Thomas-Yau conjecture backgrounds

Yang Li

MIT

March 25, 2022

Special Lagrangian

Let (X, ω, Ω) be a Kähler manifold with a nowhere vanishing holomorphic volume form. An n-dimensional submanifold (or some weaker notion, eg. integral current) is called **special** Lagrangian, if

$$\omega|_L = 0$$
, $\operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\hat{\theta}}\Omega)|_L = 0$.

Volume minimizer

- ▶ We assume the metric is Calabi-Yau. Then L is a minimal submanifold.
- In fact, any submanifold in the same homology class satisfies

$$\int_{L} Re(e^{-i\hat{\theta}}\Omega) \leq \int_{L} dvol = Vol(L),$$

saturated precisely by special Lagrangians.

Volume minimizer

- ▶ We assume the metric is Calabi-Yau. Then L is a minimal submanifold.
- In fact, any submanifold in the same homology class satisfies

$$\int_{L} Re(e^{-i\hat{\theta}}\Omega) \leq \int_{L} dvol = Vol(L),$$

saturated precisely by special Lagrangians.

► Thus if a special Lagrangian exists then it is an absolute volume minimizer.

Almost calibrated Lagrangians

► Recall the **Lagrangian angle** is defined by

$$\Omega|_L = e^{i\theta} dvol_L.$$

Here $\theta: L \to S^1$ is assumed to lift to \mathbb{R} (graded Lagrangians).

- lacktriangle Special Lagrangians have constant phase angle $heta=\hat{ heta}.$
- ▶ Almost calibrated means the Lagrangian angle is inside the interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Thus L is automatically graded.
- ▶ Quantitative almost calibrated means $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} \epsilon)$. It implies an apriori volume bound

$$\operatorname{Vol}(L) \leq \frac{1}{\sin \epsilon} \int_{L} \operatorname{Re}\Omega.$$

- ► However, the volume minimizer within a given homology class needs not be a special Lagrangian (Schoen, Wolfson). 'Direct minimization of volume is not good enough."
- ► The known construction techniques: high symmetry, gluing style constructions, integrable system (reduce to ODE or
- Riemann surface), Cartan-Kähler theory.

Existence question is a major open problem in general.

What is Thomas-Yau conjecture?

- Thomas-Yau principle: 'The existence and uniqueness of unobstructed special Lagrangian branes should be governed by a stability condition on the (derived) Fukaya category.'
- ► Thomas-Yau's main motivations: mirror analogy with stable vector bundles.
- ► Their main evidence: uniqueness theorem (further developed by Joyce-Imagi-Santos, Imagi, Abouzaid-Imagi).

Potential significance of the Thomas-Yau philosophy:

enumerative invariants?

- Produce special Lagrangians.
- Mirror symmetry beyond homological mirror symmetry. (Far beyond the current technology) special Lagrangian

Caveats:

► The notion of stability is meant to be tentative in Thomas-Yau's proposal.

though I expect it is also only approximate.

The mirror version of stability is not really meant to be μ -stability for Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections. A slightly better mirror candidate is deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills,

Joyce's update

The most significant progress since Thomas-Yau was the update by Dominic Joyce.

▶ Joyce says there should be a **Bridgeland stability condition** on the derived Fukaya category, such that the semistable objects of given phase $\hat{\theta}$ are represented by Lagrangian branes with arbitrarily small phase oscillation $|\theta - \hat{\theta}| \ll 1$. (Morally, represented by special Lagrangian branes, although these may be too singular).

Joyce's update

The most significant progress since Thomas-Yau was the update by Dominic Joyce.

- ▶ Joyce says there should be a **Bridgeland stability condition** on the derived Fukaya category, such that the semistable objects of given phase $\hat{\theta}$ are represented by Lagrangian branes with arbitrarily small phase oscillation $|\theta \hat{\theta}| \ll 1$. (Morally, represented by special Lagrangian branes, although these may be too singular).
- Joyce says the way to construct this stability condition is to run Lagrangian mean curvature flow with surgery, and take the infinite time limit.

Joyce's update

The most significant progress since Thomas-Yau was the update by Dominic Joyce.

- ▶ Joyce says there should be a **Bridgeland stability condition** on the derived Fukaya category, such that the semistable objects of given phase $\hat{\theta}$ are represented by Lagrangian branes with arbitrarily small phase oscillation $|\theta \hat{\theta}| \ll 1$. (Morally, represented by special Lagrangian branes, although these may be too singular).
- Joyce says the way to construct this stability condition is to run Lagrangian mean curvature flow with surgery, and take the infinite time limit.
- ▶ Joyce says the role of unobstructed brane structure and the Fukaya category machinery is to rule out the worst singularities in the flow.

Joyce's picture seems to have rather strong consequences:

automatically.

► The derived Fukaya category is supposedly idempotent closed

- Joyce's picture seems to have rather strong consequences:
 - ► The derived Fukaya category is supposedly idempotent closed automatically.
 - ► The subcategory generated by almost calibrated Lagrangians is supposedly the heart of a bounded *t*-structure, and in particular is an abelian category, and generates the entire $D^b Fuk$

- Joyce's picture seems to have rather strong consequences:
- ► The derived Fukaya category is supposedly idempotent closed automatically.
- ► The subcategory generated by almost calibrated Lagrangians is supposedly the heart of a bounded *t*-structure, and in particular is an abelian category, and generates the entire
- Joyce hopes the Lagrangian mean curvature flow only encounters finitely many surgeries.

 $D^b F_{IJk}$

Question: Can we formulate the Thomas-Yau conjecture in a version circumventing these strong predictions?	

Thomas-Yau conjecture

My attempted interpretation of Thomas-Yau:

- All Lagrangian branes involved are almost calibrated and unobstructed by assumption. They can be immersed (or perhaps more singular).
- ► We say *L* is **Thomas-Yau semistable** if for any exact triangle of almost calibrated branes

$$L_1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow L_1[1],$$

we have the phase angle inequality

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \int_{L_1} \Omega \le \hat{\theta}_2 = \int_{L_2} \Omega.$$

Thomas-Yau conjecture

Thomas-Yau conjecture: consider the quantitatively almost calibrated Lagrangians inside a given $D^bFuk(X)$ class, which is nonempty by assumption. There is a special Lagrangian inside the geometric measure theoretic closure, if and only if the $D^bFuk(X)$ class is Thomas-Yau semistable.