

Construction of Schwartz space

Methods: Coinvariants

BK method } focus on these.
Spectral method.

F -local, drop ε from notation (or can just assume it is trivial)

§1 Coinvariants

Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a space of $\mathbb{A}^n \times S$

- $G(F) \times S \rightarrow S,$

- $\hat{T}_S: S \rightarrow S$ commuting w/ $G(F)$

- $\mathcal{I}: S_G \rightarrow C^\infty(X^0(F)).$

w/ image containing $C_c^\infty(G(F)).$

Can define $S(X(F)) = \mathcal{I}(S_G)$

a try to check $\hat{T}_S$ descends to $S(X(F))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_G & \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_S} & S_G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S(X(F)) & \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_X} & S(X(F)) \end{array}$$

Example: $V \times G \rightarrow V$ (V a v. space)

G -equiv. isom $V \rightarrow V^v$

$$S = S(V(F))$$

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_V$$

$$X = V // G \text{ (GIT quotient).}$$

More complicated examples: see work of G, G. Liu, & Gu.

§2 BK method

First construct $\mathcal{F}_X: L^2(X^v(F)) \rightarrow$, assume F finite order

$$S(X^v(F)) \subset L^2(X^v(F))$$

$$\text{Define } S_{BK}(X(F)) = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_X^i(S(X^v(F))).$$

Obviously fixed under FT.

Not so natural. For $X = M_n$, doesn't yield full Schwartz space!

$$\text{Slightly better: } \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_X^i(S(X^{sm}(F)))$$

This at least recovers Schwartz space for $X = M_n$ (trivially).

Works well for $X = \overline{\text{par} \setminus C}^{\text{aff}}$

See work of Chun-Hsien Hsu.

last method: The spectral method. First some prep.

Prep for the spectral method

§ 1. Harish - Chandra spaces.

(Bernstein: On the support of the Plancherel measure)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Choose } X \times G & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & V = GL_V & \longrightarrow V \end{array}$$

$x_0 \in X^0(F)$

$$\begin{aligned} r_G: G(F) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0} \\ g &\mapsto \log \max(|g_{ii}|, |(g^{-1})_{ii}|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_x: X^0(F) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0} \\ x &\mapsto \inf \{ r_G(g) \mid x = x_0 g \} \end{aligned}$$

The Harish - Chandra space $\mathcal{L}(X^0(F))$ is

$$\left\{ f \in C^\infty(X^0(F)) \mid Df(1+r_x)^d \in L^2(X^0(F)) \quad \forall d \geq 0 \right. \\ \left. D \in U(\log) \right\}$$

(when F non Arch omit D)

Bernstein claiming this reduces to usual defⁿ when $X = G$,
I have not checked.

$$\text{Expect } L_c^\infty(X^0(F)) \subsetneq S(X(F)) \subsetneq L(X^0(F)) \subsetneq L^2(X^0(F)).$$

How to construct?

$$\text{Have } L^2(X^0(F)) = \int_{\widehat{G(F)}} H_\pi d\mu_X(\pi)$$

for some Borel measure $d\mu_X(\pi)$. Here H_π are irreps.
(see 0.2 Bernstein) (Bernstein, On the support of the Plancherel measure)

$$\text{Eg: } L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}}} \mathbb{C} e^{it} dt$$

$$f \mapsto (t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-itx} dx)$$

$\mathbb{C}$ only defined a.e.

FT well-defined $\forall t$ if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Want a large s.s.pace of $L^2(X^0(F))$ on which decorp. is well-defined.

Propⁿ (3), 3 of Bernstein: let $\alpha: C_c^\infty(X^0(F)) \rightarrow L^2(X^0(F))$ be the
 $\exists$ a family of maps $\alpha_\pi: C_c^\infty(X^0(F)) \rightarrow H_\pi \quad \forall \pi$

$$s.t. \quad \lambda = \int \alpha_\pi \cdot d\mu(\pi).$$

This is a bit abstract... for $f \in \hat{G}(F)$ $\alpha_\pi(f)$ is no longer a f^n on $X^0(F)$

Given a Hilbert rep π w/ space V ,

$$\text{Hom}_G(C_c^\infty(X^0(F)), V) \leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_G(V, C_c^\infty(X^0(F)))$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \lambda^\top$$

$$(v, \lambda(\phi)) = (\lambda^\top(v), \phi)_{L^2(X^0(F))}$$

Can write Plancherel decomp as

$$f = \int_{\hat{G}(F)} \lambda_\pi^\top \alpha_\pi(f) d\mu(\pi)$$

$\uparrow$ this is a f^n on $X^0(F)$.

Want to extend the prop'n.

Defn: $X^0(F)$ has polynomial growth if

$$d_X(\{x \in X^0(F) \mid r(x) \leq t\}) \ll (1+t^d) \text{ for some } d \geq 0.$$

If $X^0(F)$ has polynomial growth,

Bernstein proves

$$f = \int_{\hat{G}(F)} \chi_{\pi}^T \circ \chi_{\bar{\pi}}(f) d\mu(\pi)$$

holds for $f \in \mathcal{L}(X^0(F))$.

Gives explicit $\hat{G}(F)$, or more precisely $T_p(G)$.

§2 The tempered spectrum

Let's describe $T_1(G)$, following Berezin-Plessis.
 (Defn: parabolic subgrp, standard parabolic, con thm)

$A_0 < G$ maximal split torus.

A parabolic subgrp P is semistandard if

$A_0 < P$. (can then write

$P = MN$ w/ M reductive & N unipotent
 (Iwas decomposition).

M is the Levi subgrp.

We say M is semistandard if

$M > A_0$. A semistandard parabolic
 contains a unique semistandard Levi subgrp.

Example: GL_3 . $A_0(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in R^* \right\}$

$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & d & e \\ & & f \end{pmatrix} \in GL_3(R) \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & c \\ & 1 & e \\ & & f \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & d & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is semistandard

So is $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & & c \\ & d & e \\ & & f \end{pmatrix} \right\}$,

also $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & e \\ & c & d \\ & & f \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & e \\ & 1 & d \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ & c & 0 \\ & & f \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

Let $\alpha_M^* = \text{Hom}(X^*(M), \mathbb{R}) \leftarrow \text{real v. space.}$

$$H_M : M(F) \rightarrow \alpha_M^*$$

$$\langle H_M(m), X \rangle = |X(m)|.$$

By Iwasawa decomposition,

$$G(F) = N(F)M(F)K,$$

where $K \leq G(F)$ is a suitable
max' compact subgroup.

$$H_P(nmk) := H_M(m).$$

If σ an adic. repⁿ of $M(F)$, $\lambda \in \alpha_M^*$,
define the induced repⁿ

$$I_P^C(\sigma_\lambda) = \{ \psi : G(F) \rightarrow \sigma \mid \psi(nmg) = e^{\langle H_P(m), \lambda + \rho \rangle} \psi(g) \}.$$

If σ is $\square$ -integrable & $\lambda \in i\alpha_M^*$ then

$$I_P^C(\sigma_\lambda) \text{ is tempered.}$$

Not always irreducible, but irreducible outside a
measure 0 set of λ .

$$T_P(G) = \left\{ \tilde{I}_P^G(\sigma) \mid P = MN \text{ a parabolic subgroup, } \sigma \in \Pi_2(M) \right\}$$

Isom classes of $\tilde{I}_P^G(\sigma)$

depend only on M .

$$\tilde{I}_P^G(\sigma) \leftrightarrow (M, \sigma).$$

$(M, \sigma) \sim (M', \sigma')$ correspond to the same
isom class $\Leftrightarrow$ they are GFI-conj.

On the factors of the form (M, σ) have an
action of $i\sigma_n^*$.

Gives $T_P(G)$ an orbifold structure
w/ ∞^1 many components.

Then $T_P(G)$ is an orbifold w/ ∞^1 many components.

We say a $\tilde{I}^G$ on $T_P(G)$ is smooth, Schwartz, etc,

if the pullback $i\sigma_n^* \rightarrow G$ is smooth,

Schwartz, etc.

Notation for later: $\Pi_2(M)_G = \{ \sigma_\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma_n^* \}$

§3 A slightly different perspective.

There is a v. bundle

$$Z \longrightarrow T_P \quad \text{w/}$$

$$Z(\pi) = Z^T(\pi) \subset \mathcal{L}(X^0(F))$$

Should always have a Plancherel ism

$$\text{HP: } \mathcal{L}(X^0(F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(T_P, Z)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(T_P, Z)$ denotes smooth sections T s.t.

(1) When F is non-Arch, T vanishes outside a finite set of components

(2) When F is Arch,

$\forall$ semistandard $P = \text{MNP}$, diff of D

on ior_M^F w/ constant coef γ every $u \in \mathcal{U}(\gamma)$

$$P_{D, u, N}(T) := \sup_{|o| \in \pi_2(u)} \|(DT)_o\| \leq \|u\| (1 + \|o\|)^N$$

is finite.

Here $\|o\|$ is essentially the norm of the inf. char.

Let's explain the ism.

Let $K < G(F)$ be a maxl compact subgroup &
 $\mathcal{F}$ a finite set of K -types.

$$\mathcal{L}(\chi(F))_{\mathcal{F}} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{L}(\chi^{\circ}(F))(\tau).$$

For a semistandard M , $\sigma \in \prod_2 |M|$,
 let B_0 be an orthonormal basis of the
 space of $|M|$ $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*$, obtain

$$\text{ONB } B_{\sigma_2} = B_0 e^{\langle H_P(1, \lambda) \rangle} \text{ of } I(\sigma_2).$$

Assume B_0 consists of K -finite vectors.

$$\text{Let } z(f, \psi) = \int_{\chi^{\circ}(F)} f(x) \psi^T(\psi) |x| dx$$

Should have

$$HP(f)(I(\psi)) = \sum_{\psi \in B} z(f, \psi) \psi^T(\psi).$$

Many cases are in the literature (Bernard-Plessier,
 Delorme-Harish-Chandra-Schlichtkrull)

Should also have

$$HP^{-1}(T) = \int_{T_P(G)} T(I(\psi)) \sum_{\psi \in B_0} \psi^T(\psi) d\mu(I(\psi))$$

§4 Algebraic structures.

Let X, Y be reduced schemes of finite type / $\mathbb{C}$

We say a map $X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic or polynomial if it is induced by a morphism

$X \rightarrow Y$. A partially defined

map $X(\mathbb{C}) \dashrightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$

is rational ; if it is induced by

a rational map. Use this in the following.

Thm: Assume F is not Arch.

Then $\Pi_2(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be given

the structure of the complex
pts of a scheme of finite type over
 $\mathbb{C}$ in a canonical manner. Real pts corr. to $\Pi_2(M)$

Reference: Remond, Ry^n des groupes réductifs
 p -adiques, §V.2.4

First, a unramified quasi-char of $M(F)$

is a continuous hom

$$\chi: M(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ s.t.}$$

χ is trivial on

$$M(F)' := \{ \ker |\chi| \mid \chi \in \chi^*(M) \}$$

$$\text{Here } \chi^*(M) = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}_m^*)$$

Let $\text{Hom}_{\text{unr}}(M, \mathbb{C}^x)$ be the gp of unram. char

Have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow M(F)' \rightarrow M(F) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}} \Lambda(M) \rightarrow 1$$

$\wedge$
 $\mathcal{O}_M^{\oplus r}$

Thus $\text{Hom}_{\text{unr}}(M, \mathbb{C}^x) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda(M), \mathbb{C}^x)$

$u \circ H_M \quad \longleftarrow \quad u$

$\Lambda(M)$ is a free abelian gp of finite rank,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda(M), \mathbb{C}^x) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathbb{C}[\Lambda(M)], \mathbb{C})$$

$\uparrow$
 this is the complex pts
 of a torus, say
 T_M .

If $M = \mathbb{C}_m$, then $\Lambda(M) = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}], \mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{q } \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$$

$$\text{So } T_m \cong \mathbb{C}_m.$$

One checks that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_g: \text{Hom}_{\text{unr}}(G, \mathbb{C}^*) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ \chi &\mapsto \chi(g) \end{aligned}$$

is algebraic.

Have a homom.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Or } M \otimes \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(X^t(M), \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda(M), \mathbb{C}^*) \\ \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda(M), \mathbb{C}) & \\ \text{full rank} & \nearrow & \\ & \lambda & \longmapsto g^{-\lambda} \end{array}$$

that is known & maps α_m^* to $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda(M), S')$

So, what about $\Pi_2(M)_\mathbb{C}$?

$$\Pi_2(M) = \bigsqcup_G G, \quad \text{union over } \alpha_m^+ \text{-orbits.}$$

$$\text{Let } W(M, G) = W_G(M)(F) / C_G(M)(F)$$

Fact: for σ tempered

$$I(\sigma) \cong I(\sigma')$$

$$\Leftrightarrow w \cdot \sigma(g) := \sigma(w' g w) \cong \sigma'$$

for some $w \in W(M, G)$.

$$\Rightarrow G \cong \left(\alpha_m^+ / k_\mathbb{R} \right) / W(M, G)_\sigma$$

where $\ker \cong \ker (i\sigma_m \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{unr}}(C(F), \mathbb{C}^r))$