Homotopy Associative Submanifolds in *G*₂-Manifolds

Sebastian Goette

University of Freiburg

SCSHGAP Seventh Annual Meeting September 7–8, 2023

\triangleright Associative submanifolds Intro, properties, examples, questions

 \blacktriangleright Homotopy associative submanifolds Definition and first properties

\blacktriangleright Basic Results

Bordism sets and groups, and classes of homotopy associatives

\blacktriangleright Singularities

Some constructions and computations

Let (M, φ) be a closed seven-manifold with holonomy G_2 Then $\varphi\in\Omega^3(M)$ is a calibration An associative submanifold $A \subset M$ is a three-dimensional submanifold that is calibrated by φ (Harvey and Lawson 1982)

Recall that φ defines a metric on *M*, has comass(φ) = 1, and $d\varphi = 0$ Then *A* is calibrated by φ if and only if $\varphi|_A = d$ vol_{*A*} In particular, *A* is a volume minimising submanifold within its homology class in $H_3(M)$

Generic associative submanifolds are rigid (McLean 1998), in particular

- \blacktriangleright they are isolated in the space of three-dimensional submanifolds
- In they vary smoothly with φ for small deformations of φ

Associative Submanifolds—Counting

Associative submanifolds share certain properties with complex curves in Calabi-Yau three-manifolds

Question

Can one count associative submanifolds and get subtle invariants of (M, φ) as for example in Gromov-Witten theory? Related to counting problem for G₂-instantons (Donaldson-Segal 2011, Haydys-Walpuski 2015)

Problem

Naive counting is not invariant under modifications of φ As φ varies, one may have obstructed or singular associatives like

Associative Submanifolds—Example of a Bifurcation 5/29

Let $(\varphi_t)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$ be a family of torsionfree G_2 -structures Assume that A_t is an immersed associative for φ_t with one selfintersection for $t = 0$ and no other singularities

Then at $t = 0$ another family of associative submanifolds is created or destroyed that looks like the connected sum of the two branches (Joyce, Nordström, Bera) It has the local geometry of a Lawlor neck (a certain special Lagrangian in \mathbb{C}^3 , Lawlor 1989)

This picture looks like the skein relation from knot theory

- Associative submanifolds are calibrated submanifolds in *G*₂-manifolds They are generically rigid, but otherwise hard to control
- \triangleright Associative submanifolds are expected to behave analogous to complex curves in Calabi-Yau threefolds
- \triangleright Counting associative submanifolds is tricky because of bifurcations There may be more problems
- \triangleright Conjectural counting schemes have been proposed by Joyce (2018, $b_1(A) = 0$) and Doan-Walpuski (2019, $b_1(A) > 1$)
- \triangleright Some pictures of associatives look like pictures from knot theory But knot theory and complex curves are not entirely unrelated (Ekholm-Shende)

Homotopy Associatives **Figure 2012 Homotopy** Associatives

We (Andriy Haydys and myself) attempt to use topological methods to

- \triangleright get an overview of all possible associative submanifolds
- \triangleright get around all the analytic problems—or at least postpone them

There is no naive *h*-principle, so we will loose information

We distinguish associative submanifolds by cobordism classes Finer than homology, but coarser than homotopy

We also consider normal *G*-structures, for $G \subset SO(4) \subset G_2$, for example

- \triangleright $G = SO(3)$ describes Joyce's flagged associatives
- \triangleright $G =$ Spin(3) describes the deformation operator as a spin Dirac operator
- \triangleright $G = Sp(1)$ describes associatives with trivialised tangent bundle

All this might work analogously for other kinds of calibrated submanifolds

Homotopy Associatives—Pontryagin-Thom in a nutshell $_{8/29}$

Translate submanifold theory to homotopy theory (Thom 1954, Pontryagin 1955) Let *G* be a Lie group with a fixed representation of real dimension *k*

Let *N* ⊂ *M* be a *G*-submanifold with normal bundle ν The *G*-structure on ν is classified by $f: N \rightarrow BG$

A tubular neighbourhood $U \cong \nu$ maps properly to the universal vector bundle $\textit{VG} = \textit{EG} \times_{G} \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \textit{BG}$

The Thom space *MG* is the one-point compactification of *VG* Send *M* \ *U* to ∞

Conversely if $F \pitchfork BG$ recover $N = F^{-1}(BG)$ and $\bar{f} = dF|_{\nu}$ $\overline{\hspace{1cm}} \overline{N} \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} \overline{BG}$

Theorem (Pontryagin-Thom)

 $\Omega_G^k(M) \cong [M_+, MG]$

Homotopy Associatives—The space *XG* 8/29 **9/29**

Let $G \subset SO(4)$ be a subgroup and $Ass_G = G_2/G$ $\textsf{Take } BG = EG_2/G \cong EG_2 \times_{G_2} \textsf{Ass}_G$ Let $\mathsf{VAss}_G = G_2 \times_G \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathsf{Ass}_G$ and $\mathsf{take}\ \mathsf{VG} = \mathsf{EG}_2 \times_{G} \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathsf{EG}_2 \times_{G_2} \mathsf{VAss}_G$ Let *YG* denote the Thom space of $V\text{Ass}_{G} \rightarrow \text{Ass}_{G}$ and define $XG = EG_2 \times_{G_2} YG$ The points at infinity give a map $\iota: BG_2 \to XG$ Collapsing them to one point gives $q: XG \rightarrow MG$ Recover $MG \leftrightarrow VG \twoheadrightarrow BG$ from the Pontryagin-Thom construction Regard $XG \leftrightarrow VG \twoheadrightarrow BG$ as a bundle version over *BG*₂

Definition

A homotopy *G*-associative in a *G*-bordism class $[\alpha] \in [M_+, MG]$ and over the *G*₂-structure $\tau : M \to BG$ ₂ is a map $F : M \to XG$ such that $p \circ F = \tau$ and $q \circ F \sim \alpha$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nMG & \xrightarrow{q} & XG \\
\alpha & \xrightarrow{f} & \downarrow{p} \\
M & \xrightarrow{f} & BG_2\n\end{array}
$$

 W rite $h\mathcal{A}sso_G(M,\tau)=\Gamma(\tau^*XG\to M)$ and $hA_G(M,\tau)=h\mathcal{A}sso_G(M,\tau)/{\sim}$

If $F \pitchfork BG$, let $A = F^{-1}(BG)$, so $A \in [\alpha] \in \Omega^4_G(M)$ for $\alpha = q \circ F$ Let $\nu \to A$ be the normal bundle and $a \in A$, then $dF_a|_{\nu}$: $\nu_a \to VG_{F(a)} \cong VAs_{G}$ identifies ν*^a* with a coassociative subspace of *TaM* with a *G*-structure

Let *M* be a *G*₂-manifold with *G*₂-structure τ : $M \rightarrow BG_2$ $\mathsf{B}\mathsf{G}_{\tau(\rho)}=\big\{ \text{ coassociative G-subspaces of } \mathcal{T}_\rho \mathsf{M} \big\} \cong \mathsf{Ass}_G$ Let *A* ⊂ *M* be an associative *G*-submanifold \overline{F} For $a \in A$, get $f(a) \in BG_{\tau(a)}$ and \overline{f} : $\nu_a \stackrel{\cong}{\rightarrow} \overline{VG}_{f(a)} \subset \overline{VG}_{\tau(a)}$ Let $U \cong \nu$ be a tubular neighbourhood of A Using fibre transport, map $U \rightarrow VG$ over τ $\mathsf{Finally, map}\ p\in M\setminus U\ \mathsf{to}\ \varpi_{\tau(p)}\in XG_{\tau(p)}\cong YG.$ This turns an associative *G*-submanifold *A* into a homotopy *G*-associative $F \in hAsso_G(M, \tau)$

More generally, let $\mathcal{A}sso_G(M) \to \mathcal{G}_2(M)$ describe all associative *G*-submanifolds for all *G*₂-structures on *M* and construct a map

 $\mathsf{hofib}\big(\mathcal{A}\mathsf{SSO}_G(\mathsf{M})\rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_2(\mathsf{M}),\varphi_0)\big) \quad\longrightarrow\quad \mathsf{h}\mathcal{A}\mathsf{SSO}_G(\mathsf{M},\tau_0)$

Recall that elements of this homotopy fibre are pairs $((\varphi_t)_{t\in [0,1]}, \mathcal{A})$ of

- \blacktriangleright A path $(\varphi_t)_t$ of torsion-free G_2 -structures
- \blacktriangleright A *G*-associative *A* in (*M*, φ_1)

Using fibre transport, we can turn *A* into a homotopy *G*-associative in (M, φ_0)

We might even hope for a naive *h*-principle: Each class in $hA_G(M, \tau_0)$ would then be realised by an element of hofib $(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}o_G(\mathcal{M}) \to (\mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{M}),\varphi_0))$ Idea. Add extra dimensions to make life easier But never leave the G_2 -world! In particular, do not make $G \subset SO(4)$ larger

 \blacktriangleright Consider compact threedimensional submanifolds of $M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ with normal bundle $\nu = \nu' \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^k$ having a *G*-structure on ν'

> Ω_G^{4+k} $\mathcal{C}_G^{4+k}(M\times\mathbb{R}^k)\cong [\mathcal{S}^kM_+, \mathcal{S}^kMG]$

- **P** Replace *YG* by S^k *YG* and *XG* by X^k *G* = $EG_2 \times_{G_2} S^k$ *YG* $\mathsf{Define}~h\mathcal{A}ss$ o $^k_G(M,\tau)=\Gamma(\tau^*X^kG)$ and $h\mathcal{A}ss$ o $^s_G=\text{colim}_{k\to\infty}~h\mathcal{A}ss$ o k_G
- ▶ Turn immersed *G*-associatives into stable homotopy *G*-associatives
- \triangleright Get group structures on Ω^{4+k} $\frac{A+k}{G}(M\times \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $hA_G^k(M,\tau)=h\mathcal{A}ss$ o $_G^k(M,\tau)/\!\!\sim$ Note: $k > 1$ suffices and gives abelian groups

We will see that $G = SO(3)$ and $k \ge 1$ fits with Joyce's proposal

 \blacktriangleright The Pontryagin-Thom construction gives an isomorphism

$$
[M_+, MG] \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^k_G(M)
$$

- \triangleright We replace *MG* by a new space *XG* that fibres over BG_2 It contains a copy of BG_2 at infinity, and collapsing it gives back MG
- **I** Homotopy *G*-associatives for a *G*₂-structure $\tau : M \rightarrow BG_2$ are sections of $\tau^*XG \to M$
- ▶ A modified Pontryagin-Thom construction turns (immersed) associative *G*-submanifolds into (stable) homotopy *G*-associatives
- ▶ The space of homotopy *G*-associatives captures the full homotopy fibre of associatives over arbitrary G_2 -structures on M

Basic Results 15/29

Before we look at concrete constructions, we need some basic facts about bordism sets and groups

- **I Can every class in** $H_3(M) \cong H^4(M)$ **be realised as a** *G***-bordism class?—Yes**
- \blacktriangleright Is the representation in $\Omega_G^4(M)$ unique?—Only for *G* = *SO*(4)
- I Does stabilisation introduce new bordism classes?—No
- $▶$ What are the preimages of $Ω_G^4(M) → Ω_G^{4+k}$ $_G^{4+k}(M\times\mathbb{R}^k)?$ Do they have a geometric meaning?

We also want to know how bordism classes refine to homotopy *G*-associatives

- \blacktriangleright Can every class in Ω^{4+*k*}</sup> $^{4+k}_G(M)$ be realised in h A $^k_G(M,\tau)$?—Yes
- Is this representation unique?—Only for $G = SO(4)$ and $k \ge 1$

► What are the preimages of
$$
hA_G^k(M, \tau) \rightarrow \Omega_G^{4+k}(M \times \mathbb{R}^k)
$$
? Do they have a geometric meaning?

Basic Results—Bordism Sets and Groups 16/29

Let dim $M < 7$ Thom (1954) has shown that $\Omega^4_{SO(4)}(M)\cong H^4(M)$ By Freudenthal's suspension theorem also Ω $^{4+k}_{SO}$ $\mathop{SO(4)}^{4+k}(M\times \mathbb{R}^k)\cong H^4(M)$

Let *M* be a spin 7-manifold. For other groups *G* ⊂ *SO*(4), we get

$$
\Omega^4_G(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{4+k}_G(M\times \mathbb{R}^k) \longrightarrow \Omega^{4+k}_{SO(4)}(M\times \mathbb{R}^k) \cong H^4(M)
$$

To understand the preimages of the second map, obstruction theory tells us compute

$$
\pi_{k+\ell}(MSO(4+k),S^kMG) \qquad \text{for } \ell \leq 8.
$$

For simply connected groups *G* like Spin(3), *Sp*(1) or {*e*}, there are too many obstruction groups for a simple answer

Basic Results—Flagged Bordism Classes 17/29

For $G = SO(3)$, Pontryagin-Thom gives

 $\Omega^4_{SO(3)}(\mathcal{M})\cong [M_+,S^1MSO(3)]\cong\Set{N\subset M}$ with normal bundle $\nu\cong \nu'\oplus\mathbb{R}}\backslash\sim$

Adapting Joyce's terminology, we call this "flagged oriented cobordism"

Because $SO(3) \rightarrow SO(4 + k)$ is 2-connected, we compute

$$
\pi_{k+\ell}(MSO(4+k), S^kMSO(3)) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \ell \leq 7, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } \ell = 8 \text{ and } k = 0, \text{ and } \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{for } \ell = 8 \text{ and } k \geq 1. \end{cases}
$$

Hence, $\mathbb Z$ acts on $\Omega^4_{SO(3)}(M)$ with quotient $\Omega^4_{SO(4)}(M)$, and for $k\geq 1,$

$$
0\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\longrightarrow \Omega^{4+k}_{SO(3)}(M\times \mathbb{R}^k)\longrightarrow \Omega^4_{SO(4)}(M)\longrightarrow 0
$$

Theorem

Let [α] ∈ [*M*+, *MG*] *describe a G-bordism class in M and let* τ: *M* → *BG*₂ *describe a (topological) G*₂-structure on *M*

- **►** Then there exist (stable) homotopy G-associatives $F : M \rightarrow XG$ in [α] over τ
- $▶$ The group coker $(R_*: \pi_{8+k}(S^kMG) \rightarrow \pi_{8+k}(S^kMG, S^kVG))$ *acts on hA*^{k}_{G}(M, τ), and Ω^{4+k}_G $^{4+k}_G(M\times \mathbb{R}^k)\cong hA_G^k(M,\tau)/\operatorname{coker}(R_\ast)$

Remark

- ▶ We will see that $\pi_{8+k}(S^kMG, S^kVG) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ So coker(*R*∗) is a cyclic group
- \blacktriangleright There is no naive *h*-principle in this setting For an associative $A \in [\alpha]$ in (M, φ) , we must have $\varphi[A] > 0$ Choose $[\alpha]$ representing $\beta^2 \in H^4(M)$ for $\beta \in H^2(M)$, then $\varphi[A] < 0$

$$
\pi_{k+\ell}(S^kMG) \xrightarrow{R_*} \pi_{k+\ell}(S^kMG, S^kYG) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow H \qquad \cong \downarrow H
$$
\n
$$
H_{k+\ell}(S^kMG) \longrightarrow H_{k+\ell}(S^kMG, S^kYG) \xrightarrow{\cong} \downarrow \Theta
$$
\n
$$
H_{\ell-4}(BG) \xrightarrow{r_*} H_{\ell-4}(BG, Ass_G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \uparrow H
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{\ell-4}(BG, Ass_G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \downarrow \pi_*
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{\ell-4}(BG_2)
$$

Consider potential obstructions against *F* Because *BG*₂ is 3-connected, we have a chain of isomorphisms (*H*: Hurewicz, Θ: Thom) For $\ell < 7$ this column is 0 Hence, no obstructions against *F* For $\ell = 8$ this column is \mathbb{Z} Possibly different choices for *F*

The image of *R*[∗] does not affect *F* The map r_* : $H_4(BG) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for $\ell = 8$ is given by evaluating $\rho_1 + e \in H^4(BG)$

Basic Results—Relations 20/29 and 20/29

We look for generators $[\mathcal{N}]$ of $\pi_{8+k}(\mathcal{S}^k\mathcal{M} G)$ that provide relations for $\mathrm{coker}(\mathcal{R}_*)$

Basic Results—Summary 21/29

► Bordism sets and groups $(k > 1)$ and classes of homotopy associatives

In each *G*-cobordism class α there are homotopy *G*-associatives Hence there is no naive *h*-principle

For F in an open dense subset $\mathit{hAsso}_G^{\mathcal{K},\mathrm{reg}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ of $\mathit{hAsso}_G^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ we have F \pitchfork $BG,$ so we get smooth submanifolds $A=F^{-1}(BG)\subset M$ The connected components of $h\mathcal{A} sso^{k, \mathrm{reg}}_{G}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are separated by subsets of "mildly singular" homotopy *G*-associatives

The same is expected to happen in the analytic description But the codimensions of corresponding subsets may differ

We need to describe certain types of singularities to complete our overview both of associative *G*-submanifolds, and of homotopy *G*-associatives

```
Given a family At of G-associatives
that are submanifolds for t \neq 0
```

```
Construct a cobordism W between A−t and At
for some t > 0
```
Turn it into a *G*-cobordism If necessary, change the normal *G*-structure Obstruction against a lift *F*

Difference between A_{-t} and A_t in $hA_G(M,\tau)$

Maybe we can "see" a passage through a singularity by a change in the *G*-cobordism class or the class of the homotopy *G*-associative Try to compare this with known results or conjectures

Singularities—Changes of Orientation 24/29

Let $(\varphi_s)_s$ be a family of torsion free G_2 -structures on M Let $(\mathcal{A}_t)_t$ be a smooth family of flagged associative submanifolds in $(\mathcal{M},\varphi_{t^2})$ Under certain assumptions, the A_t are unobstructed for $t \neq 0$ and have opposite Joyce orientation (flag) for *t* > 0 and for *t* < 0

View each A_t as a homotopy $SO(3)$ -associative for (M, φ_0) $\textsf{Consider the bordism } \bigcup_{t} A_t \times \{(t^2,t)\} \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^2$ Regarding $s = t^2$ as bordism parameter, we have $0=[\mathcal{A}_t]+[\mathcal{A}_{-t}]\in \Omega^5_{SO(3)}(\mathcal{M}\times \mathbb{R})$

To acchieve compatibility with Joyce, we may try to

- **►** identify $-[A] \in \Omega_{SO(3)}^{5}(M \times \mathbb{R})$ with [A], equipped with the opposite flag
- \blacktriangleright using a flag structure, identify $\Omega_{SO(3)}^5(M \times \mathbb{R}) / \sim$ with $\Omega_{SO(4)}^4(M) \cong H_3(M)$

This could even lead to a "twisted" *h*-principle

Let $(A_t)_t$ be a family of *G*-associatives that are submanifolds for $t \neq 0$ Assume that A_0 has only one isolated singularity at x_0 All generic singularities of homotopy associatives are of this type

Let *B* be a cobordism between *A*−*^t* and *A^t* for some *t* > 0 Assume that there exists a ball $U \cong B^7$ around x_0 such that

$$
B \setminus (U \times [0,1]) = (A_{-t} \setminus U) \times [0,1]
$$

If we can choose a normal frame along $W = B \cap (U \times [0, 1]),$ we obtain an element in [∂*W*, G₂] Use this to determine the difference between A_{-t} and A_t in $hA_G(M,\tau)$ Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \in (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$ be a family of torsionfree G_2 -structures Assume that A_t is an immersed associative for φ_t with one selfintersection for $t = 0$ and no other singularities

We may assume that $\bigcup_t \mathcal{A}_t \times \{t\}$ has a transversal selfintersection in $M \times \mathbb{R}$ Then *A*−*^t* and *A^t* are stably isotopic

But what about the extra family with a Lawlor neck?

Singularities—Connected Sums 27/29 27/29

Construct an unstable *SO*(4)-cobordism $W = W_-\cup_{W_0} W_+ \subset M \times I$ between first and second branches on both sides with a Lawlor neck in the middle

*W*_− contains a critical point of index 1 *W*⁺ contains a critical point of index 3

One can compute $p_1(\nu)[W_+, \partial W_+] = 0$ and $e(\nu)[W_+, \partial W_+] = 1$ Hence, $[A_-]$, $[A_0]$, $[A_+]$ are three different lifts of $[A] \in \Omega^4_{SO(4)}(M)$ to $hA_{SO(4)}(M)$ Regarding *W* as a stable *SO*(3)-cobordism, get different flags on *A*−, *A*0, *A*⁺

Singularities—Stable versus Unstable 28/29 28/29

Consider the three known resolutions of a generic selfintersection singularity They live in $\mathbb{C}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ So there are preferred flags

We have unstable *SO*(4)-bordisms W_+ They do not respect the preferred flag

And *A*[−] and *A*⁺ are stably isotopic

- \blacktriangleright Unstably, A_+ and A_0 realise all three lifts of their bordism class to $hA_{SO(4)}(M,\tau)$
- I Unstably, A_+ and A_0 have pairwise different $SO(3)$ -structures (flags)
- ▶ Stably, $[A_+] = [A_+] \in hA_{SO(3)}^s(M, \tau)$ But the preferred stable flag on A_0 is opposite to the one from A_+

Singularities—Summary 29/29 and 2011 and 29/29

- \triangleright We need to understand generic singularities to get an overview over all possible (homotopy) associatives
- \triangleright Generic topological singularities are isolated and realised by surgery
- \triangleright Some "geometrically generic" singularities are not "topologically generic"
- ► The "type" of a (stable) homotopy *G*-associative can change after passing through a singularity—depending on *G* and stabilisation
- ▶ There is a space of homotopy *G*-associatives It captures the full homotopy fibre of true associative *G*-submanifolds
- ▶ One can study the subset of regular homotopy *G*-associatives
- \triangleright Topology can help to understand the counting problem But some geometry is still needed to solve it

Thanks a lot for your attention!