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## Plan

## 1. Twistor spaces of 4-manifolds

Some background, and their role in creating special geometries.
2. A circle action on the cone $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{C P}^{3}$ with $G_{2}$ holonomy

Illustrating its projection to a singular space $\mathbb{R}^{6}=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ à la Atiyah-Witten.

## 3. $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure invariant by $\mathrm{SO}(3)$

Diagnosing the geometry induced by $G_{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ in the same example.
Parts 2 and 3 represent joint work with Bobby Acharya and Robert Bryant, naïvely inspired by the successful use by Foscolo-Haskins-Nordström of circle bundles to construct new manifolds with $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ holonomy.

### 1.1 Penrose's twistor theory

is based on choosing a real form of the Klein correspondence between lines in complex projective 3 -space $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ and points in the quadric $Q^{4}$ :


The real form can be defined by a reduction

$$
\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{C}) \supset\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}
\mathrm{SU}(2,2) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(4,2) & \text { acting on } & S^{3} \times S^{1} \supset \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \\
\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{H}) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(5,1) & " & \mathbb{H} \mathbb{P}^{1}=S^{4} \supset \mathbb{R}^{4} \\
\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(3,3) & " & \mathbb{G r}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right) \supset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the first case, a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ defines a line in a real hypersurface $\mathcal{P N}$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$. Two such $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ 's intersect in $x \in \mathcal{P N}$ iff the points in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ lie on a light ray, while a point $y \in \mathbb{C P}^{3} \backslash \mathcal{P N}$ defines a 3-parameter family of light rays in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

### 1.2 Hopf geometry

We shall concentrate on the second case in which the fibres of

parametrize 'real' lines relative to the antilinear involution $j: \mathbb{C P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{3}$, which acts as the antipodal map on each fibre $S^{2} \cong \mathbb{C P}^{1}$. The fibres are complex, but they have non-trivial normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Any point of $z \in \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{3}$ will induce an almost complex structure on $T_{\pi(z)} S^{4}$, and a complex surface will define an integrable orthogonal complex structure (OCS) on an open subset of $S^{4}$.

Example. A plane $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ determines a (conformally) constant OCS on $\mathbb{R}^{4}=S^{4} \backslash \infty$.

### 1.3 Associated bundles

In place of $S^{4}$, start with any oriented Riemannian 4-manifold $M$. Its $S O(4)$ holonomy defines a splitting

$$
\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M=\Lambda^{+} \oplus \Lambda^{-}
$$

and one can construct the 2-sphere bundle

$M$.

Each point $z \in \pi^{-1}(m)$ defines an almost complex structure on its horizontal space $H_{z} \cong T_{m} M$ (relative to the LeviCivita connection), which can be combined with (1) the natural complex structure on $V_{z} \cong T_{z} S^{2}$, or (2) its negative. This equips $Z$ with almost complex structures $J_{1}, J_{2}$; the latter is never integrable but $c_{1}\left(J_{2}\right)=0$.

The $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-structure on the 7 -dimensional total space $\Lambda^{-}$is compatible with the representation $\mathrm{SO}(4) \subset \mathrm{G}_{2} \subset S O(7)$.

### 1.4 Self-duality in 4 dimensions

A local section s: $U \rightarrow Z$ determines an almost Hermitian structure $\left(g, J_{s}, \omega_{s}\right)$ on $M$. The map $s$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad Z \subset \Lambda^{-} \\
& U \subseteq M
\end{aligned}
$$

- $J_{1}$-holomorphic iff $J_{s}$ is integrable
- $J_{2}$-holomorphic iff $\left(d \omega_{s}\right)^{1,2}=0$
- horizontal iff $\mathrm{J}_{s}$ is Kähler.


## Theorems.

$J_{1}$ is integrable iff $M$ is self-dual, i.e. its Weyl tensor satisfies $W_{-} \equiv 0$ [Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer].

If $\left(Z, J_{1}\right)$ is (compact) Kähler then $M$ is isometric to $S^{4}$ or $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ [Besse, Hitchin]. In the latter case $Z \cong \operatorname{SU}(3) / T^{2}$.

Given $M^{4}$ (compact, oriented) there exists $n$ such that $M \# n \mathbb{C P}^{2}$ admits a self-dual metric [Poon, LeBrun, Joyce; Floer, Donaldson-Friedman, Taubes].

### 1.5 Twistor lifts

Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface. An immersion

$$
\phi: \Sigma \longrightarrow M
$$

can be lifted to $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow Z$, so as to render $\phi_{*}\left(T_{\sigma} \Sigma\right)$ complex.
Proposition [Eells-S, Lichnerowicz]. $\phi$ is harmonic iff $\psi$ is $J_{2}$-holomorphic.
Examples. If $\psi$ is also $J_{1}$-holomorphic then $\phi$ is 'superminimal'. Such immersions can be constructed for any genus using Bryant's formula $\left[1, f-\frac{1}{2} g \frac{d f}{d g}, g, \frac{1}{2} \frac{d f}{d g}\right]$.

In the case $M=S^{4}$ or $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, the twistor space $\left(Z, J_{2}\right)$ admits a compatible 'nearly Kähler' (non-standard Einstein) metric $h$. It follows that the cone $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times Z$ admits a Ricci-flat metric $d r^{2}+r^{2} h$ with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ [Bär].

### 1.6 Symplectic Calabi-Yau spaces

can be constructed from twistor spaces [Fine-Panov].
Take $M$ to be real hyperbolic 4-space. Then $Z$ admits a symplectic form taming $J_{2}$ and $c_{1}\left(J_{2}\right)=0$.
$Z$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$,
a small resolution of the conifold $\{w x-y z=0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$.

$$
Z \cong \frac{\mathrm{SO}(4,1)}{\mathrm{U}(2)}
$$

$\pi \downarrow$
$\mathcal{H}^{4}$.

This construction can be applied to hyperbolic orbifolds $\mathcal{H}^{4} / \Gamma$ by resolving the twistor space to obtain simply-connected examples with $b_{3}=0$ (so non-Kähler) and arbitrary $b_{2}$. Higher dimensional twistor spaces (of even-dimensional Riemannian manifolds) provide further results.

Theorem [Bryant-S]. If $M$ is $S^{4}$ or $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ then the 7-manifold $\Lambda^{-}$admits a complete metric $g$ with holonomy equal to $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and asymptotic to the cone over $Z$.

If $r$ denotes norm in the fibres of $\Lambda^{-}$, one can express

$$
g=\left(r^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2} g_{\mathrm{ver}}+\left(r^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2} g_{\mathrm{hor}}
$$

Over $S^{4}$, the associated 3-form $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{C P}^{3}$ can be pulled back to $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. Then $r=R^{2}$ where $R=\sum_{i=0}^{3}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}$ is the Euclidean norm squared, and

$$
\varphi=d\left(\left(R^{4}+1\right)^{1 / 4} \tau\right), \quad \tau=-d R \wedge \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \wedge \alpha_{3}
$$

Together with a $G_{2}$ metric over $S^{3}$, these were the only such AC metrics known until Foscolo-Haskins-Nordström established the existence of complete $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ metrics on circle bundles $M_{m, n} \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}}$ invariant by $\mathrm{SU}(2)^{2} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. These include ones that are asymptotic to cones over finite quotients of $S^{3} \times S^{3}$.

### 2.1 M-theory

Regard $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ as the quotient of $S^{7}$ inside $\mathbb{C}^{4}=\mathbb{H}^{2}$ by $\mathrm{U}(1)_{R}$, and $S^{4}=S^{7} / \operatorname{Sp}(1)_{R}$. We shall focus on $\mathscr{C}^{7}=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{C P}^{3}$, its conical metric with $G_{2}$ holonomy, and the quotient

$$
\frac{\mathscr{C}^{7}}{\mathrm{U}(1)_{L}} \stackrel{*}{=} \frac{\mathbb{C}^{4}}{T^{2}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}(1)} \times \frac{\mathbb{C}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}(1)} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

We use hyperkähler moment maps to describe the resulting projection

$$
\mathscr{C}^{7} \ni\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \longmapsto(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6}
$$

whose circle fibres collapse over $\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The $G_{2}$ metric on the cone relates to Type IIA string theory of $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ with a singular locus $\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as described by Atiyah-Witten, who state:
"details of the induced metric are unimportant".
Nonetheless, we [Acharya-Bryant-S] set out describe the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure induced on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$, using the bivector formalism.

### 2.2 Gibbons-Hawking coordinates

The action of $\mathrm{U}(1)_{L}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ covers a rotation in 2 coordinates of $S^{4}$ :

| $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\subset$ | $\mathrm{U}(2)_{L}$ | $\subset$ | $\mathrm{Sp}(2)_{L}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ | $\subset$ | $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$ | $\subset$ | $\mathrm{SO}(5)$. |

To make $\mu: \mathscr{C}^{7} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6}$ explicit, observe that

| $\mathrm{U}(1)_{L}$ | acts on | $\mathbb{C}_{0123}^{4}$ | with weights | $(1,1,1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{U}(1)_{R}$ | $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | $(1,-1,1,-1)$ |
| $\Longrightarrow T^{2}$ | acts on | $\mathbb{C}_{02}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}_{13}^{2}$ | with weights | $(1,1) \times(1,1)$. |

Then $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$, where

$$
u_{1}=\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}, \quad u_{2}-i u_{3}=z_{0} \bar{z}_{2} ; \quad v_{1}=\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}, \quad v_{2}-i v_{3}=z_{1} \bar{z}_{3} .
$$

Moreover $R=\sum_{i=0}^{3}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}$ equals $u+v$, where $u=|\mathbf{u}|$ and $v=|\mathbf{v}|$.

### 2.3 Eguchi-Hanson sheets

Provided $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is non-zero, the hyperkähler quotient

$$
\frac{\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{4}: \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{m}\right\}}{\mathrm{U}(1)_{R}} \subset \mathscr{C}^{7}
$$

can be identified with $T^{*} S^{2}$ endowed with a metric $k$ of holonomy $\operatorname{SU}(2)$. It has a triholomorphic action by $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{L}}$ with moment map $\mathbf{u}$.

Its image in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ acquires the harmonic function

$$
V=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|}+\frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{m}|},
$$

used to recover $k=V^{-1} \Theta^{2}+V g_{\text {euc }}$. Each diagonal represents $\left\{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6}: \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{m}\right\}$.


### 2.4 Rotation of the 4-sphere

Consider again the reduction to $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{C}^{7}=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{C P}^{3} \\
& \pi \\
& \downarrow^{4} \\
& S^{4} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

- $\mathbb{S}^{1}=S^{4} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the fixed point set for the action of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$
- $\mathbb{S}^{2}=S^{4} \cap \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the fixed point set for the action of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$.

View $S^{4} \backslash \mathbb{S}^{1}$ as a trivial disk bundle over $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, whose boundaries are fused into $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. If $X$ is the Killing field generated by $\mathrm{SO}(2)$, then

$$
x^{b}=\left(1-s^{2}\right) d t,
$$

where $t: S^{4} \backslash \mathbb{S}^{2} \rightarrow[0,2 \pi)$ is 'longitude' and $s: S^{4} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is sine of 'latitude'. In fact, $s$ represents the radius in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ under the projection $S^{4} \subset \mathbb{R}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ :

### 2.5 Reduced twistor fibration

Orthogonal projection $\mathbb{R}^{5} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ identifies $S^{4} / \mathrm{SO}(2)$ with the closed unit ball $\bar{D}^{3}$ whose boundary is effectively $\mathbb{S}^{2}$.

Proposition. The projection $\mathscr{C}^{7} / \mathrm{U}(1)_{L} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{3}$ is given by

$$
(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \longmapsto \frac{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}}{u+v}=\frac{1}{R}(\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})
$$

and $s=|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}| / R$ equals the radius in $\bar{D}^{3}$.
Examples.

- ( $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ) arises from a point of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ iff $\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$ (implying $u=v$ ).
- ( $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ) maps into $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ (equivalently $s=1$ ) iff $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are aligned.


### 2.6 Two quadrics

help to interpret the preceding geometry. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{+}=\left\{\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \in \mathbb{C P}^{3}: z_{0} \bar{z}_{3}-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}=0\right\} \\
& Q_{-}=\left\{\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \in \mathbb{C P}^{3}: z_{0} z_{1}+z_{2} z_{3}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These subvarieties are both $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ invariant, and arise from points of $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ are aligned (respectively, anti-aligned):

$$
\mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times Q_{ \pm}\right)=\{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}): \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}= \pm \mathbf{u v}\}
$$

While $\pi\left(Q_{+}\right)=\mathbb{S}^{2}$ (making it obvious that $Q_{+} \approx S^{2} \times S^{2}$ ), the holomorphic one $Q_{-}$ double covers

$$
S^{4} \backslash \mathbb{S}^{1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash \mathbb{R} \cong S^{2} \times \mathcal{H}^{2}
$$

encoding the scalar flat Kähler metric [Pontecorvo, S-Viaclovsky]. It is the locus of points in $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ for which the $U(1)_{L}$ orbits are horizontal over $S^{4}$.

### 2.7 Coassociative subvarieties

The defining function for $Q_{+}$equals

$$
z_{0} \bar{z}_{3}-\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}=a e^{i t}
$$

where $a=2 \sqrt{u v-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}$ so that $(a / R)^{2}=1-s^{2}$. Both $a$ and $t$ are invariants for the action of $S O(3)$, as are $u$ and $v$ because $S O(3)$ acts diagonally on $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$.

By general principles, any 3-dimensional $S O(3)$ orbit is contained in a unique coassociative subvariety $V$ of the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ manifold $\mathscr{C}^{7}$ (so $\left.\varphi\right|_{v \equiv 0 \text { ). For the chosen }}$ $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, the resulting family has been described by Karigiannis-Lotay. Our task was to interpret this using $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$.

An $S O(3)$ orbit will intersect a twistor fibre $S^{2}$ of fixed radius over $p \in S^{4} \backslash \mathbb{S}^{1}$ in a parallel circle at 'height' $h \in[-1,1]$ relative to the poles defined by $Q_{-}$. Define another $S O(3)$ invariant

$$
b=u^{2}-v^{2}=R(u-v)=\operatorname{sh} R^{2}
$$

### 2.8 Coassociatives (continued)

Sphere radius, $R^{2}=\frac{a^{2}}{1-s^{2}}$
Circle radius, $R^{2} \sqrt{1-h^{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{a^{4} s^{2}-b^{2}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{2}}}{s\left(1-s^{2}\right)}$.
Theorem [KL, ABS]. Setting $a, b$ constant and (if $s<1$ ) $t$ constant defines a coassociative submanifold of $\mathscr{C}^{7}$ diffeomorphic to $T^{*} S^{2}$ unless $a=b=0$.

A fibre over $p \in S^{2}$ is shown for $(a, b, t)=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$ :
$a=0$ gives coassociatives over $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, while $b=0(a \neq 0)$ gives equators all the way to the twistor fibres over $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.

### 3.1 The induced metric

Let $h$ be the conical metric on $\mathscr{C}^{7}$ with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. We seek the metric $g$ induced on $\mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ by setting

$$
h=\mu^{*} g+N \Theta^{2}
$$

where $\Theta=(X\lrcorner h) / N$ is the connection 1-form, and $N=h(X, X)=6 u v-2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ measures the size of the circle fibres. This makes $\mu$ a Riemannian submersion.

Theorem [ABS].

$$
g=\frac{1}{2} d R^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|d \mathbf{u}+d \mathbf{v}|^{2}+\frac{2}{N}|u d \mathbf{v}-v d \mathbf{u}|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 N} \Gamma_{+}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 N} \Gamma_{-}^{2},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{+}=u d v+v d u-\mathbf{u} \cdot d \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{u}, \\
& \Gamma_{-}=u d v-v d u+\mathbf{u} \cdot d \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. If $u \mathbf{v}= \pm v \mathbf{u}$ then $\Gamma_{ \pm}=0$ (and $N=4 u v$ or $8 u v$ ).

### 3.2 Two-dimensional quadrants

The formula for $g$ simplifies on certain subvarieties of $\mathbb{R}^{6}$. Consider the negative quadrant

$$
\mathscr{L}^{2}=\{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=(0,0, u ; 0,0,-v), u, v>0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

Corollary. The restriction of $g$ to $\mathscr{L}^{2}$ equals

$$
\left(1+\frac{v}{2 u}\right) d u^{2}+d u d v+\left(1+\frac{u}{2 v}\right) d v^{2}
$$

and is locally Euclidean, i.e. $K \equiv 0$.
$\mathscr{L}^{2}$ is in fact superminimal, being the projection of (a cone over an open subset of) a horizontal projective line $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ inside $Q_{-} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{3}$. We shall see that it is also $\mathbb{J}$-holomorphic, where $\mathbb{J}$ is the induced almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$.

### 3.3 Three-dimensional slices

Extend $\mathscr{L}^{2}$ to

$$
\mathscr{L}^{3}=\{(0, u \sin \theta, u \cos \theta ; 0,-v \sin \theta, v \cos \theta)\}
$$

so that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=u v \cos 2 \theta$, and set

$$
u=R \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \phi\right), \quad v=R \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \phi\right)
$$

so that $u+v=R$ and $b=R^{2} \cos \phi$. The orbits of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ are parametrized by $u, v, \theta$, so $\mathscr{L}^{3}$ is a slice to the orbits (expressed symmetrically in $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ).

Corollary. The restriction of $g$ to $\mathscr{L}^{3}$ equals

$$
d R^{2}+\frac{1}{2} R^{2}\left[d \theta^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(3-\cos 2 \theta) d \phi^{2}\right]
$$

This is isometric to a cone over a surface of revolution, illustrated next.

### 3.4 Slices (continued)

Let $P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$.
Then $\mathscr{L}^{3}$ is the cone over the blue surface, and $P \cdot \mathscr{L}^{3}$ the cone over the yellow surface. Together these patches close up topologically to define a torus $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mu^{-1}(\mathscr{T})$ is a cone over $S^{1} \times S^{2}$.

Relative to the metric $g$, vectors in the respective the singular $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ axes meet at an angle of

$$
\frac{1}{2} \pi \leqslant \pi \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}-\frac{1}{8} \cos \theta} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi
$$



### 3.5 The symplectic form

Recall that $\mu: \mathscr{C}^{7}=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{C P}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6}$, and that $R=u+v=|\mathbf{u}|+|\mathbf{v}|$. An almost Kähler structure on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ is defined by scaling $g$ so that the symplectic form

$$
\sigma=X\lrcorner \varphi
$$

has constant norm. Here $X$ is the Killing field generating $\mathrm{U}(1)_{L}$.
Theorem. The vectors $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{q}=R(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v})$ furnish Darboux coordinates:

$$
\sigma=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} d p_{i} \wedge d q_{i}
$$

Note that $\sigma$ extends to $\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and is non-degenerate on $\mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \mathbf{0}$. The projections $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto R^{1 / 2} \mathbf{u}$ and $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto R^{1 / 2} \mathbf{v}$ also have Lagrangian fibres.

### 3.6 The SU(3) structure

This is determined by $g$ and the $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ structure encoded in a complex volume form $\psi$. From the theory of stable forms, $\psi$ is determined by the closed 3 -form

$$
\operatorname{Re} \Psi=X\lrcorner(* \varphi)
$$

which will involve the function $N=h(X, X)=6 u v-2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$.
Proposition. $8 u v \operatorname{Re} \Psi=\frac{1}{6} v\left(N+4 v^{2}\right)\{d \mathbf{u}, d \mathbf{u}, d \mathbf{u}\}$
$-v\left(4 u^{2}+3 u v+\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)\{d \mathbf{v}, d \mathbf{u}, d \mathbf{u}\}$
$+((u+2 v) \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{v}+v \mathbf{u} \cdot d \mathbf{v}) \wedge\{\mathbf{u}, d \mathbf{u}, d \mathbf{u}\}$
$+(v \mathbf{u} \cdot d \mathbf{v}-u \mathbf{v} \cdot d \mathbf{v}) \wedge\{\mathbf{v}, d \mathbf{u}, d \mathbf{u}\}$

+ terms interchanging $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$
This is the closest we can get to an explicit description of the (non-integrable) almost complex structure $\mathbb{J}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$, as there are no easy expressions for $(1,0)$ forms.


### 3.7 Pseudo holomorphic surfaces

Proposition. The linear subvariety

$$
\mathscr{L}^{4}=\left\{\left(0, u_{2}, u_{3} ; 0, v_{2}, v_{3}\right), u v \neq 0\right\}
$$

is $\mathbb{J}$-holomorphic for the induced $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$.
Applying $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, there will be a family of such subvarieties (parametrized by $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ ) that exhaust $\mathbb{R}^{6}$. Any two intersect in a $\mathbb{J}$-holomorphic curve, isomorphic to $\mathscr{L}^{2}$.

Unlike the case of standard $\mathbb{C}^{3}=\mathbb{R}^{3} \oplus \mathrm{~J} \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we cannot extend this $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{G r}_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$.
The action of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ has been used to construct invariant Kähler-Einstein metrics on $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ minus the conic curve $u=v$ with cone angle lying in $\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi, 2 \pi\right.$ ] [C. Li, Dancer-Strachan] and associated Calabi-Yau cones.

### 3.8 Conclusion

We have analysed a quotient of nearly Kähler $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ and its $G_{2}$ cone by $U(1)$. It is convenient to work on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ and (via the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz) identify the quotient with $\mathbb{C}^{4} / T^{2} \cong \mathbb{R}^{6}$. In the holomorphic setting, all the formulae are simpler and related to the Kähler quotient

$$
\mathbb{C P}^{3} / / \mathrm{U}(1) \cong \mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}
$$

For $G_{2}$, we can easily describe the symplectic form and also the curvature 2-form $F=d \Theta$ of $\mu$, but pinning $\mathbb{J}$ down is more difficult. Some modification is necessary when starting with the complete $G_{2}$ metric on $\Lambda^{-} T^{*} S^{4}$.

There remains the motivating conjecture that $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{P}_{p, p, q, q}^{3}$ carries a metric with holonomy $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ [Acharya-Witten]. The constructions can be generalized to a circle acting with different weights on $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, or actions on other $G_{2}$ manifolds, though this study will involve real invariant theory outside the familiar hyperkähler setting.

