Extra twisted connected sums and their ν-invariants

Sebastian Goette

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Freiburg

SCSHGAP Second Annual Meeting
September 13–14, 2018

Outline

- G_2-geometry
  Intro, properties, examples, questions
- The ν-invariant
  Differential topology, definition of ν, properties, first examples
- Extra twisted connected sums
  Construction, properties, problems
- Computation of the ν-invariant
  Computations with η-invariants, examples, questions

Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle



Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle



Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle



Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle



Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle

A vector is rotated by an angle equal to the spherical area of the triangle (Gauß-Bonnet theorem)



Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle

A vector is rotated by an angle equal to the spherical area of the triangle (Gauß-Bonnet theorem)

The subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T_pS^2)$ of all these parallel translations is the holonomy group

$$\mathrm{Hol}(S^2, g^{\mathrm{rnd}}) \cong SO(2)$$



Consider parallel translation along a spherical triangle

A vector is rotated by an angle equal to the spherical area of the triangle (Gauß-Bonnet theorem)

The subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T_pS^2)$ of all these parallel translations is the holonomy group

$$\mathrm{Hol}(S^2, g^{\mathrm{rnd}}) \cong SO(2)$$

Related: the spherical area formula

$$A(\Delta) = \alpha + \beta + \gamma - \pi \; .$$



Theorem (Berger)

The only possible holonomy groups of complete, simply connected Riemannian manifolds that are neither a product nor a symmetric space are

G_2-geometry—Berger's list

Theorem (Berger)

The only possible holonomy groups of complete, simply connected Riemannian manifolds that are neither a product nor a symmetric space are

Holonomy group	dim	ric	*Structure*	*Parallel spinors*	*Name*
$SO(n)$	n				*generic case*
$U(k)$	$2k$		J		*Kähler*
$SU(k)$	$2k$	0	J, Ω	2	*Calabi-Yau*
$Sp(\ell) \cdot Sp(1)$	4ℓ	const	$\langle I, J, K \rangle$		*Quat. Kähler*
$Sp(\ell)$	4ℓ	0	I, J, K, Ω	$\ell + 1$	*hyper Kähler*
G_2	7	0	$\varphi \in \Omega^3$	1	*exceptional*
Spin(7)	8	0	$\psi \in \Omega^4$	1	*exceptional*

Theorem (Berger)

The only possible holonomy groups of complete, simply connected Riemannian manifolds that are neither a product nor a symmetric space are

Holonomy group	dim	ric	*Structure*	*Parallel spinors*	*Name*
$SO(n)$	n				*generic case*
$U(k)$	$2k$		J		*Kähler*
$SU(k)$	$2k$	0	J, Ω	2	*Calabi-Yau*
$Sp(\ell) \cdot Sp(1)$	4ℓ	const	$\langle I, J, K \rangle$		*Quat. Kähler*
$Sp(\ell)$	4ℓ	0	I, J, K, Ω	$\ell + 1$	*hyper Kähler*
G_2	7	0	$\varphi \in \Omega^3$	1	*exceptional*
Spin(7)	8	0	$\psi \in \Omega^4$	1	*exceptional*

Why consider G_2-manifolds?

G_2-Geometry—Some motivation

Why consider G_2-manifolds?

Mathematical motivation

- Only special holonomy group for odd dimensional manifolds
- Only G_2 and Spin(7) holonomy have no direct relation to algebraic geometry

Hence, new methods are needed

G_2-Geometry—Some motivation

Why consider G_2-manifolds?

Mathematical motivation

- Only special holonomy group for odd dimensional manifolds
- Only G_2 and Spin(7) holonomy have no direct relation to algebraic geometry

Hence, new methods are needed

Physical motivation

- In string theory, spacetime takes the form $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times V$, where V is Calabi-Yau
- In M-theory, spacetime takes the form $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times M$, where M is a G_2-manifold
- Possible relations to other physical theories

Hence, many fruitful interactions possible

Characterisations of the Lie group G_2 give characterisations of G_2-manifolds

- $G_2 = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$
  Hence G_2 preserves $\varphi = \langle \cdot \times \cdot, \cdot \rangle \in \Lambda^3 \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \cong \Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^7$

Characterisations of the Lie group G_2 give characterisations of G_2-manifolds

- $G_2 = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$
  Hence G_2 preserves $\varphi = \langle \cdot \times \cdot, \cdot \rangle \in \Lambda^3 \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \cong \Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^7$
- The stabiliser of φ in $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is G_2
  The $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$-orbit of $\varphi \in \Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^7$ is open (not dense)
  Forms in this orbit are called positive

Characterisations of the Lie group G_2 give characterisations of G_2-manifolds

- $G_2 = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$
  Hence G_2 preserves $\varphi = \langle \cdot \times \cdot, \cdot \rangle \in \Lambda^3 \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \cong \Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^7$
- The stabiliser of φ in $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$ is G_2
  The $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$-orbit of $\varphi \in \Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^7$ is open (not dense)
  Forms in this orbit are called positive
- A positive 3-form on M determines a G_2-structure and a metric g_φ
  Call φ torsion free if $d\varphi = d^*_{g_\varphi}\varphi = 0$ (nonlinear condition)
  Then (M, g_φ) has $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g_\varphi) \subset G_2$ if and only if φ is torsion-free

Characterisations of the Lie group G_2 give characterisations of G_2-manifolds

- $G_2 = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$
  Hence G_2 preserves $\varphi = \langle \cdot \times \cdot, \cdot \rangle \in \Lambda^3 \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \cong \Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^7$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{O}$
- The stabiliser of φ in $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is G_2
  The $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$-orbit of $\varphi \in \Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^7$ is open (not dense)
  Forms in this orbit are called positive
- A positive 3-form on M determines a G_2-structure and a metric g_φ
  Call φ torsion free if $d\varphi = d^*_{g_\varphi} \varphi = 0$ (nonlinear condition)
  Then (M, g_φ) has $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g_\varphi) \subset G_2$ if and only if φ is torsion-free
- G_2 is compact, simply connected, so G_2-manifolds are Riemannian and spin
  The stabiliser of a nonzero spinor in $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ is G_2
  A Riemannian 7-manifold (M, g) has $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) \subset G_2$
  if and only if it is spin and there exists a nonzero parallel spinor

Let $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ be positive and closed
There exists a bilinear form B on $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ given for closed forms α, $\beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$B([\alpha],[\beta]) = ([\alpha] \smile [\beta] \smile [\varphi])[M] = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \varphi$$

Let $p_1(TM) \in H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the first Pontryagin class

[1] Spiro Karigiannis pointed out after the talk that another requirement is almost formality, see recent work by Chan, Karigiannis and Tsang, arXiv:1801.06410

Let $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ be positive and closed
There exists a bilinear form B on $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ given for closed forms $\alpha,\ \beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$B([\alpha],[\beta]) = ([\alpha] \smile [\beta] \smile [\varphi])[M] = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \varphi$$

Let $p_1(TM) \in H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the first Pontryagin class

If M is closed and $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\varphi) \subset G_2$ then

- M is oriented and spin and $b_3(M) = \dim H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \geq 1$

[1]Spiro Karigiannis pointed out after the talk that another requirement is almost formality, see recent work by Chan, Karigiannis and Tsang, arXiv:1801.06410

Let $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ be positive and closed
There exists a bilinear form B on $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ given for closed forms $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$B([\alpha],[\beta]) = ([\alpha] \smile [\beta] \smile [\varphi])[M] = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \varphi$$

Let $p_1(TM) \in H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the first Pontryagin class

If M is closed and $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\varphi) \subset G_2$ then

- M is oriented and spin and $b_3(M) = \dim H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \geq 1$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \iff \#\pi_1(M) < \infty$

[1]Spiro Karigiannis pointed out after the talk that another requirement is almost formality, see recent work by Chan, Karigiannis and Tsang, arXiv:1801.06410

Let $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ be positive and closed
There exists a bilinear form B on $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ given for closed forms $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$B([\alpha],[\beta]) = ([\alpha] \smile [\beta] \smile [\varphi])[M] = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \varphi$$

Let $p_1(TM) \in H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the first Pontryagin class

If M is closed and $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\varphi) \subset G_2$ then

- M is oriented and spin and $b_3(M) = \dim H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \geq 1$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \iff \#\pi_1(M) < \infty$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \implies B$ is negative definite

[1] Spiro Karigiannis pointed out after the talk that another requirement is almost formality, see recent work by Chan, Karigiannis and Tsang, arXiv:1801.06410

Let $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ be positive and closed
There exists a bilinear form B on $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ given for closed forms $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$B([\alpha],[\beta]) = ([\alpha] \smile [\beta] \smile [\varphi])[M] = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \varphi$$

Let $p_1(TM) \in H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the first Pontryagin class

If M is closed and $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\varphi) \subset G_2$ then

- M is oriented and spin and $b_3(M) = \dim H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \geq 1$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \quad \iff \quad \#\pi_1(M) < \infty$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \quad \implies \quad B$ is negative definite
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \quad \implies \quad \big(p_1(TM) \smile [\varphi]\big)[M] < 0$

---

[1] Spiro Karigiannis pointed out after the talk that another requirement is almost formality, see recent work by Chan, Karigiannis and Tsang, arXiv:1801.06410

Let $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ be positive and closed
There exists a bilinear form B on $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ given for closed forms $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ by

$$B([\alpha],[\beta]) = ([\alpha] \smile [\beta] \smile [\varphi])[M] = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \varphi$$

Let $p_1(TM) \in H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the first Pontryagin class

If M is closed and $\mathrm{Hol}(M,\varphi) \subset G_2$ then

- M is oriented and spin and $b_3(M) = \dim H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \geq 1$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \iff \#\pi_1(M) < \infty$
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \implies B$ is negative definite
- $\mathrm{Hol}(M,g) = G_2 \implies \big(p_1(TM) \smile [\varphi]\big)[M] < 0$

These are all known[1] obstructions against holonomy G_2

[1] Spiro Karigiannis pointed out after the talk that another requirement is almost formality, see recent work by Chan, Karigiannis and Tsang, arXiv:1801.06410

Let M be a compact oriented spin 7-manifold and define

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \varphi \in \Omega^3(M) \mid \varphi \text{ is positive and torsion free } \}$$

Let M be a compact oriented spin 7-manifold and define

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\, \varphi \in \Omega^3(M) \mid \varphi \text{ is positive and torsion free } \}$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ be the connected component of id_M. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}$$

is called the G_2-moduli space of M

Let M be a compact oriented spin 7-manifold and define

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ \varphi \in \Omega^3(M) \;\middle|\; \varphi \text{ is positive and torsion free } \right\}$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ be the connected component of id_M. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}$$

is called the G_2-moduli space of M

Theorem (Joyce)

The G_2-moduli space is a manifold, and the map

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \qquad \textit{with} \qquad [\varphi] \longmapsto [\varphi]$$

is a local diffeomorphism

G_2-geometry—The moduli space

Let M be a compact oriented spin 7-manifold and define

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \varphi \in \Omega^3(M) \mid \varphi \text{ is positive and torsion free } \}$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ be the connected component of id_M. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{D}$$

is called the G_2-moduli space of M

Theorem (Joyce)

The G_2-moduli space is a manifold, and the map

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow H^3(M;\mathbb{R}) \qquad \textit{with} \qquad [\varphi] \longmapsto [\varphi]$$

is a local diffeomorphism

Not much is known about the global structure of $\mathcal{M}$

G_2-geometry—Constructions I

To construct subsets of the G_2-moduli space means to construct deformation families of G_2-manifolds first

G_2-geometry—Constructions I

To construct subsets of the G_2-moduli space means to construct deformation families of G_2-manifolds first

- Bryant ’87: first non-complete examples
- Bryant and Salamon ’89: first complete examples
- Joyce ’96: first closed examples

G_2-geometry—Constructions I

To construct subsets of the G_2-moduli space means to construct deformation families of G_2-manifolds first

- Bryant '87: first non-complete examples
- Bryant and Salamon '89: first complete examples
- Joyce '96: first closed examples

Joyce's construction: let a "rich enough" finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset G_2$ act on flat T^7 with "sufficiently many" fixpoints, preserving a parallel positive 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(T^7)$

To construct subsets of the G_2-moduli space means to construct deformation families of G_2-manifolds first

- Bryant '87: first non-complete examples
- Bryant and Salamon '89: first complete examples
- Joyce '96: first closed examples

Joyce's construction: let a "rich enough" finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset G_2$ act on flat T^7 with "sufficiently many" fixpoints, preserving a parallel positive 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(T^7)$
The stabilisers of fixpoints $p \in T^7$ are isomorphic to subgroups of $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

G_2-geometry—Constructions I

To construct subsets of the G_2-moduli space means to construct deformation families of G_2-manifolds first

- Bryant '87: first non-complete examples
- Bryant and Salamon '89: first complete examples
- Joyce '96: first closed examples

Joyce's construction: let a "rich enough" finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset G_2$ act on flat T^7 with "sufficiently many" fixpoints, preserving a parallel positive 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(T^7)$
The stabilisers of fixpoints $p \in T^7$ are isomorphic to subgroups of $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$
By gluing in suitable bundles of noncompact Calabi-Yau manifolds
in place of the singularities, Joyce constructs a desingularisation

$$M \longrightarrow T^7/\Gamma$$

G_2-geometry—Constructions I

To construct subsets of the G_2-moduli space means to construct deformation families of G_2-manifolds first

- Bryant '87: first non-complete examples
- Bryant and Salamon '89: first complete examples
- Joyce '96: first closed examples

Joyce's construction: let a "rich enough" finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset G_2$ act on flat T^7 with "sufficiently many" fixpoints, preserving a parallel positive 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(T^7)$
The stabilisers of fixpoints $p \in T^7$ are isomorphic to subgroups of $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$
By gluing in suitable bundles of noncompact Calabi-Yau manifolds in place of the singularities, Joyce constructs a desingularisation

$$M \longrightarrow T^7/\Gamma$$

The closed G_2-structure on M obtained by gluing is close to a torsion-free one

G_2-geometry—Constructions II

- Kovalev '03, Corti-Haskins-Nordström-Pacini '15: Twisted connected sums

- Kovalev '03, Corti-Haskins-Nordström-Pacini '15: Twisted connected sums



Let V_+, V_- be asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds

- Kovalev '03, Corti-Haskins-Nordström-Pacini '15: Twisted connected sums



Let V_+, V_- be asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with ends asymptotic to $\Sigma_\pm \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Sigma_\pm$ are K3 surfaces

G_2-geometry—Constructions II

- Kovalev '03, Corti-Haskins-Nordström-Pacini '15: Twisted connected sums



Let V_+, V_- be asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with ends asymptotic to $\Sigma_\pm \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Sigma_\pm$ are K3 surfaces
Glue $V_- \times S^1$ to $V_+ \times S^1$, flipping the circles

G_2-geometry—Constructions II

- Kovalev '03, Corti-Haskins-Nordström-Pacini '15: Twisted connected sums



Let V_+, V_- be asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds
with ends asymptotic to $\Sigma_\pm \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Sigma_\pm$ are K3 surfaces
Glue $V_- \times S^1$ to $V_+ \times S^1$, flipping the circles
The closed G_2-structure on M obtained by gluing is close to a torsion-free one

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

Important open problems / questions

- Find more invariants for / obstructions against G_2-metrics

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

Important open problems / questions

- Find more invariants for / obstructions against G_2-metrics
- Construct G_2-metrics far away from the boundary of the moduli space

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

Important open problems / questions

- Find more invariants for / obstructions against G_2-metrics
- Construct G_2-metrics far away from the boundary of the moduli space
- How can families of G_2-metrics become singular?

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

Important open problems / questions

- Find more invariants for / obstructions against G_2-metrics
- Construct G_2-metrics far away from the boundary of the moduli space
- How can families of G_2-metrics become singular?
  How far can one deform a given G_2-metric?

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

Important open problems / questions

- Find more invariants for / obstructions against G_2-metrics
- Construct G_2-metrics far away from the boundary of the moduli space
- How can families of G_2-metrics become singular?
  How far can one deform a given G_2-metric?
- Construct G_2-metrics with prescribed singularities

G_2-geometry—Some open questions

Let us summarise first

- Only a few obstructions against G_2-holonomy are known
- Only a few compact examples are known—only $\sim 10^8$ deformation families
- Known compact examples represent points close to the boundary of the moduli space—
  the G_2-metric is locally close to metrics with holonomy groups $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$

Important open problems / questions

- Find more invariants for / obstructions against G_2-metrics
- Construct G_2-metrics far away from the boundary of the moduli space
- How can families of G_2-metrics become singular?
  How far can one deform a given G_2-metric?
- Construct G_2-metrics with prescribed singularities
  Singularities allow massless particles to appear in M-theory

We want to describe G_2-manifolds using differential topology

Definition

A G_2-structure on a seven-manifold M is a reduction of the $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$-frame bundle to a bundle with structure group G_2

We want to describe G_2-manifolds using differential topology

Definition

A G_2-structure on a seven-manifold M is a reduction of the $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$-frame bundle to a bundle with structure group G_2

Equivalent descriptions

- Positive three form φ on M

The ν-invariant—G_2-structures

We want to describe G_2-manifolds using differential topology

Definition

A G_2-structure on a seven-manifold M is a reduction of the $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$-frame bundle to a bundle with structure group G_2

Equivalent descriptions

- Positive three form φ on M
- Riemannian metric, spin structure, and a unit spinor (up to sign)

The ν-invariant—G_2-structures

We want to describe G_2-manifolds using differential topology

Definition

A G_2-structure on a seven-manifold M is a reduction of the $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$-frame bundle to a bundle with structure group G_2

Equivalent descriptions

- Positive three form φ on M
- Riemannian metric, spin structure, and a unit spinor (up to sign)

Idea. Use nowhere vanishing spinors to describe and distinguish G_2-structures

The ν-invariant—First questions

When we (Diarmuid Crowley, Johannes Nordström and myself) started our project, we asked the following questions

The ν-invariant—First questions

When we (Diarmuid Crowley, Johannes Nordström and myself) started our project, we asked the following questions

Can different constructions of G_2-holonomy metrics

- lead to the same closed 7-manifold up to diffeomorphism?

The ν-invariant—First questions

When we (Diarmuid Crowley, Johannes Nordström and myself) started our project, we asked the following questions

Can different constructions of G_2-holonomy metrics

- lead to the same closed 7-manifold up to diffeomorphism?
- if so, are the underlying G_2-structures the same up to homotopy and spin diffeomorphism?

The ν-invariant—First questions

When we (Diarmuid Crowley, Johannes Nordström and myself) started our project, we asked the following questions

Can different constructions of G_2-holonomy metrics

- lead to the same closed 7-manifold up to diffeomorphism?
- if so, are the underlying G_2-structures the same up to homotopy and spin diffeomorphism?
- if so, do the two metrics belong to the same connected component of the G_2-moduli space?

The ν-invariant—First questions

When we (Diarmuid Crowley, Johannes Nordström and myself) started our project, we asked the following questions

Can different constructions of G_2-holonomy metrics

- lead to the same closed 7-manifold up to diffeomorphism?
- if so, are the underlying G_2-structures the same up to homotopy and spin diffeomorphism?
- if so, do the two metrics belong to the same connected component of the G_2-moduli space?

Diarmuid Crowley and Johannes Nordström also asked

- Are there pairs of G_2-manifolds that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic?

Assume that M is a simply connected oriented spin 7-manifold with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free
Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the divisibility of $p_1(TM)$, then $4 \mid d$ (Wu)
Let $\tilde{d} = \mathrm{lcm}(4, d/2)$

Assume that M is a simply connected oriented spin 7-manifold with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the divisibility of $p_1(TM)$, then $4 \mid d$ (Wu)

Let $\tilde{d} = \mathrm{lcm}(4, d/2)$

Let s be a nowhere vanishing spinor on M, defining a G_2-structure

Assume that M is a simply connected oriented spin 7-manifold with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the divisibility of $p_1(TM)$, then $4 \mid d$ (Wu)

Let $\tilde{d} = \mathrm{lcm}(4, d/2)$

Let s be a nowhere vanishing spinor on M, defining a G_2-structure

Relevant differential topological invariants

$\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(28, \tilde{d}/4)$ generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant

Assume that M is a simply connected oriented spin 7-manifold with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free
Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the divisibility of $p_1(TM)$, then $4 \mid d$ (Wu)
Let $\tilde{d} = \mathrm{lcm}(4, d/2)$
Let s be a nowhere vanishing spinor on M, defining a G_2-structure

Relevant differential topological invariants

$$\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(28, \tilde{d}/4) \qquad \text{generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant}$$

$$\xi(M, s) \in \mathbb{Z}/3\tilde{d}$$

The ν-invariant—Overview of invariants

Assume that M is a simply connected oriented spin 7-manifold with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free
Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the divisibility of $p_1(TM)$, then $4 \mid d$ (Wu)
Let $\tilde{d} = \mathrm{lcm}(4, d/2)$
Let s be a nowhere vanishing spinor on M, defining a G_2-structure

Relevant differential topological invariants

$\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(28, \tilde{d}/4)$	generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant
$\xi(M, s) \in \mathbb{Z}/3\tilde{d}$	
$\nu(M, s) \in \mathbb{Z}/48$	see below

Assume that M is a simply connected oriented spin 7-manifold with $H^4(M)$ torsion-free
Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the divisibility of $p_1(TM)$, then $4 \mid d$ (Wu)
Let $\tilde{d} = \mathrm{lcm}(4, d/2)$
Let s be a nowhere vanishing spinor on M, defining a G_2-structure

Relevant differential topological invariants

$$\mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(28, \tilde{d}/4) \qquad \text{generalised Eells-Kuiper invariant}$$
$$\xi(M, s) \in \mathbb{Z}/3\tilde{d}$$
$$\nu(M, s) \in \mathbb{Z}/48 \qquad \text{see below}$$

Important relations

$$\xi(M, s) \equiv 7\nu(M, s) \mod 12$$
$$\frac{\xi(M, s) - 7\nu(M, s)}{12} \equiv \mu(M) \mod \gcd(28, \tilde{d}/4)$$

The ν-invariant—Comparing G_2-structures

Let σ_0, σ_1 be two nowhere vanishing spinors. Extend to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]))$
A generic $\bar{\sigma}$ will have nondegenerate isolated zeros because

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]) \quad = \quad 8 \quad = \quad \dim(M \times [0,1])$$

Let σ_0, σ_1 be two nowhere vanishing spinors. Extend to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]))$
A generic $\bar{\sigma}$ will have nondegenerate isolated zeros because

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]) \quad = \quad 8 \quad = \quad \dim(M \times [0,1])$$

Orient $\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1])$ and count with signs

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma_0, \sigma_1) = 2 \cdot \#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0) = 2 \cdot \sum_{p \in \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)} \operatorname{sign}(d_p \bar{\sigma})$$

The ν-invariant—Comparing G_2-structures

Let σ_0, σ_1 be two nowhere vanishing spinors. Extend to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]))$
A generic $\bar{\sigma}$ will have nondegenerate isolated zeros because

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]) \quad = \quad 8 \quad = \quad \dim(M \times [0,1])$$

Orient $\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1])$ and count with signs

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma_0, \sigma_1) = 2 \cdot \#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0) = 2 \cdot \sum_{p \in \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)} \operatorname{sign}(d_p \bar{\sigma})$$

Theorem (Crowley-Nordström)

Let $F \colon M \to M$ be a spin diffeomorphism, then

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma, F^*\sigma) \quad \in \quad 48\mathbb{Z}$$

Let σ_0, σ_1 be two nowhere vanishing spinors. Extend to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]))$
A generic $\bar{\sigma}$ will have nondegenerate isolated zeros because

$$\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1]) \quad = \quad 8 \quad = \quad \dim(M \times [0,1])$$

Orient $\mathcal{S}^+(M \times [0,1])$ and count with signs

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma_0, \sigma_1) = 2 \cdot \#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0) = 2 \cdot \sum_{p \in \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)} \operatorname{sign}(d_p \bar{\sigma})$$

Theorem (Crowley-Nordström)

Let $F\colon M \to M$ be a spin diffeomorphism, then

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma, F^*\sigma) \quad \in \quad 48\mathbb{Z}$$

Can we write $\Delta\nu(M; \sigma_0, \sigma_1) = \nu(M, \sigma_0) - \nu(M, \sigma_1) \in \mathbb{Z}/48$?

Idea. If M is spin, then M is the spin boundary of some compact 8-manifold W
Extend σ to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+ W)$, then $\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)$ depends on W—not well-defined yet!

The ν-invariant—Cobordism definition

Idea. If M is spin, then M is the spin boundary of some compact 8-manifold W
Extend σ to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+ W)$, then $\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)$ depends on W—not well-defined yet!

- $\chi(W)$—Euler characteristic of W
- $\mathrm{sign}(W)$—signature of W

Definition (Crowley-Nordström)

Assume that $M = \partial W$ with W spin, compact. Define

$$\nu(M, \sigma) = \chi(W) - 3\,\mathrm{sign}(W) - 2\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0) \mod 48$$

The ν-invariant—Cobordism definition

Idea. If M is spin, then M is the spin boundary of some compact 8-manifold W
Extend σ to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+ W)$, then $\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)$ depends on W—not well-defined yet!

- $\chi(W)$—Euler characteristic of W
- $\mathrm{sign}(W)$—signature of W

Definition (Crowley-Nordström)

Assume that $M = \partial W$ with W spin, compact. Define

$$\nu(M, \sigma) = \chi(W) - 3\,\mathrm{sign}(W) - 2\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0) \mod 48$$

Theorem (Crowley-Nordström)

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma_0, \sigma_1) = \nu(M, \sigma_0) - \nu(M, \sigma_1) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}/48$$

The ν-invariant—Cobordism definition

Idea. If M is spin, then M is the spin boundary of some compact 8-manifold W
Extend σ to $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}^+ W)$, then $\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0)$ depends on W—not well-defined yet!

- $\chi(W)$—Euler characteristic of W
- $\operatorname{sign}(W)$—signature of W

Definition (Crowley-Nordström)

Assume that $M = \partial W$ with W spin, compact. Define

$$\nu(M, \sigma) = \chi(W) - 3 \operatorname{sign}(W) - 2\#\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(0) \mod 48$$

Theorem (Crowley-Nordström)

$$\Delta\nu(M; \sigma_0, \sigma_1) = \nu(M, \sigma_0) - \nu(M, \sigma_1) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}/48$$

Problem. Given M, how to determine W with $M = \partial W$?

The ν-invariant—Analytic description

Idea. Use the APS-index theorem and Mathai-Quillen currents

The ν-invariant—Analytic description

Idea. Use the APS-index theorem and Mathai-Quillen currents

- $\psi(\nabla^{\mathcal{S}M}, g^{SM})$—Mathai-Quillen form in $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{S}M)$
- D_M—spin Dirac operator on $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}M)$
- B_M—odd signature operator $*d \pm d*$ on $\Omega^{\mathrm{ev}}(M)$
- h—dimension of the kernel
- η—Atiyah-Patodi-Singer η-invariant

Idea. Use the APS-index theorem and Mathai-Quillen currents

- $\psi(\nabla^{\mathcal{S}M}, g^{SM})$—Mathai-Quillen form in $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{S}M)$
- D_M—spin Dirac operator on $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}M)$
- B_M—odd signature operator $*d \pm d*$ on $\Omega^{ev}(M)$
- h—dimension of the kernel
- η—Atiyah-Patodi-Singer η-invariant

Theorem (Crowley-G-Nordström)

$$\nu(M,\sigma) = 2\int_M \sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) - 24(\eta + h)(D_M) + 3\eta(B_M) \in \mathbb{Z}/48$$

Proof.

Use $$2e(\nabla^{\mathcal{S}^+W}) = e(\nabla) + 48\hat{A}(\nabla)^{[8]} - 3L(\nabla)^{[8]} \in \Omega^8(W)$$ □

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$

The ν-invariant—The extended ν-invariant

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^* \psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$
- Harmonic spinors are parallel, so $h(D_M) = 1 + b_1(M)$

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$
- Harmonic spinors are parallel, so $h(D_M) = 1 + b_1(M)$
- $\eta(D_M) \in \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on the G_2-moduli space $\mathcal{M}$

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$
- Harmonic spinors are parallel, so $h(D_M) = 1 + b_1(M)$
- $\eta(D_M) \in \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on the G_2-moduli space $\mathcal{M}$

Definition (Crowley-G-Nordström)

Let (M, g) be a compact manifold with $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) \subset G_2$. Put

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 3\eta(B_M) - 24\eta(D_M) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}$$

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$
- Harmonic spinors are parallel, so $h(D_M) = 1 + b_1(M)$
- $\eta(D_M) \in \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on the G_2-moduli space $\mathcal{M}$

Definition (Crowley-G-Nordström)

Let (M, g) be a compact manifold with $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) \subset G_2$. Put

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 3\eta(B_M) - 24\eta(D_M) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- $\nu(M, \sigma) \equiv \bar{\nu}(M, g) - 24(1 + b_1(M)) \mod 48$

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$
- Harmonic spinors are parallel, so $h(D_M) = 1 + b_1(M)$
- $\eta(D_M) \in \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on the G_2-moduli space $\mathcal{M}$

Definition (Crowley-G-Nordström)

Let (M, g) be a compact manifold with $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) \subset G_2$. Put

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 3\eta(B_M) - 24\eta(D_M) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- $\nu(M, \sigma) \equiv \bar{\nu}(M, g) - 24(1 + b_1(M)) \mod 48$
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g)$ is locally constant on $\mathcal{M}$

In the case of G_2-holonomy, things simplify

- σ is parallel, so $\sigma^*\psi(\nabla^{SM}, g^{SM}) = 0$
- Harmonic spinors are parallel, so $h(D_M) = 1 + b_1(M)$
- $\eta(D_M) \in \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on the G_2-moduli space $\mathcal{M}$

Definition (Crowley-G-Nordström)

Let (M, g) be a compact manifold with $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) \subset G_2$. Put

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 3\eta(B_M) - 24\eta(D_M) \quad \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- $\nu(M, \sigma) \equiv \bar{\nu}(M, g) - 24(1 + b_1(M)) \mod 48$
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g)$ is locally constant on $\mathcal{M}$
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ if M admits an orientation reversing isometry

The ν-invariant—First examples

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums
  (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)

The ν-invariant—First examples

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums
  (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for some of Joyce's examples—some are twisted connected sums, some admit orientation reversing isometries

The ν-invariant—First examples

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for some of Joyce's examples—some are twisted connected sums, some admit orientation reversing isometries

Question. Is $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) = G_2$?

The ν-invariant—First examples

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for some of Joyce's examples—some are twisted connected sums, some admit orientation reversing isometries

Question. Is $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) = G_2$?

- If yes, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \neq 0$ or $\nu(M, \sigma) \neq 24$ is a new obstruction against G_2-holonomy

The ν-invariant—First examples

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums
  (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for some of Joyce's examples—some are twisted connected sums, some admit orientation reversing isometries

Question. Is $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) = G_2$?

- If yes, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \neq 0$ or $\nu(M, \sigma) \neq 24$ is a new obstruction against G_2-holonomy
- If no, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g)$ is a non-trivial new invariant

The ν-invariant—First examples

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for some of Joyce's examples—some are twisted connected sums, some admit orientation reversing isometries

Question. Is $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) = G_2$?

- If yes, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \neq 0$ or $\nu(M, \sigma) \neq 24$ is a new obstruction against G_2-holonomy
- If no, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g)$ is a non-trivial new invariant

Answer. We will construct examples with $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \neq 0$

What about the known examples by Joyce and Kovalev?

- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for all twisted connected sums (but $\xi(M, s) \neq 0$ is possible—Wallis, arXiv:1808.09443)
- $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ for some of Joyce's examples—some are twisted connected sums, some admit orientation reversing isometries

Question. Is $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = 0$ whenever $\mathrm{Hol}(M, g) = G_2$?

- If yes, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \neq 0$ or $\nu(M, \sigma) \neq 24$ is a new obstruction against G_2-holonomy
- If no, then $\bar{\nu}(M, g)$ is a non-trivial new invariant

Answer. We will construct examples with $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \neq 0$
Using $\bar{\nu}(M, g)$, we will show that for some particular M, the G_2-moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ has several connected components

Recall twisted connected sums



Gluing of tori at angle $\vartheta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ between exterior circles



Extra twisted connected sums



Assume that $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ acts both on $V_\pm$ and on $S^1_{\pm,ext}$
The induced action on $\partial V_\pm$ has to fix $\Sigma_\pm$ pointwise
The actions on $S^1_{\pm,int}$ and $S^1_{\pm,ext}$ have to be free

Extra twisted connected sums



Assume that $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ acts both on $V_\pm$ and on $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$

The induced action on $\partial V_\pm$ has to fix $\Sigma_\pm$ pointwise

The actions on $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{int}}$ and $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$ have to be free

Then $(S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{int}} \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}})/\Gamma_\pm$ is again a flat 2-torus

Extra twisted connected sums



Assume that $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ acts both on $V_\pm$ and on $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$
The induced action on $\partial V_\pm$ has to fix $\Sigma_\pm$ pointwise
The actions on $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{int}}$ and $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$ have to be free
Then $(S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{int}} \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}})/\Gamma_\pm$ is again a flat 2-torus
If both the tori and the K3 surfaces are isometric,
we can glue $M_\pm = (V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}})/\Gamma_\pm$ at various angles ϑ

Extra twisted connected sums—Construction

Extra twisted connected sums



Modified gluing of tori at angle $\vartheta = \frac{3}{4}\pi$

$\Gamma_- \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$



$\Gamma_+ \cong \{0\}$

Extra twisted connected sums—Construction

Extra twisted connected sums



Modified gluing of tori at angle $\vartheta = \frac{2}{3}\pi$



Extra twisted connected sums



Modified gluing of tori at angle $\vartheta = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\right)$

$\Gamma_- \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$



$\Gamma_+ \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$

The CY structures on $V_\pm$ induce G_2-structures on $M_\pm$ and on $\Sigma_\pm \times T^2_\pm \times \mathbb{R}$

Then we need an isomorphism of G_2-manifolds $\Sigma_+ \times T^2_+ \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma_- \times T^2_- \times \mathbb{R}$

The CY structures on $V_\pm$ induce G_2-structures on $M_\pm$ and on $\Sigma_\pm \times T^2_\pm \times \mathbb{R}$

Then we need an isomorphism of G_2-manifolds $\Sigma_+ \times T^2_+ \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma_- \times T^2_- \times \mathbb{R}$

Let $u_\pm$, $v_\pm$ be coordinates on the interior and exterior circles, respectively

$$u_- = -u_+ \cos\vartheta + v_+ \sin\vartheta \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_- = u_+ \sin\vartheta + v_+ \cos\vartheta$$

Let $t = t_- = -t_+$ be the coordinate in the cylinder direction

The CY structures on $V_\pm$ induce G_2-structures on $M_\pm$ and on $\Sigma_\pm \times T^2_\pm \times \mathbb{R}$

Then we need an isomorphism of G_2-manifolds $\Sigma_+ \times T^2_+ \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma_- \times T^2_- \times \mathbb{R}$

Let $u_\pm$, $v_\pm$ be coordinates on the interior and exterior circles, respectively

$$u_- = -u_+ \cos\vartheta + v_+ \sin\vartheta \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_- = u_+ \sin\vartheta + v_+ \cos\vartheta$$

Let $t = t_- = -t_+$ be the coordinate in the cylinder direction

Let $\omega_1^\pm, \omega_2^\pm, \omega_3^\pm \in \Omega^{2,+}(\Sigma)$ be hyperkähler triples, $\omega_1^\pm$ is the Kähler form from $V_\pm$

Extra twisted connected sums—The G_2-structure

The CY structures on $V_\pm$ induce G_2-structures on $M_\pm$ and on $\Sigma_\pm \times T^2_\pm \times \mathbb{R}$

Then we need an isomorphism of G_2-manifolds $\Sigma_+ \times T^2_+ \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma_- \times T^2_- \times \mathbb{R}$

Let $u_\pm$, $v_\pm$ be coordinates on the interior and exterior circles, respectively

$$u_- = -u_+ \cos\vartheta + v_+ \sin\vartheta \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_- = u_+ \sin\vartheta + v_+ \cos\vartheta$$

Let $t = t_- = -t_+$ be the coordinate in the cylinder direction

Let $\omega_1^\pm, \omega_2^\pm, \omega_3^\pm \in \Omega^{2,+}(\Sigma)$ be hyperkähler triples, $\omega_1^\pm$ is the Kähler form from $V_\pm$

$$\varphi = dv_\pm \wedge \omega_1^\pm + du_\pm \wedge \omega_2^\pm + dt_\pm \wedge \omega_3^\pm + dt_\pm \wedge du_\pm \wedge dv_\pm$$

$$\omega_1^- = \cos\vartheta\, \omega_1^+ + \sin\vartheta\, \omega_2^+ \qquad \omega_2^- = \sin\vartheta\, \omega_1^+ - \cos\vartheta\, \omega_2^+ \qquad \omega_3^- = -\omega_3^+$$

Both the torus matching and the K3 matching depend on the gluing angle ϑ

Assume that $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ acts on $V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$
A torus matching is described by

- A number $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^\times$ if $k_+ > 1$



Assume that $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ acts on $V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$
A torus matching is described by

- A number $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^\times$ if $k_+ > 1$
- A gluing matrix $G = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} m & p \\ n & q \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $\det G = -k_+k_-$ and $mq \leq 0$, $np \geq 0$



satisfying some extra conditions (only finitely many choices for ε_+, G possible)

Extra twisted connected sums—Matching the tori

Assume that $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ acts on $V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$
A torus matching is described by

- A number $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^\times$ if $k_+ > 1$
- A gluing matrix $G = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} m & p \\ n & q \end{smallmatrix}\right)$
  with $\det G = -k_+k_-$ and $mq \leq 0$, $np \geq 0$



satisfying some extra conditions (only finitely many choices for ε_+, G possible)

From G, recover

- The aspect ratios $s_+ = \frac{\ell(S^1_{+,\mathrm{ext}})}{\ell(S^1_{+,\mathrm{int}})} = \sqrt{-\frac{nq}{mp}}$ and $s_- = \frac{\ell(S^1_{-,\mathrm{ext}})}{\ell(S^1_{-,\mathrm{int}})} = \sqrt{-\frac{mn}{pq}}$
- The gluing angle $\vartheta = \arg(ms_+ + in) \in (-\pi, \pi]$
- The fundamental group $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$

If M is an extra twisted connect sum, identify $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cong \Sigma_-$, let

$$N_\pm = \mathrm{im}\big(H^2(V_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma)\big) \quad \subset \quad L = H^2(\Sigma) \cong {E_8}^2 \oplus U^3$$

The pair (N_+, N_-) of sublattices of L (up to $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$) is called a configuration

If M is an extra twisted connect sum, identify $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cong \Sigma_-$, let

$$N_\pm = \mathrm{im}\big(H^2(V_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma)\big) \quad \subset \quad L = H^2(\Sigma) \cong {E_8}^2 \oplus U^3$$

The pair (N_+, N_-) of sublattices of L (up to $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$) is called a configuration

We get positive orthonormal triples $[\omega_1^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm]$, $[\omega_3^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp \subset L_\mathbb{R}$

If M is an extra twisted connect sum, identify $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cong \Sigma_-$, let

$$N_\pm = \mathrm{im}\big(H^2(V_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma)\big) \quad \subset \quad L = H^2(\Sigma) \cong {E_8}^2 \oplus U^3$$

The pair (N_+, N_-) of sublattices of L (up to $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$) is called a configuration

We get positive orthonormal triples $[\omega_1^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm], [\omega_3^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp \subset L_\mathbb{R}$
Let $A_{N_\pm}$ denote the reflections of $L_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ in $N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$. Because

$$[\omega_1^-] = \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] + \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\omega_2^-] = \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] - \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad (*)$$

the classes $[\omega_1^\pm], [\omega_2^\pm]$ lie in the subspace $L_{2\vartheta} \subset L_\mathbb{R}$ that $A_{N_+}A_{N_-}$ rotates through 2ϑ

Extra twisted connected sums—Matching the K3 surfaces

If M is an extra twisted connect sum, identify $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cong \Sigma_-$, let

$$N_\pm = \mathrm{im}\big(H^2(V_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma)\big) \quad \subset \quad L = H^2(\Sigma) \cong {E_8}^2 \oplus U^3$$

The pair (N_+, N_-) of sublattices of L (up to $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$) is called a configuration

We get positive orthonormal triples $[\omega_1^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm], [\omega_3^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp \subset L_\mathbb{R}$
Let $A_{N_\pm}$ denote the reflections of $L_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ in $N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$. Because

$$[\omega_1^-] = \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] + \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\omega_2^-] = \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] - \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad (*)$$

the classes $[\omega_1^+], [\omega_2^+]$ lie in the subspace $L_{2\vartheta} \subset L_\mathbb{R}$ that $A_{N_+}A_{N_-}$ rotates through 2ϑ

Matching Problem. To construct M, find $N_+, N_- \subset L$ and positive classes $[\omega_1^\pm] \in L_{2\vartheta} \cap N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm] \in L_{2\vartheta} \cap N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp$ of length 1 satisfying (*)

Extra twisted connected sums—Matching the K3 surfaces

If M is an extra twisted connect sum, identify $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cong \Sigma_-$, let

$$N_\pm = \mathrm{im}\big(H^2(V_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma)\big) \quad \subset \quad L = H^2(\Sigma) \cong {E_8}^2 \oplus U^3$$

The pair (N_+, N_-) of sublattices of L (up to $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$) is called a configuration

We get positive orthonormal triples $[\omega_1^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm]$, $[\omega_3^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp \subset L_\mathbb{R}$
Let $A_{N_\pm}$ denote the reflections of $L_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ in $N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$. Because

$$[\omega_1^-] = \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] + \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\omega_2^-] = \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] - \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad (*)$$

the classes $[\omega_1^+]$, $[\omega_2^+]$ lie in the subspace $L_{2\vartheta} \subset L_\mathbb{R}$ that $A_{N_+}A_{N_-}$ rotates through 2ϑ

Matching Problem. To construct M, find $N_+, N_- \subset L$ and positive classes $[\omega_1^\pm] \in L_{2\vartheta} \cap N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm] \in L_{2\vartheta} \cap N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp$ of length 1 satisfying (*)

Then find $V_\pm$ with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions and Σ that "realise" $N_\pm \subset L$ and $[\omega_1^\pm], \dots, [\omega_3^\pm]$

If M is an extra twisted connect sum, identify $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cong \Sigma_-$, let

$$N_\pm = \mathrm{im}\big(H^2(V_\pm) \to H^2(\Sigma)\big) \quad \subset \quad L = H^2(\Sigma) \cong {E_8}^2 \oplus U^3$$

The pair (N_+, N_-) of sublattices of L (up to $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$) is called a configuration

We get positive orthonormal triples $[\omega_1^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm], [\omega_3^\pm] \in N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp \subset L_\mathbb{R}$
Let $A_{N_\pm}$ denote the reflections of $L_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ in $N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$. Because

$$[\omega_1^-] = \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] + \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\omega_2^-] = \sin\vartheta\,[\omega_1^+] - \cos\vartheta\,[\omega_2^+] \qquad (*)$$

the classes $[\omega_1^\pm], [\omega_2^\pm]$ lie in the subspace $L_{2\vartheta} \subset L_\mathbb{R}$ that $A_{N_+}A_{N_-}$ rotates through 2ϑ

Matching Problem. To construct M, find $N_+, N_- \subset L$ and positive classes $[\omega_1^\pm] \in L_{2\vartheta} \cap N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}$ and $[\omega_2^\pm] \in L_{2\vartheta} \cap N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}}^\perp$ of length 1 satisfying (*)

Then find $V_\pm$ with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions and Σ that "realise" $N_\pm \subset L$ and $[\omega_1^\pm], \dots, [\omega_3^\pm]$

All this works for "easy" $V_\pm$ if $\mathrm{rk}\,N_+ = \mathrm{rk}\,N_-$ and $N_{\pm,\mathbb{R}} \subset L_{2\vartheta}$

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_\pm$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_\pm$)

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_\pm$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_\pm$)
- Find a configuration (N_+, N_-) for the sublattices $N_\pm \subset L$ induced by $V_\pm$

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_\pm$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_\pm$)
- Find a configuration (N_+, N_-) for the sublattices $N_\pm \subset L$ induced by $V_\pm$
- Determine hyperkähler triples with gluing angle ϑ induced by actual varieties $V_\pm$ (requires knowledge of moduli spaces of $\Sigma_\pm$ and $Z_\pm$)

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_{\pm}$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_{\pm}$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_{\pm}$)
- Find a configuration (N_+, N_-) for the sublattices $N_{\pm} \subset L$ induced by $V_{\pm}$
- Determine hyperkähler triples with gluing angle ϑ induced by actual varieties $V_{\pm}$ (requires knowledge of moduli spaces of $\Sigma_{\pm}$ and $Z_{\pm}$)
- Find $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^{\times}$ if $k_+ > 1$ and a gluing matrix G with gluing angle ϑ

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_{\pm}$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_{\pm}$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_{\pm}$)
- Find a configuration (N_{+}, N_{-}) for the sublattices $N_{\pm} \subset L$ induced by $V_{\pm}$
- Determine hyperkähler triples with gluing angle ϑ induced by actual varieties $V_{\pm}$ (requires knowledge of moduli spaces of $\Sigma_{\pm}$ and $Z_{\pm}$)
- Find $\varepsilon_{+} \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_{+})^{\times}$ if $k_{+} > 1$ and a gluing matrix G with gluing angle ϑ
- Determine the asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau metrics on $V_{\pm}$

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_\pm$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_\pm$)
- Find a configuration (N_+, N_-) for the sublattices $N_\pm \subset L$ induced by $V_\pm$
- Determine hyperkähler triples with gluing angle ϑ induced by actual varieties $V_\pm$ (requires knowledge of moduli spaces of $\Sigma_\pm$ and $Z_\pm$)
- Find $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^\times$ if $k_+ > 1$ and a gluing matrix G with gluing angle ϑ
- Determine the asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau metrics on $V_\pm$
- Glue $(V_+ \times S^1_{\xi_+})/\Gamma_+$ to $(V_- \times S^1_{\xi_-})/\Gamma_-$ as specified by the data above

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_\pm$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_\pm$)
- Find a configuration (N_+, N_-) for the sublattices $N_\pm \subset L$ induced by $V_\pm$
- Determine hyperkähler triples with gluing angle ϑ induced by actual varieties $V_\pm$ (requires knowledge of moduli spaces of $\Sigma_\pm$ and $Z_\pm$)
- Find $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^\times$ if $k_+ > 1$ and a gluing matrix G with gluing angle ϑ
- Determine the asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau metrics on $V_\pm$
- Glue $(V_+ \times S^1_{\xi_+})/\Gamma_+$ to $(V_- \times S^1_{\xi_-})/\Gamma_-$ as specified by the data above
- Find a torsion-free G_2-structure close to the G_2-structure obtained by gluing

Extra twisted connected sums—Summary

To construct an extra twisted connected sum

- Pick deformation types $V_\pm$ of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$-actions (can be constructed from weak Fano threefolds $Z_\pm$)
- Find a configuration (N_+, N_-) for the sublattices $N_\pm \subset L$ induced by $V_\pm$
- Determine hyperkähler triples with gluing angle ϑ induced by actual varieties $V_\pm$ (requires knowledge of moduli spaces of $\Sigma_\pm$ and $Z_\pm$)
- Find $\varepsilon_+ \in (\mathbb{Z}/k_+)^\times$ if $k_+ > 1$ and a gluing matrix G with gluing angle ϑ
- Determine the asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau metrics on $V_\pm$
- Glue $(V_+ \times S^1_{\xi_+})/\Gamma_+$ to $(V_- \times S^1_{\xi_-})/\Gamma_-$ as specified by the data above
- Find a torsion-free G_2-structure close to the G_2-structure obtained by gluing

In the following, we will only need the configuration (N_+, N_-), the gluing matrix G, and the number ε_+

With respect to modified Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary conditions, define

$$\bar{\nu}(M_\pm, g) = 3\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(B_{M_\pm}; L_{B,\pm}) - 24\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(D_{M_\pm}; L_{D,\pm}) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}$$

With respect to modified Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary conditions, define

$$\bar{\nu}(M_\pm, g) = 3\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(B_{M_\pm}; L_{B,\pm}) - 24\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(D_{M_\pm}; L_{D,\pm}) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}$$

The isometry $A_{N_+}A_{N_-}$ respects the decomposition $H^{2,+}(\Sigma) \oplus H^{2,-}(\Sigma)$
Let $\alpha_1^+, \ldots, \alpha_3^+, \alpha_1^-, \ldots, \alpha_{19}^- \in (-\pi, \pi]$ be the angles through which $A_{N_+}A_{N_-} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ rotates $H^{2,+}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$ and $H^{2,-}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$, respectively

With respect to modified Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary conditions, define

$$\bar{\nu}(M_\pm, g) = 3\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(B_{M_\pm}; L_{B,\pm}) - 24\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(D_{M_\pm}; L_{D,\pm}) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}$$

The isometry $A_{N_+} A_{N_-}$ respects the decomposition $H^{2,+}(\Sigma) \oplus H^{2,-}(\Sigma)$
Let $\alpha_1^+, \ldots, \alpha_3^+, \alpha_1^-, \ldots, \alpha_{19}^- \in (-\pi, \pi]$ be the angles through which $A_{N_+} A_{N_-} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ rotates $H^{2,+}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$ and $H^{2,-}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$, respectively
Assume $\vartheta \in (0, \pi)$, put $\rho = \pi - 2\vartheta \in (-\pi, \pi)$, and define

$$m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-) = \operatorname{sign} \rho \left(\#\{\, j \mid \alpha_j^- \in \{\pi - |\rho|, \pi\} \,\} - 1 + 2\, \#\{\, j \mid \alpha_j^- \in (\pi - |\rho|, \pi) \,\} \right)$$

Put $\operatorname{sign} 0 = 0$, then $m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-) = 0$ for ordinary twisted connected sums

With respect to modified Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary conditions, define

$$\bar{\nu}(M_\pm, g) = 3\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(B_{M_\pm}; L_{B,\pm}) - 24\eta_{\mathrm{APS}}(D_{M_\pm}; L_{D,\pm}) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}$$

The isometry $A_{N_+}A_{N_-}$ respects the decomposition $H^{2,+}(\Sigma) \oplus H^{2,-}(\Sigma)$
Let $\alpha_1^+, \dots, \alpha_3^+, \alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_{19}^- \in (-\pi, \pi]$ be the angles through which $A_{N_+}A_{N_-} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ rotates $H^{2,+}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$ and $H^{2,-}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$, respectively
Assume $\vartheta \in (0, \pi)$, put $\rho = \pi - 2\vartheta \in (-\pi, \pi)$, and define

$$m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-) = \operatorname{sign}\rho \left(\#\{\, j \mid \alpha_j^- \in \{\pi - |\rho|, \pi\}\,\} - 1 + 2\,\#\{\, j \mid \alpha_j^- \in (\pi - |\rho|, \pi)\,\} \right)$$

Put $\operatorname{sign} 0 = 0$, then $m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-) = 0$ for ordinary twisted connected sums

From the gluing formulas for η-invariants by Bunke, Kirk-Lesch and others, we get

Theorem (Crowley-G-Nordström)

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g) = \bar{\nu}(M_+, g) + \bar{\nu}(M_-, g) - 72\frac{\rho}{\pi} + 3m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-)$$

If $\Gamma_\pm \cong \{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ then $\bar{\nu}(M_\pm, g) = 0$

If $\Gamma_\pm \cong \{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ then $\bar\nu(M_\pm, g) = 0$

Example (Crowley-G-Nordström)

There exists a spin 7-manifold M with

$$H^1(M) \cong H^2(M) \cong 0\,, \qquad H^4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{97}\,, \quad \operatorname{div} p_1(TM) = 4$$

admitting three different G_2-holonomy metrics g_1, g_2, g_3 with

$$\bar\nu(M, g_1) = 0\,, \qquad \bar\nu(M, g_2) = 36\,, \qquad \bar\nu(M, g_3) = -36$$

Computation of the ν-invariant—Examples I

If $\Gamma_\pm \cong \{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ then $\bar\nu(M_\pm, g) = 0$

Example (Crowley-G-Nordström)

There exists a spin 7-manifold M with

$$H^1(M) \cong H^2(M) \cong 0\ , \qquad H^4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{97}\ , \quad \operatorname{div} p_1(TM) = 4$$

admitting three different G_2-holonomy metrics g_1, g_2, g_3 with

$$\bar\nu(M, g_1) = 0\ , \qquad \bar\nu(M, g_2) = 36\ , \qquad \bar\nu(M, g_3) = -36$$

Hence, the G_2-moduli space of M is disconnected

If $\Gamma_\pm \cong \{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ then $\bar\nu(M_\pm, g) = 0$

Example (Crowley-G-Nordström)

There exists a spin 7-manifold M with

$$H^1(M) \cong H^2(M) \cong 0 \,, \qquad H^4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{97} \,, \quad \operatorname{div} p_1(TM) = 4$$

admitting three different G_2-holonomy metrics g_1, g_2, g_3 with

$$\bar\nu(M, g_1) = 0 \,, \qquad \bar\nu(M, g_2) = 36 \,, \qquad \bar\nu(M, g_3) = -36$$

Hence, the G_2-moduli space of M is disconnected

The metric g_1 comes from a rectangular twisted connected sum
For g_2, g_3, take $\Gamma_+ \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, $\Gamma_- \cong \{0\}$ and $\vartheta = \frac{\pi}{4}$

Example (Crowley-G-Nordström)

There exists a spin 7-manifold M with

$$H^1(M) \cong H^2(M) \cong 0\,, \qquad H^4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{109}\,, \quad \operatorname{div} p_1(TM) = 4$$

admitting three different G_2-holonomy metrics g_1, g_2, g_3 with

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g_1) = 0\,, \qquad \bar{\nu}(M, g_2) = 48\,, \qquad \bar{\nu}(M, g_3) = -48$$

In particular, the ν-invariants agree and the G_2-structures are homotopic

Example (Crowley-G-Nordström)

There exists a spin 7-manifold M with

$$H^1(M) \cong H^2(M) \cong 0 , \qquad H^4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{109} , \quad \operatorname{div} p_1(TM) = 4$$

admitting three different G_2-holonomy metrics g_1, g_2, g_3 with

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g_1) = 0 , \qquad \bar{\nu}(M, g_2) = 48 , \qquad \bar{\nu}(M, g_3) = -48$$

In particular, the ν-invariants agree and the G_2-structures are homotopic
Hence, one homotopy class of G_2-structures can give rise to several connected components of the G_2-moduli space

Example (Crowley-G-Nordström)

There exists a spin 7-manifold M with

$$H^1(M) \cong H^2(M) \cong 0 , \qquad H^4(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{109} , \quad \operatorname{div} p_1(TM) = 4$$

admitting three different G_2-holonomy metrics g_1, g_2, g_3 with

$$\bar{\nu}(M, g_1) = 0 , \qquad \bar{\nu}(M, g_2) = 48 , \qquad \bar{\nu}(M, g_3) = -48$$

In particular, the ν-invariants agree and the G_2-structures are homotopic
Hence, one homotopy class of G_2-structures can give rise to several connected components of the G_2-moduli space

The metric g_1 comes from a rectangular twisted connected sum
For g_2, g_3, take $\Gamma_+ \cong \Gamma_- \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\vartheta = \frac{\pi}{6}$

Computation of the ν-invariant—G_2-Bordism

Let (M, g) be an extra twisted connected sum with $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ where k_+, $k_- \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\vartheta \in \left\{\pm\frac{\pi}{6}, \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
In this case, 3 divides $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -72\frac{\rho}{\pi} + 3m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-)$

Computation of the ν-invariant—G_2-Bordism

Let (M, g) be an extra twisted connected sum with $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ where $k_+, k_- \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\vartheta \in \left\{\pm\frac{\pi}{6}, \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
In this case, 3 divides $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -72\frac{\rho}{\pi} + 3m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-)$

Note that the G_2-bordism group in dimension 7 is $\Omega^7_{G_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$
The ν-invariant mod 3 is an isomorphism $\nu\colon \Omega^7_{G_2} \to \mathbb{Z}/3$
Hence (M, σ) is G_2-nullbordant if and only if $3 \mid \nu(M, \sigma)$

Computation of the ν-invariant—G_2-Bordism

Let (M, g) be an extra twisted connected sum with $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$
where k_+, $k_- \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\vartheta \in \left\{\pm\frac{\pi}{6}, \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
In this case, 3 divides $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -72\frac{\rho}{\pi} + 3m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-)$

Note that the G_2-bordism group in dimension 7 is $\Omega^7_{G_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$
The ν-invariant mod 3 is an isomorphism $\nu\colon \Omega^7_{G_2} \to \mathbb{Z}/3$
Hence (M, σ) is G_2-nullbordant if and only if $3 \mid \nu(M, \sigma)$

Question. Is the G_2-bordism class of (M, σ) an obstruction against holonomy G_2?

Let (M, g) be an extra twisted connected sum with $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$ where k_+, $k_- \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\vartheta \in \left\{\pm\frac{\pi}{6}, \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
In this case, 3 divides $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -72\frac{\rho}{\pi} + 3m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-)$

Note that the G_2-bordism group in dimension 7 is $\Omega^7_{G_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$
The ν-invariant mod 3 is an isomorphism $\nu\colon \Omega^7_{G_2} \to \mathbb{Z}/3$
Hence (M, σ) is G_2-nullbordant if and only if $3 \mid \nu(M, \sigma)$

Question. Is the G_2-bordism class of (M, σ) an obstruction against holonomy G_2?

Answer. No, there are examples with $3 \nmid \nu(M, \sigma)$.

This is our motivation to consider more complicated extra twisted connected sums

Let (M, g) be an extra twisted connected sum with $\Gamma_\pm \cong \mathbb{Z}/k_\pm$
where k_+, $k_- \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\vartheta \in \left\{\pm\frac{\pi}{6}, \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
In this case, 3 divides $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -72\frac{\rho}{\pi} + 3m_\rho(L; N_+, N_-)$

Note that the G_2-bordism group in dimension 7 is $\Omega^7_{G_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$
The ν-invariant mod 3 is an isomorphism $\nu\colon \Omega^7_{G_2} \to \mathbb{Z}/3$
Hence (M, σ) is G_2-nullbordant if and only if $3 \mid \nu(M, \sigma)$

Question. Is the G_2-bordism class of (M, σ) an obstruction against holonomy G_2?

Answer. No, there are examples with $3 \nmid \nu(M, \sigma)$.

This is our motivation to consider more complicated extra twisted connected sums

Note. Recall that with $k_+ \geq 3$ or $k_- \geq 3$, we can get gluing angles $\vartheta \notin \mathbb{Q}\pi$
Because $\bar{\nu}(M, g) \in \mathbb{Z}$, expect $\bar{\nu}(M_\pm, g) \neq 0$ if $k_\pm > 2$

Computation of the ν-invariant—Adiabatic limits

Let $M_\pm = V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\text{ext}}$, rescale $S^1_{\pm,\text{ext}}$ by $a > 0$ to get $M_{\pm,a}$
The limit $a \to 0$ is called adiabatic limit

Computation of the ν-invariant—Adiabatic limits

Let $M_\pm = V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$, rescale $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$ by $a > 0$ to get $M_{\pm,a}$
The limit $a \to 0$ is called adiabatic limit

From the adiabatic limit theorems of Bismut-Cheeger, Dai, G, we deduce

Theorem (G-Nordström)

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_\pm$ be the generator that acts by $\frac{2\pi}{k_\pm}$ on $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$
Let $V_{\pm,j}$ be the set of isolated fixpoints of γ^j on $V_\pm$
Let $e^{i\alpha_{j,1}(p)}$, $e^{i\alpha_{j,2}(p)}$, $e^{i\alpha_{j,3}(p)}$ be the eigenvalues of γ^j on $T_pV_\pm$
with $\alpha_{j,1}(p) + \alpha_{j,2}(p) + \alpha_{j,3}(p) = 0$. Then

$$\lim_{a\to 0} \bar{\nu}(M_{\pm,a}) = \frac{3}{k_\pm} \sum_{j=1}^{k_\pm - 1} \cot \frac{\pi j}{k_\pm} \sum_{p \in V_{\pm,j}} \frac{\cos \frac{\alpha_{j,1}(p)}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha_{j,2}(p)}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha_{j,3}(p)}{2} - 1}{\sin \frac{\alpha_{j,1}(p)}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha_{j,2}(p)}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha_{j,3}(p)}{2}} \quad \in \quad \mathbb{Q}$$

Let $M_\pm = V_\pm \times S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$, rescale $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$ by $a > 0$ to get $M_{\pm,a}$
The limit $a \to 0$ is called adiabatic limit

From the adiabatic limit theorems of Bismut-Cheeger, Dai, G, we deduce

Theorem (G-Nordström)

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_\pm$ be the generator that acts by $\frac{2\pi}{k_\pm}$ on $S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}}$
Let $V_{\pm,j}$ be the set of isolated fixpoints of γ^j on $V_\pm$
Let $e^{i\alpha_{j,1}(p)}$, $e^{i\alpha_{j,2}(p)}$, $e^{i\alpha_{j,3}(p)}$ be the eigenvalues of γ^j on $T_pV_\pm$
with $\alpha_{j,1}(p) + \alpha_{j,2}(p) + \alpha_{j,3}(p) = 0$. Then

$$\lim_{a\to 0} \bar{\nu}(M_{\pm,a}) = \frac{3}{k_\pm} \sum_{j=1}^{k_\pm - 1} \cot \frac{\pi j}{k_\pm} \sum_{p \in V_{\pm,j}} \frac{\cos\frac{\alpha_{j,1}(p)}{2} \cos\frac{\alpha_{j,2}(p)}{2} \cos\frac{\alpha_{j,3}(p)}{2} - 1}{\sin\frac{\alpha_{j,1}(p)}{2} \sin\frac{\alpha_{j,2}(p)}{2} \sin\frac{\alpha_{j,3}(p)}{2}} \quad \in \quad \mathbb{Q}$$

We will focus on examples without isolated fixpoints

Computation of the ν-invariant—Variational formula

By theorems of Bismut-Cheeger, Dai-Freed, the variational formula for the η-invariant of a Dirac type operator a manifold with boundary consists of

- the integral of a Chern-Simons form over the interior
- the degree-1-component of an η-form on the boundary

Computation of the ν-invariant—Variational formula

By theorems of Bismut-Cheeger, Dai-Freed, the variational formula for the η-invariant of a Dirac type operator a manifold with boundary consists of

- the integral of a Chern-Simons form over the interior
- the degree-1-component of an η-form on the boundary

The interior contribution vanishes because $M_{\pm,a}$ is locally a product

By theorems of Bismut-Cheeger, Dai-Freed, the variational formula for the η-invariant of a Dirac type operator a manifold with boundary consists of

- the integral of a Chern-Simons form over the interior
- the degree-1-component of an η-form on the boundary

The interior contribution vanishes because $M_{\pm,a}$ is locally a product

The boundary contribution can be expressed in terms of the η-form $\tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A})$ of the family of tori $(S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{int}} \times aS^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}})/\Gamma_\pm$ for $a \in (0, s_\pm)$

Theorem (G-Nordström)

$$\bar{\nu}(M_\pm) - \lim_{a\to 0} \bar{\nu}(M_{\pm,a}) = F_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm}(s_\pm) = 288 \int_0^{s_\pm} \tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A})$$

Computation of the ν-invariant—Variational formula

By theorems of Bismut-Cheeger, Dai-Freed, the variational formula for the η-invariant of a Dirac type operator a manifold with boundary consists of

- the integral of a Chern-Simons form over the interior
- the degree-1-component of an η-form on the boundary

The interior contribution vanishes because $M_{\pm,a}$ is locally a product

The boundary contribution can be expressed in terms of the η-form $\tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A})$ of the family of tori $(S^1_{\pm,\mathrm{int}} \times aS^1_{\pm,\mathrm{ext}})/\Gamma_\pm$ for $a \in (0, s_\pm)$

Theorem (G-Nordström)

$$\bar{\nu}(M_\pm) - \lim_{a\to 0} \bar{\nu}(M_{\pm,a}) = F_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm}(s_\pm) = 288 \int_0^{s_\pm} \tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A})$$

Bismut-Cheeger also give a formula for $\tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A})$ as a sum over $\mathbb{Z}^2$ depending on a

Represent the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$ by $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{\, z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0 \,\}$
Then $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{H})$ is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant and satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, dA_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ by Bismut's family index theorem

Represent the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$ by $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0 \}$
Then $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{H})$ is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant and satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, dA_{\text{hyp}}$ by Bismut's family index theorem

Idea. Use hyperbolic geometry
to compute $F_{k_-,\varepsilon_-}(s_-) + F_{k_+,\varepsilon_+}(s_+)$

Represent the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$ by $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{\, z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0 \,\}$
Then $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{H})$ is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant and satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, dA_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ by Bismut's family index theorem

Idea. Use hyperbolic geometry to compute $F_{k_-,\varepsilon_-}(s_-) + F_{k_+,\varepsilon_+}(s_+)$

Adiabatic limits—geodesic rays



Represent the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$ by $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0 \}$
Then $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{H})$ is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant and satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, dA_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ by Bismut's family index theorem

Idea. Use hyperbolic geometry to compute $F_{k_-,\varepsilon_-}(s_-) + F_{k_+,\varepsilon_+}(s_+)$

Adiabatic limits—geodesic rays
$\tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$ along families of rectangular ($k = 1$) or rhombic ($k = 2$) tori



Represent the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$ by $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0 \}$
Then $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{H})$ is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant and satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, dA_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ by Bismut's family index theorem

Idea. Use hyperbolic geometry to compute $F_{k_-,\varepsilon_-}(s_-) + F_{k_+,\varepsilon_+}(s_+)$

Adiabatic limits—geodesic rays
$\tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$ along families of rectangular ($k=1$) or rhombic ($k=2$) tori
Cusps—families of adiabatic limits
Their contribution can be computed using a formula by Bunke and Ma



Represent the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z})$ by $\tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{\, z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \,\}$
Then $\tilde{\eta} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{H})$ is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant and satisfies $d\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\, dA_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ by Bismut's family index theorem

Idea. Use hyperbolic geometry to compute $F_{k_-,\varepsilon_-}(s_-) + F_{k_+,\varepsilon_+}(s_+)$

Adiabatic limits—geodesic rays
$\tilde{\eta}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$ along families of rectangular ($k=1$) or rhombic ($k=2$) tori
Cusps—families of adiabatic limits
Their contribution can be computed using a formula by Bunke and Ma



Compute $F_{k_-,\varepsilon_-}(s_-) + F_{k_+,\varepsilon_+}(s_+)$ using Stokes' theorem from the cusp contributions and the hyperbolic area formula
The angle 2ϑ at the finite corner cancels $-72\frac{\rho}{\pi}$ in the gluing formula

The logarithm of the Dedekind η-function is given by

$$L(\tau) = \frac{\pi i \tau}{12} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} d^{-1} e^{2\pi i n \tau}$$

Theorem (G-Nordström-Zagier)

There exists a constant $c_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$F_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm}(s_\pm) = \frac{144}{\pi} \left(iL\Big(\frac{s_\pm i + \varepsilon_\pm}{k_\pm}\Big) - iL\Big(\frac{s_\pm i - \varepsilon_\pm}{k_\pm}\Big) + c_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm} \right)$$

The logarithm of the Dedekind η-function is given by

$$L(\tau) = \frac{\pi i \tau}{12} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} d^{-1} \, e^{2\pi i n \tau}$$

Theorem (G-Nordström-Zagier)

There exists a constant $c_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$F_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm}(s_\pm) = \frac{144}{\pi} \left(iL\Big(\frac{s_\pm i + \varepsilon_\pm}{k_\pm}\Big) - iL\Big(\frac{s_\pm i - \varepsilon_\pm}{k_\pm}\Big) + c_{k_\pm,\varepsilon_\pm} \right)$$

Compute the variational term using the functional equations

$$L(\tau + 1) = \frac{\pi i}{12} + L(\tau) \qquad \text{and} \qquad L\Big(-\frac{1}{\tau}\Big) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\tau}{i} + L(\tau)$$

Example. The example with $\cos\vartheta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ has $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -65$

In particular, $3 \nmid \bar{\nu}(M, g)$, so it is not G_2-nullbordant

Example. The example with $\cos\vartheta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ has $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -65$
In particular, $3 \nmid \bar{\nu}(M, g)$, so it is not G_2-nullbordant

Conjecture

All values in $\mathbb{Z}/48$ occur as ν-invariants of G_2-holonomy metrics

Example. The example with $\cos\vartheta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ has $\bar{\nu}(M,g) = -65$
In particular, $3 \nmid \bar{\nu}(M,g)$, so it is not G_2-nullbordant

Conjecture

All values in $\mathbb{Z}/48$ occur as ν-invariants of G_2-holonomy metrics

Questions

- How many different G_2-metrics exist on one 7-manifold?
- Are different G_2-metrics on a fixed 7-manifold G_2-bordant?

Example. The example with $\cos\vartheta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ has $\bar{\nu}(M, g) = -65$
In particular, $3 \nmid \bar{\nu}(M, g)$, so it is not G_2-nullbordant

Conjecture

All values in $\mathbb{Z}/48$ occur as ν-invariants of G_2-holonomy metrics

Questions

- How many different G_2-metrics exist on one 7-manifold?
- Are different G_2-metrics on a fixed 7-manifold G_2-bordant?

Construct more examples

- Find more asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds
- Understand their moduli space, make the K3 surfaces match
- Consider other constructions

Thanks for your attention!