Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT

Instructor: Dina Requena
Response Rate: 18/22 (81.82 %)

1 - Thank you for completing an evaluation. Your constructive comments and ratings will be helpful to the faculty for improving this course for future students, helpful to the Duke administration for faculty promotion and awarding teaching-based recognitions, and helpful to other students considering enrolling in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent	Resp	onse	es		Me	ans	
I understand the purpose of course evaluations.	(1)	18	100.00%									
				0	25	50		100				
Response Rate												
			18/2	2 (81.	82%)							

2 - The goal of evaluations is to help instructors identify which aspects of the course are most effective and which aspects could be productively changed. Please do your best to focus on the course components and learning environment, and avoid superficial comments that are not relevant to the learning environment (for example, comments about the appearance of the instructors or personal attacks are not helpful or appropriate).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent F	lespoi	nses	Means				
I will do my best to be constructive.	(1)	18	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100					
			Resp	oonse F	Rate							
		•	18/2	2 (81.8	2%)			•				

3 - Prior research has identified biases in course evaluations related to instructor gender, age, race, ethnicity, sexuality, or other aspects of identity. Keep this in mind when reflecting on your course experience, and do your best to minimize the influence of any particular instructor identities on your evaluation.

										=		
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses	Means				
I will do my best to avoid potential biases.	(1)	18	100.00%									
				0	25	50	100					
Response Rate												
			18/2	2 (81.	82%)							

4 - When answering questions that refer to "learning," please consider the relevant aspects of learning that are specific to this course (for example, acquisition of skills and methodology, retention of course content, new ways of thinking, or anything else that the course offered).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	onses		Me	ans	
I understand.	(1)	18	100.00%					I			
				0	25	50	10				
			Resp	onse	Rate						
			18/2	2 (81.	82%)						

5 - Overall AppraisalGive ar	overall ra	ting for the	quality	of this c	ourse (e.g., c	ontent, str	ucture, app	roach, edu	cation	al value).			
Response Option		Weig	ght Fre	equency	Percent	Percent I	Responses			Me	ans		
Very poor		(1))	0	0.00%			0.70		4.20	4.19		
Poor		(2))	0	0.00%	1		3.78					
Adequate		(3))	7	38.89%								
Good		(4))	8	44.44%								
Excellent		(5))	3	16.67%								
			•			0 25	50 100	Questio	n	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Media	n [Department	Mean	STD	Median	All N	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
18/22 (81.82%)	3.78	0.73	4.00		1071	4.20	0.89	4.00		1286	4.19	0.90	4.00

Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT

Instructor: Dina Requena


Response Rate: 18/22 (81.82 %)

6 - Please elaborate on your impressions of the quality of the course.

Response Rate

8/22 (36.36%)

- It gave me a holistic understanding of the product development.
- The course is really excellent. It would be great if the weekly assignments are team-based. And the final project is an individual project. This would help to learn in-depth and execute the course learning in the final individual project.
- · The content of the course is great and Prof Dina teaches well.
- Very informative course packed with excellent information
- The course was overall good, got to learn important things like product roadmap.
- · Good course, gave a proper overview of PM concepts
- · The professor should improve her teaching skills
- The course gave a good understanding of the overall product development lifecycle and processes. It could also include a real-time element for product design to make the project more realistic and provide a hands-on experience to students.




8 - Please elaborate on your impressions of the quality of the instructor.


Response Rate


7/22 (31.82%)


- Professor has great experiences and knowledge by having worked in different companies and industry. However, sometimes her delivery is not clear and so this keeps us guessing as to what is expected out of us and our submission.
- The Professor is really great and humble to address all our questions and concerns.
- The professor should try to provide more explanation. She explains a lot more in detail when we ask questions so we feel we maybe mising out on her knowledge unless we ask a question.
- Prof Dina was really helpful in clearing our doubts, there is a scope of making the class more interactive.
- Can convey all info properly, also lenient with grading and encourages to make mistakes to learn
- She had lots of knowledge, but she needs to improve her communication and course updates on sakai
- Prof. Dina is fantastic and facilitates a good interaction among students during class. Her slide deck is comprehensive and offers a good learning experience. She includes interesting videos in the course content to help students understand the concepts better.




Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT












Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT





16 - What grade do you exp	ect to rece	ive in this	clas	s?											
Response Option		Wei	ight	Frequenc	y Percent	F	Percent R	es	ponses			Me	ans		
A		(4	1)	16	88.89%					3.89		3.85	3.83	1	
В		(3	3)	2	11.11%										
С		(2	2)	0	0.00%										
F		(1	1)	0	0.00%										
Other		(0))	0	0.00%	1									
					•	0	25	5	0 100	Question	1	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Me	edian	Department		Mean		STD	Median	All	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
18/22 (81.82%)	3.89	0.32	4	4.00	1065		3.85	T	0.37	4.00		1277	3.83	0.41	4.00



Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT


17 - Appraisal of Learning																
The assignments and proje	ects suppor	ted the ob	jecti	ves of this o	ourse.											
Response Option		Wei	ght	Frequency	Percent	F	ercent R	es	pons	ses			Me	ans		
Strongly agree		(5	5)	6	33.33%						4.33		4.42	4.42		
Agree		(4	ł)	12	66.67%											
Neutral		(3	3)	0	0.00%											
Disagree		(2	2)	0	0.00%											
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%											
N/A		(0))	0	0.00%										l	
		<u>'</u>			•	0	25	5	0	100	Question	1	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	M	edian	Department		Mean		ST	D.	Median	All	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
18/22 (81.82%)	4.33	0.49	-	4.00	1067		4.42	T	0.7	79	5.00		1279	4.42	0.80	5.00

17 - Appraisal of Learning															
The class discussions and	d/or lectures	supporte	d the	objectives	of this course).									
Response Option		We	ight	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Re	spo	nses			Me	ans		
Strongly agree		(:	5)	6	33.33%					4.22		4.42	4.41	_	
Agree		(4	4)	10	55.56%			l							
Neutral		(:	3)	2	11.11%										
Disagree		(:	2)	0	0.00%										
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%										
N/A		(0)	0	0.00%	1									
					•	0 25		50	100	Questio	n	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	M	edian	Department	Mea	1		STD	Median	All	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
18/22 (81.82%)	4.22	0.65		4.00	1067	4.42			0.82	5.00		1280	4.41	0.83	5.00

17 - Appraisal of Learning	1														
I would recommend this of	ourse to fut	ure student	s.												
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	Percent	Res	pon	ises			Me	ans		
Strongly agree		(5)	į	5	27.78%							4.25	4.23		
Agree		(4)	1	7	38.89%					3.94				l	
Neutral		(3)	(3	33.33%										
Disagree		(2)	()	0.00%										
Strongly disagree		(1)	()	0.00%										
N/A		(0)	()	0.00%	1								l	
						0 25	5	50	100	Questio	n	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	-	Department	Mean		S	TD	Median	All I	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
18/22 (81.82%)	3.94	0.80	4.00		1068	4.25		0.	.98	5.00		1281	4.23	1.01	5.00

Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT

Instructor: Dina Requena 18/22 (81.82 %) **Response Rate:**



17 - Appraisal of Learning													
The evaluation method (exa	ıms, home	weork, etc.)	for this co	urse was fair.									
Response Option		Weigh	t Freque	ency Percent	Percent F	espons	es			Me	ans		
Strongly agree		(5)	7	38.89%				4.39		4.30	4.27		
Agree		(4)	11	61.11%									
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%]								
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%]								
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%]								
N/A		(0)	0	0.00%	1								
				•	0 25	50	100	Question	1	Department	All Masters Co	ourses	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STE		Median	All I	Masters Courses	Mean	STD	Median
18/22 (81.82%)	4.39	0.50	4.00	1063	4.30	0.89	9	5.00		1274	4.27	0.92	5.00

18 - Open-Ended QuestionsWhat parts of the class were most useful for you? Why? 6/22 (27.27%)

Response Rate

- working on a semester long project and following up with professor helped me have hands-on learning.
- · Class assignments are really productive and great learning experience.
- Final project, PM Lingo, class assignments helped put to practise the concepts we learn
- · The Product concepts
- Assignments
- The end-to-end project experience in MPD was extremely helpful. We got to apply concepts learned in class on our project.

19 - What parts of the class were least useful for you? Why?

Response Rate

5/22 (22.73%)

- · class assignments were not as useful. Instead, if there would be more discussion about the chapters of book recommended then it will be more helpful.
- The class needs to be more interactive in terms of sharing ideas.
- · INNOV8 simulation did not understand the game
- · Some concepts felt a little repetitive with other classes
- Simulations

20 - Please add any additional comments or suggestions for improving the learning experience in this course.

Response Rate 4/22 (18.18%)

- It would be great if students can work on at least 2 projects. One throughout the semester and the second as the final individual project.
- · Reduce course syllabus and focus more on specific things
- · As mentioned above, it would be helpful to have a real-time element associated with the project to offer a better hands-on experience to students.

Course: EGRMGMT-590-07: ADV TOPICS IN ENG MGMT

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean	Department	All Masters Courses	
Appraisal of Learning	4.26	4.35	4.33	
Course and Instructor Quality	3.73	4.30	4.29	