Duke University - Course Evaluations
Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2025

Course: EGRMGMT-512-01: PRODUCT MGMT IN HIGH-TECH COMP.EGRMGMT-512-01.

Instructor: Richard Thomas *

Response Rate: 2/7 (28.57 %)

Question		1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Thank you for completing an evaluation.Your constructive comments and ratings will be helpful to the faculty for improving this course for future students, helpful to the Duke administration for faculty promotion and awarding teaching-based recognitions, and helpful to other students considering enrolling in this course.	n	2	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
	%	100.00%				

Scale: 1 = I understand the purpose of course evaluations.

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
The goal of evaluations is to help instructors identify which aspects of the course are most effective and which aspects could be productively changed. Please do your best to focus on the course components and learning environment, and avoid superficial comments that are not relevant to the learning environment (for example, comments about the appearance of the instructors or personal attacks are not helpful or appropriate).	n	2	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
	%	100.00%				

Scale: 1 = I will do my best to be constructive.

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Prior research has identified biases in course evaluations related to instructor gender, age, race, ethnicity, sexuality, or other aspects of identity. Keep this in mind when reflecting on your course experience, and do your best to minimize the influence of any particular instructor identities on your evaluation.	n	2	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
	%	100.00%				

Scale: 1 = I will do my best to avoid potential biases.

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	B1	Mean	Std	Median
When answering questions that refer to "learning," please consider the relevant aspects of learning that are specific to this course (for example, acquisition of skills and methodology, retention of course content, new ways of thinking, or anything else that the course offered).	n	2	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
	%	100.00%				

Scale: 1 = I understand.

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Overall AppraisalGive an overall rating for the quality of this course (e.g., content, structure, approach, educational value).	n	0	0	0	1	1	4.24	4.50	0.71	4.50
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%				

Scale: 1 = Very poor, 2 = Poor, 3 = Adequate, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

B1 = EGRP Overall

Please elaborate on your impressions of the quality of the course.

• I think this course complemente my knowledge on product management and provided necessary tools to be a successful PM. The class structure was really good, with a good amount of theorical and practical knowledge. Particularly, I really enjoyed the final project, which we had the opportunity to work on throughout the term as it put in practice all the content in the course and serves as a good example of how the day to day of a PM can look like.

Duke University - Course Evaluations
Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2025

Course: EGRMGMT-512-01: PRODUCT MGMT IN HIGH-TECH COMP.EGRMGMT-512-01.
Instructor: Richard Thomas *
Response Rate: 2/7 (28.57 %)

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Give an overall rating for quality of the instructor, Richard Thomas (e.g., presentation, knowledge, fairness, responsiveness).	n	0	0	0	1	1	4.44	4.50	0.71	4.50
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%				

Scale: 1 = Very poor, 2 = Poor, 3 = Adequate, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

B1 = EGRP Overall

Please elaborate on your impressions of the quality of the instructor, Richard Thomas.
• Richard was a great professor for this course, he really worried about the students understanding the concepts and was very responsive to emails during the term. I also like that he brought guest speakers that shared their knowledge and real life experiences with product and introducing AI in their day-to-day.

Question		1	2	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Would you recommend this faculty member, Richard Thomas, for a Pratt Teaching Award?	n	1	1	1.27	1.50	0.71	1.50
	%	50.00%	50.00%				
• -							

Scale: 1 = Yes, 2 = No

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Self-EvaluationHow many hours per week, on average, did you spend outside of scheduled class (including labs) on this course?	n	0	0	1	0	1	2.01	4.00	1.41	4.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%				

Scale: 1 = 3-6 hours per week, 2 = 6-9 hours per week, 3 = 9-12 hours per week, 4 = 12-15 hours per week, 5 = 15+ hours per week

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
How difficult was this course for you?	n	0	0	1	1	0	2.83	3.50	0.71	3.50
	%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 1 = Very low difficulty, 2 = Low difficulty, 3 = Moderate difficulty, 4 = High difficulty, 5 = Very high difficulty

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
What level of critical thinking did this course require?	n	0	0	0	2	0	3.50	4.00	0.00	4.00
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%				
What was your interest level in this course topic at the beginning of the semester?	n	0	0	0	1	1	3.55	4.50	0.71	4.50
	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%				
What is your interest level in this course topic now?	n	0	1	0	0	1	3.76	3.50	2.12	3.50
	%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%				

Scale: 1 = Very low, 2 = Low, 3 = Moderate, 4 = High, 5 = Very high

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
How often did you attend class (either live or asynchronously)?	n	2	0	0	0	0	1.32	1.00	0.00	1.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 1 = More than 95% of the time, 2 = 85-95% of the time, 3 = 75-85% of the time, 4 = 50-75% of the time, 5 = 0-50% of the time

B1 = EGRP Overall

Question		1	2	3	4	5	B1	Mean	Std	Median
What grade do you expect to receive in this class?	n	2	0	0	0	0	1.17	1.00	0.00	1.00
	%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 1 = A, 2 = B, 3 = C, 4 = F, 5 = Other

B1 = EGRP Overall

Duke University - Course Evaluations
Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2025

Course: EGRMGMT-512-01: PRODUCT MGMT IN HIGH-TECH COMP.EGRMGMT-512-01.

Instructor: Richard Thomas *

Response Rate: 2/7 (28.57 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	0	B1	Mean	Std	Median
Appraisal of Learning: The readings supported the objectives of this course.	n	0	2	0	0	0	0	4.43	4.00	0.00	4.00
	%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
Appraisal of Learning: The assignments and projects supported the objectives of this course.	n	1	1	0	0	0	0	4.42	4.50	0.71	4.50
	%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
Appraisal of Learning: The class discussions and/or lectures supported the objectives of this course.	n	1	1	0	0	0	0	4.41	4.50	0.71	4.50
	%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
Appraisal of Learning: I would recommend this course to future students.	n	1	1	0	0	0	0	4.33	4.50	0.71	4.50
	%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
Appraisal of Learning: The evaluation method (exams, homework, etc.) matched the objectives and content for the course.	n	0	1	1	0	0	0	4.37	3.50	0.71	3.50
	%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
Appraisal of Learning: The evaluation method (exams, homework, etc.) for this course was fair.	n	1	0	1	0	0	0	4.36	4.00	1.41	4.00
	%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				

Scale: 5 = Strongly agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly disagree, 0 = N/A

B1 = EGRP Overall

Open-Ended QuestionsWhat parts of the class were most useful for you? Why?

• I belive the final project was really useful as it puts in practice all the concepts of the course.

What parts of the class were least useful for you? Why?

• Some of the assignments and presentations felt repetitive and the workload was very high (~3 assignments per week), especially considering the timeline of courses at Fuqua.

Please add any additional comments or suggestions for improving the learning experience in this course.