

# Duke University - Course Evaluations




## Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2024

**Course:** EGRMGMT-556-01: ENGINEERING MANAGEMT PRACTICUM.EGRMGMT-556-01.




**Instructor:** Joseph Holmes \*

**Response Rate:** 3/5 (60.00 %)




**1 - Thank you for completing an evaluation. Your constructive comments and ratings will be helpful to the faculty for improving this course for future students, helpful to the Duke administration for faculty promotion and awarding teaching-based recognitions, and helpful to other students considering enrolling in this course.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
I understand the purpose of course evaluations.	(1)	3	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	1.00	0.00	1.00	890		1.00	0.00	1.00




**2 - The goal of evaluations is to help instructors identify which aspects of the course are most effective and which aspects could be productively changed. Please do your best to focus on the course components and learning environment, and avoid superficial comments that are not relevant to the learning environment (for example, comments about the appearance of the instructors or personal attacks are not helpful or appropriate).**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
I will do my best to be constructive.	(1)	3	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	1.00	0.00	1.00	899		1.00	0.00	1.00




**3 - Prior research has identified biases in course evaluations related to instructor gender, age, race, ethnicity, sexuality, or other aspects of identity. Keep this in mind when reflecting on your course experience, and do your best to minimize the influence of any particular instructor identities on your evaluation.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
I will do my best to avoid potential biases.	(1)	3	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	1.00	0.00	1.00	902		1.00	0.00	1.00

**4 - When answering questions that refer to "learning," please consider the relevant aspects of learning that are specific to this course (for example, acquisition of skills and methodology, retention of course content, new ways of thinking, or anything else that the course offered).**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
I understand.	(1)	3	100.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	1.00	0.00	1.00	895		1.00	0.00	1.00

**5 - Overall Appraisal Give an overall rating for the quality of this course (e.g., content, structure, approach, educational value).**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Adequate	(3)	0	0.00%					
Good	(4)	1	33.33%					
Excellent	(5)	2	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.67	0.58	5.00	904		4.20	0.93	4.00

# Duke University - Course Evaluations

## Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2024

**Course:** EGRMGMT-556-01: ENGINEERING MANAGEMT PRACTICUM.EGRMGMT-556-01.  
**Instructor:** Joseph Holmes \*  
**Response Rate:** 3/5 (60.00 %)

### 6 - Please elaborate on your impressions of the quality of the course.

**Response Rate** 2/5 (40%)

- Very good, got the real world consulting experience!
- the course was overall good. Experienced how a project works from start to end.

### 7 - Give an overall rating for quality of the instructor, Joseph Holmes (e.g., presentation, knowledge, fairness, responsiveness). -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very poor	(1)	0	0.00%			4.67	4.43	
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Adequate	(3)	0	0.00%					
Good	(4)	1	33.33%					
Excellent	(5)	2	66.67%					
					0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall	
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>EGRP Overall</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
3/5 (60.00%)	4.67	0.58	5.00	1067		4.43	0.86	5.00

### 8 - Please elaborate on your impressions of the quality of the instructor, Joseph Holmes. -

**Response Rate** 2/5 (40%)

- Roy, the mentor was superb, he went above and beyond for us and just like a guide really led us in a great way
- Our Professor were very helpful. He nudged us in the right direction, helped us in asking the right question. It was wonderful to work with him.

### 9 - Would you recommend this faculty member, Joseph Holmes, for a Pratt Teaching Award? -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Yes	(1)	2	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div></div>	1.33	1.34		
No	(2)	1	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>					
					02550100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median	
3/5 (60.00%)	1.33	0.58	1.00	1036		1.34	0.47	1.00	
• Roy, the mentor was superb, he went above and beyond for us and just like a guide really led us in a great way									

### 10 - Self-EvaluationHow many hours per week, on average, did you spend outside of scheduled class (including labs) on this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
3-6 hours per week	(1)	0	0.00%			2.67	1.96	
6-9 hours per week	(2)	1	33.33%					
9-12 hours per week	(3)	2	66.67%					
12-15 hours per week	(4)	0	0.00%					
15+ hours per week	(5)	0	0.00%					
					0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall	
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>EGRP Overall</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
3/5 (60.00%)	2.67	0.58	3.00	896		1.96	1.09	2.00

# Duke University - Course Evaluations

## Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2024

**Course:** EGRMGMT-556-01: ENGINEERING MANAGEMT PRACTICUM.EGRMGMT-556-01.  
**Instructor:** Joseph Holmes \*  
**Response Rate:** 3/5 (60.00 %)

### 11 - How difficult was this course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very low difficulty	(1)	0	0.00%					
Low difficulty	(2)	0	0.00%					
Moderate difficulty	(3)	2	66.67%					
High difficulty	(4)	1	33.33%					
Very high difficulty	(5)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	3.33	0.58	3.00	901		2.87	0.96	3.00

### 12 - What level of critical thinking did this course require?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	0	0.00%					
Moderate	(3)	1	33.33%					
High	(4)	1	33.33%					
Very high	(5)	1	33.33%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.00	1.00	4.00	899		3.51	1.04	4.00

### 13 - What was your interest level in this course topic at the beginning of the semester?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	0	0.00%					
Moderate	(3)	2	66.67%					
High	(4)	1	33.33%					
Very high	(5)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	3.33	0.58	3.00	900		3.57	1.05	4.00

### 14 - What is your interest level in this course topic now?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	0	0.00%					
Moderate	(3)	0	0.00%					
High	(4)	3	100.00%					
Very high	(5)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.00	0.00	4.00	900		3.68	1.12	4.00

# Duke University - Course Evaluations

## Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2024

**Course:** EGRMGMT-556-01: ENGINEERING MANAGENT PRACTICUM.EGRMGMT-556-01.

**Instructor:** Joseph Holmes \*

**Response Rate:** 3/5 (60.00 %)

### 15 - How often did you attend class (either live or asynchronously)?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
More than 95% of the time	(1)	2	66.67%					
85-95% of the time	(2)	1	33.33%					
75-85% of the time	(3)	0	0.00%					
50-75% of the time	(4)	0	0.00%					
0-50% of the time	(5)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	1.33	0.58	1.00	899		1.28	0.66	1.00

### 16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this class?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
A	(1)	3	100.00%					
B	(2)	0	0.00%					
C	(3)	0	0.00%					
F	(4)	0	0.00%					
Other	(5)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	1.00	0.00	1.00	896		1.21	0.70	1.00

### 17 - Appraisal of Learning

The readings supported the objectives of this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	33.33%					
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.33	0.58	4.00	887		4.38	0.86	5.00

### 17 - Appraisal of Learning

The assignments and projects supported the objectives of this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	33.33%					
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.33	0.58	4.00	887		4.40	0.89	5.00

# Duke University - Course Evaluations

## Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2024

**Course:** EGRMGMT-556-01: ENGINEERING MANAGEMT PRACTICUM.EGRMGMT-556-01.  
**Instructor:** Joseph Holmes \*  
**Response Rate:** 3/5 (60.00 %)

### 17 - Appraisal of Learning

The class discussions and/or lectures supported the objectives of this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>	4.33	4.44		
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>				
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.33	0.58	4.00	885		4.44	0.84	5.00

### 17 - Appraisal of Learning

I would recommend this course to future students.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>	4.33	4.21		
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>				
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.33	0.58	4.00	886		4.21	1.09	5.00

### 17 - Appraisal of Learning

The evaluation method (exams, homework, etc.) matched the objectives and content for the course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>	4.33	4.32		
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>				
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.33	0.58	4.00	886		4.32	0.92	5.00

### 17 - Appraisal of Learning

The evaluation method (exams, homework, etc.) for this course was fair.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>	4.33	4.31		
Agree	(4)	2	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>				
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question	EGRP Overall		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	EGRP Overall		Mean	STD	Median
3/5 (60.00%)	4.33	0.58	4.00	886		4.31	0.96	5.00

Duke University - Course Evaluations  
Pratt EGRP Course Evaluations - Spring 2024

Course:	EGRMGMT-556-01: ENGINEERING MANAGEMT PRACTICUM.EGRMGMT-556-01.
Instructor:	Joseph Holmes *
Response Rate:	3/5 (60.00 %)

18 - Open-Ended QuestionsWhat parts of the class were most useful for you? Why?	
Response Rate	0/5 (0%)

19 - What parts of the class were least useful for you? Why?	
Response Rate	0/5 (0%)

20 - Please add any additional comments or suggestions for improving the learning experience in this course.	
Response Rate	0/5 (0%)