

# Ideals & Quotient Rings

Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. Let  $S \subseteq R$  be a subring.

Since  $S \leq R$ , then we can form the set of left cosets.

$$R/S = \{ r+S \mid r \in R \}$$

Goal: Create a new ring from  $R$ ,  $S$  and  $R/S$ .

Question: How do we give  $R/S$  the ring structure?

A natural way:  $(a+S) + (b+S) = (a+b) + S$

$$(a+S) \cdot (b+S) = (ab) + S$$

1. Addition of classes is well-defined because  $S \trianglelefteq R$ . ✓ } because  $(R, +)$  is  
 2.  $(R/S, +)$  is an abelian group. ✓ an abelian group

Problem ↗ 3. Multiplication of classes is well-defined ✗

4. Multiplication of classes is associative. ✓ } because  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring  
 5. The distributive laws of classes hold. ✓ }

$$\begin{aligned} a+S &= b+S \\ \text{and} \quad &\Rightarrow (a+S) \cdot (c+S) = (b+S) \cdot (d+S) \\ c+S &= d+S \end{aligned}$$

\*  $\forall r \in R \quad \forall s \in S$

$$rs \in S \text{ and } sr \in S$$



Multiplication of classes is well-defined if and only if \* is true

Observe that not any subring satisfies \*:  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $2i \in \mathbb{R}$  but  $2i \notin \mathbb{R}$

When a subring satisfies \*, then  $R/S$  has a well-defined multiplication and becomes a ring.

wow!

Subrings with property  deserve a name:

Def: A subring  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called an **ideal** of  $R$  if

$ra \in I$  and  $ar \in I$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $a \in I$ .

!  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal if  $I$  "absorbs"  $R$  from the left and the right.

Ex:

1.  $R$  a ring then  $R$  and  $\{0\}$  are ideals called **trivial ideals**.

2.  $\mathbb{Z}$ :  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

3.  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ :  $I := \left\{ a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n = 2, 3, \dots \right\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,

$J := \left\{ p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \mid p(0) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

4.  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ :  $S := \{ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is differentiable} \}$  is not an ideal of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

Theorem: Ideal Test Let  $I$  a subset of a ring  $R$ .

$I$  is an ideal of  $R \iff$  (1)  $I \neq \emptyset$

(2)  $a - b \in I \quad \forall a, b \in I$

(3)  $ra$  and  $ar \in I \quad \forall r \in R \quad \forall a \in I$

Proof:  $(\Rightarrow) \checkmark$

$(\Leftarrow)$  From (1) and (2),  $I$  is a subgroup of  $R$ . From (3),  $I$  absorbs  $R$  on the left/right.

WTS:  $ab \in I$  for all  $a, b \in I$ .

Since  $a \in R$  and  $b \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  by (3). □

Theorem 10: A subring  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{If } a+I = b+I \text{ and } c+I = d+I, \text{ then} \\ (ac)+I = (bd)+I. \quad \forall a,b,c,d \in R \end{array} \right)$

Proof:

$(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $a+I = b+I$  and  $c+I = d+I$  i.e.,  $a-b \in I$  and  $c-d \in I$  by Lemma 27.

WTS:  $ac - bd \in I$ .

$$ac - bd = ac - bc + bc - bd = (a-b)c + b(c-d) \in I$$

$I$  absorbs  
on the right  $I$

$I$   $\cap$   $I$  absorbs  
on the left

$(\Leftarrow)$  Since  $I \subseteq R$  is a subring, we only need to prove that  $ra$  and  $ar \in I$   $\forall r \in R, a \in I$ .

Let  $r \in R$  and  $a \in I$ , then  $a+I = I$  and  $r+I = r+I$ . By hypothesis,

$$ar + I = or + I \quad \text{and} \quad ra + I = ro + I. \quad \text{Thus, } ar \in I \text{ and } ra \in I.$$

Corollary 11: Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring and let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Then

$R/I = I \setminus R$  is a ring under the operations

$$(a+I) + (b+I) := (a+b)+I \quad \text{and} \quad (a+I) \cdot (b+I) := (ab)+I.$$

Moreover, (1) If  $R$  has an identity, then  $R/I$  has an identity,  $1_{R/I} = 1+I$ .

(2) If  $R$  is commutative, then  $R/I$  is commutative.

Proof: From Thm 10,  $\cdot$  is well-defined.

Def: Let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal of  $R$

(1)  $R/I$  is called the quotient ring of  $R$  by  $I$ .

(2) The ring homomorphism  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$  given by  $\pi(r) = r+I$  is called the natural projection of  $R$  onto  $R/I$ .

## Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad R/R = \{R\} \cong \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R/\{0\} = \{ \{r\} \mid r \in R \} \cong R$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{ n\mathbb{Z}, 1+n\mathbb{Z}, \dots, (n-1)+n\mathbb{Z} \}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \mathbb{Z}[x]/I = \{ p(x) + I \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \} \quad \text{where} \quad I = \{ a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n=2,3,\dots \}$$

$\mathbb{Z}[x]/I$  "I and packages of poly in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  that fail to belong to I"

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0 + a_1 x) + I &= (b_0 + b_1 x) + I \iff (a_0 + a_1 x) - (b_0 + b_1 x) \in I \\ &\iff (a_0 - b_0) - (a_1 - b_1)x = 0 \\ &\iff a_0 = b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 = b_1 \end{aligned}$$

Two classes  $p(x) + I$ ,  $q(x) + I$  are equal iff

they have the same constant terms

and the same coefficients of  $x$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}[\chi] / I = \left\{ (a + b\chi) + I \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[\chi] / I$$

$$(-2 + 2\chi) + I$$

$$(-2 + \chi) + I$$

$$(-2 + \chi) + I$$

$$2\chi + I$$

$$(1+2\chi) + I$$

$$(2+2\chi) + I$$

...

$$(-2) + I$$

$$(-1) + I$$

$$a_2\chi^2 + \dots + a_n\chi^n$$

$$a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n = 2, 3, \dots$$

$$1 + I$$

$$2 + I$$

...

$$(-2 - \chi) + I$$

$$(-1 - \chi) + I$$

$$(-\chi) + I$$

$$(1 - \chi) + I$$

$$(2 - \chi) + I$$

...

$$(-2 - 2\chi) + I$$

$$(-1 - 2\chi) + I$$

$$(-2\chi) + I$$

$$(1 - 2\chi) + I$$

$$(2 - 2\chi) + I$$

...

$$\mathbb{Z}[\chi] / I \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

$$④ \quad \mathbb{Z}[x]/J = \left\{ p(x) + J \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \right\} \text{ where } J := \left\{ p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \mid p(0) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$\mathbb{Z}[x]/J$  "J and packages of poly in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  that fail to belong to J"

$$\text{Let } n \geq m. \quad \left( \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \right) + J = \left( \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i \right) + J \iff \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i - b_i) x^i \in J$$

$$p(x) \qquad \qquad q(x) \qquad \qquad \iff a_0 - b_0 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\iff [a_0] = [b_0] \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/2$$

Two classes  $p(x) + J$ ,  $q(x) + J$  are equal iff their constant terms are equal mod 2

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/J = \{ J, 1+J \}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/J$$

$p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$   
 $p(0)$  is even

$p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$   
 $p(0)$  is odd

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/J \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$$