

**ERRATA FOR  
AN INTRODUCTION TO AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS**

JAYCE R. GETZ AND HEEKYOUNG HAHN

CHAPTER 1

**§1.10.** The right hand side of the displayed equation in Proposition 1.10.7 should read

$$\{H(k^{\text{sep}})g \in H(k^{\text{sep}}) \backslash G(k^{\text{sep}}) : g\xi(g^{-1}) \in H(k^{\text{sep}}) \text{ for all } \xi \in \text{Gal}_k\}.$$

Pointed out by Bobby (Zixuan) Zhang.

CHAPTER 3

**§3.2.** After Theorem 3.2.3,  $\frac{dg}{dh}$  should be  $\frac{d_{rg}}{d_{rh}}$ .

CHAPTER 4

**§4.4.** In the definition of a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module on p. 115-116 one has to assume that all of the representations  $K \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$  are continuous, where we give  $W$  the canonical topology.  
Pointed out by Yihang Zhu.

**§4.7.** In the definition of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module the generators  $H, X, Y$  must be replaced by appropriate Cayley transforms as in [Bum97, §2.5]. Pointed out by Andrea Bourque.

CHAPTER 8

**§8.3.** In the proof of Proposition 8.3.1, the map  $\text{ev}_1$  is not  $M(F)$ -equivariant. It is only equivariant up to a twist by  $\delta_P^{-1/2}$ . Because of this twist, the map  $\text{ev}_1 \circ (\cdot)_N$  is equivariant as claimed. Pointed out by Marie-Hélène Tome. Alternate reference: [Lau96, Lemma D.3.3].

CHAPTER 12

**§12.1.** The definition of the topology on the Weil group of a local non-Archimedean field is incorrect. See [Tat79, (1.4.1)] for the correct topology. Pointed out by Jhan-Cyuan Syu.

CHAPTER 13

**§13.4.** Above (13.5), “left  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear action of  $\text{Gal}(E/F)\dots$ ” should be “left  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear action of  $\text{Gal}_F\dots$ ”

**§13.4.** In Theorem 13.4.2,  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  should be  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ . Pointed out by Ruichen Xu.

## CHAPTER 17

**§17.3.** The standing assumption is that  $H$  is a smooth *affine* algebraic group. With the exception of §3.11, and Example 12.1 every group scheme in the book is assumed to be affine. Pointed out by Bobby (Zixuan) Zhang.

**§17.4.** The sentence after Lemma 17.4.1 should read “Taking  $I = H_\gamma$ , we see that the set of classes in the geometric class of  $\gamma$  is in bijection with  $\mathcal{D}(k, H_\gamma, H)$ .” Pointed out by Bobby (Zixuan) Zhang.

## CHAPTER 18

**§18.6.** In the last displayed equation on p. 491  $f$  should be  $f_x$ . Pointed out by Bobby (Zixuan) Zhang.

## APPENDIX A

**§A.1.** After the last displayed equation on p. 527, the sentence “Since we assumed the residual characteristic of  $k_0$  is not 2...” should be changed to “Since we assumed the characteristic of  $k_0$  is not 2...” Pointed out by Bobby (Zixuan) Zhang.

## REFERENCES

- [Bum97] D. Bump. *Automorphic forms and representations*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. [1](#)
- [Lau96] G. Laumon. *Cohomology of Drinfeld modular varieties. Part I*, volume 41 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. Geometry, counting of points and local harmonic analysis. [1](#)
- [Tat79] J. T. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979. [1](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

*Email address:* `jgetz@math.duke.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

*Email address:* `hahn@math.duke.edu`