$\mathbf{d} = 1$

There is a natural physical connection between the two rows:

- Wrap $\mathbf{d} = 4$ (D3-brane), $\mathbf{d} = 3$ (M2-brane) SCFTs on Riemann surface Σ
 \Rightarrow flow to $\mathbf{d} = 2$, $\mathbf{d} = 1$ SCFTs.
- Geometrically, $\mathbf{Y} =$ total space of \mathbf{X} fibration over Σ :

$$\mathbf{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

- The \mathbf{AdS}_3 , \mathbf{AdS}_2 solutions may arise as near horizon limits of extremal black strings/black holes in parent \mathbf{AdS}_5 , \mathbf{AdS}_4 , with horizon = Σ .

- Suggests the existence of large classes of GK geometries/black hole solutions.
- Classes of explicit examples have been constructed, but it's clear from physics there should be many more \Rightarrow very rich.
- We recently attacked this following an idea due to [Martelli-JFS-Yau](#).
- Assuming a solution exists, one can deduce some interesting properties.

Volume minimization (Martelli-JFS-Yau):

- In Sasakian geometry, fix a complex cone $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})$, with holomorphic $(n, 0)$ -form Ω and $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Omega = \mathbf{i}n\Omega$.
- In general the Reeb vector field ξ can move: write $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{b}_i \partial_{\varphi_i}$, $\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{R}$, holomorphic torus action $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{1})^s$.
- The Reeb vector ξ for a Sasaki-Einstein metric minimizes the volume $\int_{\mathbf{X}} \eta \wedge e^J$ over this space of vector fields.
- Mathematicians: a critical ξ has zero transverse Futaki invariant = obstruction to existence of Kähler-Einstein metric. Related to K-stability.

GK geometries extremize the following supersymmetric action

$$S(\xi, [\mathbf{J}]) = \int_{\mathbf{Y}} \eta \wedge \rho \wedge e^{\mathbf{J}},$$

subject to the constraints

- $\int_{\mathbf{Y}} \eta \wedge \rho^2 \wedge e^{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{0}$ (\Leftrightarrow integral of PDE over \mathbf{Y} is zero)
- $\int_{\mathbf{S}_A} \eta \wedge \rho \wedge e^{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{N}_A$ (flux quantization through codimension 2 cycles \mathbf{S}_A)

Note: depend only on ξ , and transverse Kähler class $[\mathbf{J}] \in \mathbf{H}^{1,1}(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$.

This geometric extremal problem is related to field theory extremal problems: \mathbf{c} -extremization, \mathbf{l} -extremization, and the attractor mechanism in black holes.

- For $\mathbf{AdS}_3 \times \mathbf{Y}_7$ solutions, the dual $\mathbf{d} = 2$ SCFT has a central charge \mathbf{c} , and

$$\mathbf{c} = \frac{3\mathbf{L}^8}{(2\pi)^6 \mathbf{g}_s^2 \ell_s^8} \mathbf{S}.$$

- For $\mathbf{AdS}_2 \times \mathbf{Y}_9$ solutions, the partition function \mathbf{Z} of the dual SCQM has

$$\log \mathbf{Z} = \frac{4\pi \mathbf{L}^9}{(2\pi)^8 \ell_p^9} \mathbf{S}.$$

- For classes of extremal black holes in four dimensions, with near horizon \mathbf{AdS}_2 region, also

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{BH}} = \frac{4\pi \mathbf{L}^9}{(2\pi)^8 \ell_p^9} \mathbf{S}.$$

I'll describe how to compute the action \mathbf{S} for

$$\mathbf{X}_5 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_7 \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

where \mathbf{X}_5 = toric Sasakian 5-manifold, $\Sigma = \Sigma_g$ = Riemann surface.

- Physically: D3-brane wrapping holomorphic curve $\Sigma_g \subset$ Calabi-Yau 4-fold. Normal space to Σ_g is a Calabi-Yau 3-fold = $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}_5)$.
- $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}_5)$ = affine toric variety, specified by 3d convex rational polyhedral cone.
- Use $\mathbf{U}(1)^3$ action to fibre over $\Sigma_g \Rightarrow$ Chern numbers $(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.
- Choose basis so holomorphic $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{0})$ -form on $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}_5)$ has charges $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ under $\mathbf{U}(1)^3$. Then $\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{2}(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{g})$.

Everything may be expressed in terms of the “master volume” of the fibre \mathbf{X}_5 :

$$\mathcal{V} = \int_{\mathbf{X}_5} \eta \wedge e^\omega, \quad \text{where } \omega = \text{transverse Kähler form.}$$

May compute explicitly in terms of combinatorial data:

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \sum_{a=1}^d \lambda_a \frac{\lambda_{a-1}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_a, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{a+1}, \vec{\mathbf{b}}) - \lambda_a(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{a-1}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{a+1}, \vec{\mathbf{b}}) + \lambda_{a+1}(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{a-1}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_a, \vec{\mathbf{b}})}{(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{a-1}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_a, \vec{\mathbf{b}})(\vec{\mathbf{v}}_a, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{a+1}, \vec{\mathbf{b}})}.$$

- Polyhedral cone has primitive inward pointing normal vectors $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_a \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $a = 1, \dots, d$.
- Recall $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{b}_i \partial_{\varphi_i}$, so $\vec{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = 3 \times 3$ determinant.
- $\lambda_a \in \mathbb{R}$ specify the transverse Kähler class $[\omega]$.

One can derive the following remarkable formula:

$$\mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{A} \sum_{a=1}^d \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a} - 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_i}.$$

where $\mathbf{A} =$ Kähler class for Σ_g (its area).

The constraints are:

- $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{A} \sum_{a,b=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a \partial \lambda_b} - 2\pi n_1 \sum_{a=1}^d \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a} + 4\pi \sum_{a=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a \partial \mathbf{b}_i}$ (PDE),
- $\frac{2(2\pi\ell_s)^4 g_s}{L^4} \mathbf{N} = - \sum_{a=1}^d \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a}$ (flux through fibre \mathbf{N} , number of D3-branes),
- $\frac{2(2\pi\ell_s)^4 g_s}{L^4} \mathbf{M}_a = \frac{\mathbf{A}}{2\pi} \sum_{b=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a \partial \lambda_b} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_a \partial \mathbf{b}_i}$ (baryonic fluxes).

- Pick your favourite affine toric variety $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}_5)$, specified by $\vec{\mathbf{v}}_a \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.
- Take Σ_g , and fibre \mathbf{X}_5 over it using $(\mathbf{n}_1 = 2(1 - \mathbf{g}), \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.
- Solve constraints for fixed fluxes: \mathbf{N} = number of D3-branes, \mathbf{M}_a = baryonic fluxes.

In practice this determines the transverse Kähler class data $\{\mathbf{A}, \lambda_a\}$. Substituting back into the action, it is then a function of $\vec{\mathbf{b}} = (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3)$:

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3; \vec{\mathbf{v}}_a, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M}_a) .$$

- \mathbf{S} is a quadratic function of the choice of vector field $(\mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3)$!

Field theory dual:

- The $\mathbf{AdS}_5 \times \mathbf{X}_5$ solution is dual to a $\mathbf{d} = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ quiver gauge theory.
- Dimensionally reducing this on Σ_g to $\mathbf{d} = 2 \Rightarrow$ trial \mathbf{c} -function of [Benini-Bobev](#), a function of the choice of R-symmetry.
- Action \mathbf{S} is identical to this field theory function! Proof: [Hosseini-Zaffaroni](#).

Some open issues:

- GK solutions necessarily extremize the action \mathbf{S} , but there are clear counterexamples to the converse, e.g. solutions with $\mathbf{c} < \mathbf{0}$.
- What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for solving the Kähler PDE $\square \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mathbf{R}^{ij}$?
- Being at a critical point of \mathbf{S} is analogous to having zero Futaki invariant in Kähler-Einstein geometry. Existence problem for Sasaki-Einstein manifolds is solved ([Collins-Székelyhidi](#)), generalizing K-stability of [Chen-Donaldson-Sun](#).
- This is dually related to necessary and sufficient conditions for a superconformal fixed point in field theory.
- What types of geometries admit solutions? What characterizes e.g. the complex cone $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Y})$?

There is a similar construction for M2-branes. I'll focus on the simple case of

$$\mathbf{X}_7 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_9 \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbf{g}},$$

where $\mathbf{X}_7 = \mathbf{S}^7$. Twisting specified by $(\mathbf{n}_1 = 2(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{g}), \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3, \mathbf{n}_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$.

Following analogous procedure of imposing constraints, flux quantization \Rightarrow

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3, \mathbf{b}_4) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi}{3} \mathbf{N}^{3/2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{n}_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \Delta_i},$$

- $\mathbf{F} = \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4}$ = prepotential for $\mathbf{d} = 4$ STU gauged supergravity!
- $\Delta_i = -\frac{2}{\mathbf{N}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_i}$ = certain linear functions of $\vec{\mathbf{b}}$.

- The M2-branes wrapped on Σ_g are associated to certain extremal black hole solutions in $\mathbf{d} = 4$, STU gauged supergravity **Benini-Hristov-Zaffaroni**.
- These have near horizon geometries that are $\mathbf{AdS}_2 \times \Sigma_g$, that uplift to $\mathbf{D} = 11$ solutions $\mathbf{AdS}_2 \times \mathbf{Y}_9$, with $\mathbf{S}^7 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_9 \rightarrow \Sigma_g$.
- Twisting parameters $\mathbf{n}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ are black hole magnetic charges under $\mathbf{U}(1)^4$.
- The attractor mechanism in STU gauged supergravity is identical to extremizing our GK geometry action \mathbf{S} !
- At the critical point for $(\mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3, \mathbf{b}_4)$, this determines \mathbf{S}_{BH} .

Some interesting open questions:

- We've seen the attractor mechanism, that determines black hole entropy via an extremal problem, is equivalent to a geometric extremal problem.
- Is there a general geometric attractor mechanism for black holes in string/M-theory?
- In general this should also involve electric charges and angular momentum, not just magnetic charges.
- Large classes of supersymmetric black string/black hole solutions should exist, but are not known (I have described their near horizon geometry).