

Reading Seminar on “The Theory of Partitions”
by George E. Andrews

The Asymptotics of Infinite Product Generating
Functions

Italo Simonelli

October 29, 2021

Recall that the generating function for integer partitions $p(n)$ is

$$F(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} p(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1}.$$

In 1954 G. Meinardus considered the asymptotics of generating functions of the form

$$f(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-a_n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} r(n)q^n,$$

where $q = e^{-\tau}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \tau > 0$, and the a_n are nonnegative real numbers. He proved a general result, known as Meinardus' first theorem, which includes asymptotic formulas for many partition functions.

Definitions and assumptions

Consider the generating function

$$f(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-a_n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} r(n)q^n,$$

where $q = e^{-\tau}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$, and the a_n are nonnegative real numbers.

Define the auxiliary Dirichlet series:

$$D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad (s = \sigma + it),$$

which it is assumed

- (i) to be convergent for $\sigma > \alpha$, for some positive real number α ;
- (ii) to possess an analytic continuation in the region $\sigma \geq -C_0$, where $0 < C_0 < 1$. In this region $D(s)$ is analytic except for a simple pole at $s = \alpha$, with residue A ;
- (iii) $D(s) = O(|t|^{C_1})$ uniformly in $\sigma \geq -C_0$ as $|t| \rightarrow +\infty$, where C_1 is a fixed positive real number.

Meinardus' First Theorem

Theorem 6.2 As $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$r(n) = C n^{\kappa} \exp \left[n^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (A\Gamma(\alpha+1) \zeta(\alpha+1))^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \right] (1 + O(n^{-\kappa_1}))$$

where

$$C = e^{D'(0)} [2\pi(1+\alpha)]^{-1/2} [A\Gamma(\alpha+1)] \zeta(\alpha+1)^{(1-2D(0))/(2+2\alpha)},$$

$$\kappa = \frac{D(0) - 1 - 1/(2\alpha)}{1 + \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \min \left(\frac{C_0}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \delta \right),$$

δ is an arbitrary real number.

Additional assumptions

The proof will use the function

$$g(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n q^n, \quad q = e^{-\tau}.$$

If $\tau = y + 2\pi ix$, we shall assume that for $|\arg \tau| > \pi/4$ and $|x| \leq 1/2$,

$$R(g(\tau)) - g(y) \leq -C_2 y^{-\epsilon}$$

for sufficiently small y , where ϵ is an arbitrary but fixed positive number, and C_2 is a positive real number depending on ϵ .

Proof of Theorem 6.2

By the Cauchy integral formula

$$\begin{aligned} r(n) &= \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_0+2\pi i} f(\tau) e^{n\tau} d\tau \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(y+2\pi ix) e^{ny+2\pi inx} dx \end{aligned}$$

The proof is based on applying the saddle point method. In order to apply this method one needs information of the behavior of $f(\tau)$ in the half plane $Re(\tau) > 0$, and near $\tau = 0$.

Lemma 1. Under our assumptions on $f(\tau)$, $D(s)$, and $g(\tau)$, with $\tau = y + 2\pi ix$,

$$f(\tau) = \exp \left[A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha + 1)\tau^{-\alpha} - D(0)\log \tau + D'(0) + O(y^{C_0}) \right]$$

uniformly in x as $y \rightarrow 0$, provided $|\arg \tau| \leq \pi/4$, $|x| \leq 1/2$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $q = e^{-\tau}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau) &= \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - e^{-n\tau})^{-a_n} \\ \log f(\tau) &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \log(1 - e^{-n\tau}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-nk\tau} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Recall that

$$e^{-\tau} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - i\infty}^{\sigma_0 + i\infty} \tau^{-s} \Gamma(s) ds \quad (\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0, \sigma_0 > 0) \tag{2}$$

Using (2) in (1) we get

$$\log f(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\alpha-i\infty}^{1+\alpha+i\infty} \tau^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s+1) D(s) ds. \quad (3)$$

Now we make the shift of the line of integration from $Re(s) = 1 + \alpha$ to $Re(s) = -C_0$. The integrand has two poles:

- (i) a pole of order one at α , with residue $\tau^{-\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) \zeta(\alpha+1) A$;
- (ii) a pole of order two at $s = 0$.

The residue at $s = 0$ can be obtained by first expanding the integrand near $s = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s+1) D(s) \\ &= (1 - s \log \tau + \cdots) \left(\frac{1}{s} - \gamma + \cdots \right) \left(\frac{1}{s} + \gamma + \cdots \right) (D(0) + D'(0)s + \cdots) \\ &= \frac{1}{s^2} + (D'(0) + D(0) \log \tau) \frac{1}{s} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and hence the residue at $s = 0$ is $D'(0) - D(0) \log \tau$.

From this shift we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\log f(\tau) = & A\tau^{-\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha+1) - D(0)\log \tau + D'(0) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-C_0-i\infty}^{-C_0+i\infty} \tau^{-s}\Gamma(s)\zeta(s+1)D(s)ds.\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

The shift of the line of integration is permissible since for $|\arg(\tau)| \leq \pi/4$,

$$|\tau^{-s}| = |\tau|^{-\sigma} \exp(t \arg(\tau)) \leq |\tau|^{-\sigma} \exp(\pi|t|/4)$$

and by assumption, for $\sigma \geq C_0$, $D(s) = O(|t|^{C_1})$, while classical results give

$$\zeta(s+1) = O(|t|^{C_4}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(s) = O(\exp(-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|^{C_5}))$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

We next show that as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ the integral in (4) tends to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-C_0-i\infty}^{-C_0+i\infty} \tau^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s+1) D(s) ds \right| \\
&= O\left(|\tau|^{C_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi|t|/4} |t|^{C_1+C_4+C_5} dt\right) \\
&= O(|\tau|^{C_0}) = O(y^{C_o}).
\end{aligned}$$

This and (4) now prove Lemma 1.

Let's go back to the integral representation of $r(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(n) &= \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_0+2\pi i} f(\tau) e^{n\tau} d\tau \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(y + 2\pi i x) e^{ny+2\pi i n x} dx \end{aligned}$$

The maximum value of the integrand occurs at $x = 0$, and for such x Lemma 6.1 implies f is well approximated by

$$\exp \left[A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha+1)y^{-\alpha} + ny \right].$$

The saddle point method suggests we should choose y that minimizes the expression above.

That is, choose y such that

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(\exp \left[A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha+1)y^{-\alpha} + ny \right] \right) = 0$$

This gives

$$y = n^{-1/(\alpha+1)} [A\Gamma(\alpha+1)\zeta(\alpha+1)]^{1/(\alpha+1)}.$$

For convenience, we define

$$m = ny = n^{\alpha/(\alpha+1)} [A\Gamma(\alpha+1)\zeta(\alpha+1)]^{1/(\alpha+1)}.$$

With this value of y we split the integral into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} r(n) = & e^m \int_{-1/2}^{-y^\beta} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx + \\ & + e^m \int_{-y^\beta}^{y^\beta} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx + \\ & + e^m \int_{y^\beta}^{1/2} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \quad \text{with } 0 < \delta < \frac{2}{3}.$$

We are going to show that under our assumption the contribution of the sum of the first and last integrals are small. Define

$$R_1 = \int_{-1/2}^{-y^\beta} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx + \int_{y^\beta}^{1/2} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx.$$

Lemma 2. There exists a positive ϵ_1 such that

$$f(y + 2\pi ix) = O\left(\exp[A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha + 1)y^{-\alpha} - C_3y^{-\epsilon_1}]\right)$$

uniformly on x with $y^\beta \leq |x| \leq 1/2$, as $y \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\beta = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \quad \text{with } 0 < \delta < \frac{2}{3}$$

and C_3 is a fixed real number.

Sketch of Proof of Lemma 2. The proof consists of considering two cases

$$(i) \quad y^\beta \leq |x| \leq \frac{y}{2\pi}$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{y}{2\pi} \leq |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Case (i) is proven by proceeding as in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 1, and then by showing that under the assumptions of Lemma 2 in the region considered in case (i)

$$\log |f(y + 2\pi ix)| \leq A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha + 1)y^{-\alpha} - C_3y^{-\epsilon_1}.$$

Case (ii) relies on the condition $\operatorname{Re}(g(\tau)) - g(y) \leq -C_2 y^{-\epsilon}$. Since

$$\log f(\tau) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \log(1 - e^{-n\tau}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-nk\tau},$$

one has

$$\log |f(y + 2\pi ix)| - \operatorname{Re}(g(\tau)) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-nky} \cos(2\pi knx).$$

Since all the a_n 's are nonnegative,

$$\log |f(y + 2\pi ix)| - \operatorname{Re}(g(\tau)) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-nky} = \log(f(y)) - g(y).$$

Hence in case (ii),

$$\begin{aligned}\log |f(y + 2\pi ix)| &\leq \log f(y) + \operatorname{Re}(g(\tau)) - g(y) \\ &\leq A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha + 1)y^{-\alpha} - C_8y^{-\epsilon} \\ &\leq A\Gamma(\alpha)\zeta(\alpha + 1)y^{-\alpha} - C_3y^{-\epsilon_1},\end{aligned}$$

thus proving the lemma.

We are now go back to considering R_1 ,

$$R_1 = \int_{-1/2}^{-y^\beta} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx + \int_{y^\beta}^{1/2} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$R_1 = O\left(\exp\left[\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-\alpha} A \Gamma(\alpha) \zeta(\alpha + 1) - C_3 \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-\epsilon_1}\right]\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ (i.e., $y = m/n \rightarrow 0$).

Hence

$$\exp(m) R_1 = O\left(\exp\left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)m - C_9 m^{\epsilon_2}\right]\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Recall

$$r(n) = e^m \int_{-y^\beta}^{y^\beta} f(y + 2\pi ix) e^{2\pi i n x} dx + e^m R_1,$$

and we just found bounds for $e^m R_1$. Now applying Lemma 1 and making the change of variable $2\pi x = (m/n)\omega$ we obtain

$$r(n) = \exp \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) m - (D(0) - 1) \log \frac{m}{n} + D'(0) - \log 2\pi \right] I + e^m R_1,$$

where

$$I = \int_{-C_{10}m^{(1-\beta)/\alpha}}^{C_{10}m^{(1-\beta)/\alpha}} \exp(\phi(\omega)) d\omega,$$

where

$$\phi(\omega) = m \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(1+i\omega)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha} + i\omega \right] - D(0) \log(1+i\omega) + O(m^{-C_0/\alpha})$$

as $m \rightarrow +\infty$.

The final step of the proof consists in showing that

$$I = \left[\frac{2\pi}{m(\alpha + 1)} \right]^{1/2} (1 + O(m^{-\mu_3}))$$

where

$$\mu_3 = \min \left(\frac{C_0}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \delta \right).$$

Putting all together one now has that

$$\begin{aligned} r(n) &= \exp \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)m - (D(0) - 1) \log \frac{m}{n} + D'(0) \right] (2\pi m(\alpha + 1))^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 + O(m^{-\mu_3})) \end{aligned}$$

as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. The proof is now completed by replacing m by a function of n .

Application of Theorem 2.

Theorem 6.3

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp \left(\pi \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{1/2} n^{1/2} \right).$$

Theorem 6.4. Let $H_{k,a}$ denote all positive integers congruent to a modulo k . Then for $1 \leq a \leq k$,

$$p("H_{k,a}", n) \sim C n^{\kappa} \exp \left(\pi \left(\frac{2n}{3k} \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

where

$$C = \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{k}\right) \pi^{-1+a/k} 2^{-3/2-a/(2k)} 3^{-a/(2k)} k^{-1/2+a/(2k)}$$

and

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{a}{k} \right).$$