Report: operations-pranab-fall

Response Rate: 14/20 (70.00 %)

1 - Thank you for completing an evaluation. Your constructive comments and ratings will be helpful to the faculty for improving this course for future students, helpful to the Duke administration for faculty promotion and awarding teaching-based recognitions, and helpful to other students considering enrolling in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respo	nses	Ме	ans	
I understand the purpose of course evaluations.	(1)	14	100.00%					
				0 25 50	100			
			Resp	onse Rate				
			14/2	0 (70.00%)				

2 - The goal of evaluations is to help instructors identify which aspects of the course are most effective and which aspects could be productively changed. Please do your best to focus on the course components and learning environment, and avoid superficial comments that are not relevant to the learning environment (for example, comments about the appearance of the instructors or personal attacks are not helpful or appropriate).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
I will do my best to be constructive.	(1)	14	100.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
			Resp	ponse Rate	
			14/2	20 (70.00%)	

3 - Prior research has identified biases in course evaluations related to instructor gender, age, race, ethnicity, sexuality, or other aspects of identity. Keep this in mind when reflecting on your course experience, and do your best to minimize the influence of any particular instructor identities on your evaluation.


		-							=	
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses	Me	ans	
I will do my best to avoid potential biases.	(1)	14	100.00%							
				0	25	50	100			
			Resp	onse	Rate					
			14/2	0 (70.	00%)					


4 - When answering questions that refer to "learning," please consider the relevant aspects of learning that are specific to this course (for example, acquisition of skills and methodology, retention of course content, new ways of thinking, or anything else that the course offered).


Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Resp	onse	es	Me	ans	
I understand.	(1)	14	100.00%								
				0	25	50	10	100			
			Res	onse	Rate						
	·		14/2	0 (70.	.00%)						


5 - Overall AppraisalGive ar	overall ra	ting for th	e qu	ality of this	course (e.g., o	con	tent, stru	ıctuı	re, appr	oach, educ	ation	nal value).			
Response Option	Frequency	Percent	Percent Percent Responses Means												
Very poor		('	1)	0	0.00%	1				4.14		4.17	4.16		
Poor		(2	2)	1	7.14%										
Adequate		(;	3)	1	7.14%										
Good		(4	4)	7	50.00%										
Excellent		(!	5)	5	35.71%										
				•		0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	M	edian	Department		Mean		STD	Median	All I	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
14/20 (70.00%)	4.14	0.86	4	4.00	1403		4.17		0.96	4.00		1583	4.16	0.97	4.00

Report: operations-pranab-fall













Report: operations-pranab-fall











Report: operations-pranab-fall

14 - What grade do you exp	ect to rece	ive in this	clas	s?											
Response Option		Wei	ight	Frequenc	y Percent	Р	ercent R	lesp	onses						
A		(4	1)	13	92.86%					3.93		3.88	3.86		
В		(3	3)	1	7.14%										
С		(2	2)	0	0.00%	1									
F		(1	1)	0	0.00%	1									
Other		(0))	0	0.00%	1								l	
		·			•	0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Me	edian	Department		Mean		STD	Median	All	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
14/20 (70.00%)	3.93	0.27	4	4.00	1401		3.88		0.34	4.00		1576	3.86	0.37	4.00



15 - Appraisal of Learning																
The assignments and proje	cts suppor	ted the obj	jectiv	es of this c	ourse.											
Response Option		Weig	ght	Frequency	Percent	P	ercent R	es	por	ises			Me	ans		
Strongly agree		(5)	10	71.43%						4.50		4.40	4.40		
Agree		(4)	2	14.29%											
Neutral		(3))	1	7.14%											
Disagree		(2))	1	7.14%											
Strongly disagree		(1))	0	0.00%	1										
N/A		(0))	0	0.00%	1									l	
		,				0	25	5	0	100	Questio	n	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Me	edian	Department		Mean		S	TD	Median	All	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
14/20 (70.00%)	4.50	0.94	5.	.00	1390		4.40		0	.87	5.00		1569	4.40	0.86	5.00


Report: operations-pranab-fall



15 - Appraisal of Learning	1														
I would recommend this o	ourse to fut	ure studen	ıts.												
Response Option		Wei	ight	Frequency	Percent	P	ercent R	es	ponses			Me	ans		
Strongly agree		(5	5)	10	71.43%					4.50		4.23	4.21		
Agree		(4	1)	2	14.29%									l	
Neutral		(3	3)	1	7.14%										
Disagree		(2	2)	1	7.14%										
Strongly disagree		(1	1)	0	0.00%	1									
N/A		(0))	0	0.00%	1								l	
		<u>'</u>				0	25	5	0 100	Questio	n	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Me	edian	Department		Mean		STD	Median	All	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
14/20 (70.00%)	4.50	0.94	5	5.00	1392		4.23	T	1.06	5.00		1570	4.21	1.08	5.00

15 - Appraisal of Learning															
The evaluation method (ex	kams, home	work, etc.)) mat	ched the ob	ectives and	cont	ent for t	he co	ourse.						
Response Option	Frequency	Percent	Pe	ercent R	espo	nses			Ме	ans					
Strongly agree		(5	5)	10	71.43%					4.69		4.28	4.28		
Agree		(4	4)	2	14.29%		l								
Neutral		(3	3)	1	7.14%										
Disagree		(2	2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly disagree		(1	1)	0	0.00%										
N/A		((0)	1	7.14%										
						0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	M	edian	Department		Mean		STD	Median	All I	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
14/20 (70.00%)	4.69	0.63		5.00	1394		4.28		0.95	5.00		1572	4.28	0.95	5.00

Report: operations-pranab-fall



Mean of Means Calculations	Mean	Department	All Masters Courses	
Appraisal of Learning	4.64	4.33	4.32	
Course and Instructor Quality	4.39	4.25	4.23	