

Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering



Jonghee Yoo

on behalf of COHERENT collaboration

2019-08-27 NuFact2019 Daegu, Korea

Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering (CEvNS)

Neutral Current

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} l^\mu j_\mu$$

Cross section for zero-momentum transfer limit

$$\sigma_{\nu N} \simeq \frac{4}{\pi} E_{\nu}^{2} \left[Z \omega_{p} + (A - Z) \omega_{n} \right]^{2}$$
$$g(Z_{0}u) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}, \quad g(Z_{0}d) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}$$

 $\omega_p = \frac{G_F}{4} (4 \sin^2 \theta_W - 1), \quad \omega_n = \frac{G_F}{4}$ $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.231 \Rightarrow \text{ proton coupling is not significant}$

Differential cross section for finite momentum transfer

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} \left[(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_w) Z - (A - Z) \right]^2 M \left(1 - \frac{ME}{2E_\nu^2} \right) F(Q^2)^2$$

Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering (CEvNS)

Straightforward calculation given the existence of **weak neutral current** Coherent Elastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering predicted in 1974

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 9, NUMBER 5

1 MARCH 1974

Coherent effects of a weak neutral current

Daniel Z. Freedman[†]

National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510 and Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11790 (Received 15 October 1973: revised manuscript received 19 November 1973)

If there is a weak neutral current, then the elastic scattering process $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu + A$ should have a sharp coherent forward peak just as $e + A \rightarrow e + A$ does. Experiments to observe this peak can give important information on the isospin structure of the neutral current. The experiments are very difficult, although the estimated cross sections (about 10^{-38} cm² on carbon) are favorable. The coherent cross sections (in contrast to incoherent) are almost energy-independent. Therefore, energies as low as 100 MeV may be suitable. Quasicoherent nuclear excitation processes $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu + A^*$ provide possible tests of the conservation of the weak neutral current. Because of strong coherent effects at very low energies, the nuclear elastic scattering process may be important in inhibiting cooling by neutrino emission in stellar collapse and neutron stars.

Why CEvNS? — Dark Matter Coherent Scattering



Why CEvNS? — Sterile Neutrino Search

As Neutral-current is flavor blind and total neutrino flux preserved through active flavor neutrino oscillations, CEvNS is the most natural way to explore the sterile neutrinos. \rightarrow Look for deficit and spectral distortion



PHYSICAL REVIEW D 86, 013004 (2012)

Why CEvNS? — Weinberg Angle

 θ_W is a free parameter in Standard Model. There is no fundamental theory explains its value.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ Z^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_W & \sin \theta_W \\ -\sin \theta_W & \cos \theta_W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B^0 \\ W^0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_{tot} = \frac{G_F^2 E_v^2}{4\pi} \left[Z \left(1 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W \right) - N \right]^2 F^2 (Q^2)$$

$$arXiv:1411.4088$$

$$0.242$$

$$0.240$$

$$0.242$$

$$0.240$$

$$0.238$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

$$0.236$$

Why CEvNS? — Non Standard Interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu H}^{NSI} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{q=u,d\\\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau}} \left[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} (1-\gamma^5) \nu_{\beta} \right] \times \left(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qL} [\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu} (1-\gamma^5)q] + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qR} [\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu} (1+\gamma^5)q] \right)$$

JHEP 03(2003) 011

NSI parameter limit	Source
$-1 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{uL} < 0.3$	CHARM $\nu_e N$, $\bar{\nu}_e N$ scattering
$-0.4 < arepsilon_{ee}^{uR} < 0.7$	
$-0.3 < arepsilon_{ee}^{dL} < 0.3$	CHARM $\nu_e N$, $\bar{\nu}_e N$ scattering
$-0.6 < arepsilon_{ee}^{dR} < 0.5$	
$ \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{uL} < 0.003$	NuTeV νN , $\bar{\nu}N$ scattering
$-0.008 < \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{uR} < 0.003$	
$ \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{dL} < 0.003$	NuTeV νN , $\bar{\nu}N$ scattering
$-0.008 < arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{dR} < 0.015$	
$ arepsilon_{e\mu}^{uP} < 7.7 imes 10^{-4}$	$\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion on nuclei
$ arepsilon_{e\mu}^{dP} < 7.7 imes 10^{-4}$	$\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion on nuclei
$ arepsilon_{e au}^{uP} < 0.5$	CHARM $\nu_e N$, $\bar{\nu}_e N$ scattering
$ arepsilon_{e au}^{dP} < 0.5$	CHARM $\nu_e N$, $\bar{\nu}_e N$ scattering
$ arepsilon_{\mu au}^{uP} < 0.05$	NuTeV νN , $\bar{\nu}N$ scattering
$ arepsilon_{\mu au}^{dP} < 0.05$	NuTeV νN , $\bar{\nu}N$ scattering

Why CEvNS? — Neutrino Magnetic Moment

Magnetic moment of neutrino enhance the recoil energy spectrum at low energy
 requires very low energy threshold detector



Why CEvNS? — Supernova Neutrinos

Large effect on Supernovae dynamics. The measurement of CEvNS will validate the supernova explosion models

arXiv:1702.08713



CEvNS Cross Section

For most of the detector target nucleus, the coherence condition is fulfilled by neutrino energy of

$$E_{\nu} < \frac{1}{R_N} \simeq 50 \text{ MeV}$$

 $E_{max} \simeq \frac{2E_{\nu}^2}{M} \simeq \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ keV}$
Recoil energy is tiny

Largest cross section in the region of interest



Requires a ton-scale detector with ~10 keV energy threshold or very intensive neutrino source

$$R \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^3) \left(\frac{\sigma}{10^{-39} cm^2}\right) \times \left(\frac{\Phi}{10^{13} \nu / y ear / cm^2}\right) \times \left(\frac{M}{ton}\right) events / y ear$$

Spallation Neutron Source: Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Proton LINAC

Accumulator Ring

• Proton beam energy: 0.9-1.3 GeV

Target

- Total power: 0.9-1.4 MW
- Pulse duration: 380 ns
- Repetition rate: 60 Hz
- Liquid mercury target

Yoo-2019-08-27 @ NuFact2019

Stainless Steel

Containment

SNS Target Des Configuration

Mercurv

Cooling Jacket

Neutrino Energy Spectrum from SNS



Neutrons at SNS





Measured Neutron Backgrounds at SNS

SciBath neutron detector Time to POT (50% Fiducial, 20<PEs<200) potTime20 128396 Entries from Indiana University 1.23 Mean 2.613 RMS 100 ns **Observed** 5 140 5 120 140 neutron arrival time 100 2 Time to POT (µs) n MeV¹m² μ s⁻¹MW⁻¹ 2 - Stat. Errors Stat. + Syst Errors 1.5 **Observed** 0.5 neutron flux 25 30 True Kinetic Energy (MeV) 10 15 20

Neutrino Alley at SNS





COHERENT Phase-1 Experiments

14kg Csl detector



16kg HPGe detector



185kg Nal detector



30kg LAr detector



Yoo-2019-08-27 @ NuFact2019

CsI Crystal Detector



• Csl detector characteristics

- High density 4.51g/cc
- Can be built for low radioactivity
- Very high light yield ~18pe/keVee (1.17pe/keVnr)
- Inexpensive ~1\$/g



CsI Detector in Neutrino Alley at SNS





CsI Detector in Neutrino Alley at SNS

Data Analysis:

- count beam-on low-energy events (nuclear recoils)
- subtract steady state backgrounds from beam-off data
- measure/subtract beam-related backgrounds (neutrons):
- neutrino-induced neutrons ("NIN"s)





CsI Detector Quenching Factor



1.76 x10²³ POT delivered to Csl (7.48 GWhr)



CEvNS Observation (CsI)

- The first observation of CEvNS at a 6.7-sigma confidence level
- Smallest neutrino detector ever (14.6kg)!



COHERENT CsI results (2017)

Best fit of data: 134 ± 22 CEvNS events (SM prediction: 173 ± 48 events)
No CEvNS rejected at 6.7σ (consistent with SM within 1σ)



Science Cover 2017-09-15



Science

Cite as: D. Akimov et al., Science 10.1126/science.aao0990 (2017).

REPORTS

Observation of coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering

D. Akimov,^{1,2} J. B. Albert,³ P. An,⁴ C. Awe,^{4,5} P. S. Barbeau,^{4,5} B. Becker,⁶ V. Belov,^{1,2} A. Brown,^{4,7} A. Bolozdynya,² B. Cabrera-Palmer,⁸ M. Cervantes,⁵ J. I. Collar,^{9*} R. J. Cooper,¹⁰ R. L. Cooper,^{11,12} C. Cuesta,¹³⁺ D. J. Dean,¹⁴ J. A. Detwiler,¹³ A. Eberhardt,¹³ Y. Efremenko,^{6,14} S. R. Elliott,¹² E. M. Erkela,¹³ L. Fabris,¹⁴ M. Febbraro,¹⁴ N. E. Fields,⁹ W. Fox,³ Z. Fu,¹³ A. Galindo-Uribarri,¹⁴ M. P. Green,^{4,14,15} M. Hai,⁹ § M. R. Heath,³ S. Hedges,^{4,5} D. Hornback,¹⁴ T. W. Hossbach,¹⁶ E. B. Iverson,¹⁴ L. J. Kaufman,³|| S. Ki,^{4,5} S. R. Klein,¹⁰ A. Khromov,² A. Konovalov,^{1,2,17} M. Kremer,⁴ A. Kumpan,² C. Leadbetter,⁴ L. Li,^{4,5} W. Lu,¹⁴ K. Mann,^{4,15} D. M. Markoff,^{4,7} K. Miller,^{4,5} H. Moreno,¹¹ P. E. Mueller,¹⁴ J. Newby,¹⁴ J. L. Orrell,¹⁶ C. T. Overman,¹⁶ D. S. Parno,¹³ ¶ S. Penttila,¹⁴ G. Perumpilly,⁹ H. Ray,¹⁸ J. Raybern,⁵ D. Reyna,⁸ G. C. Rich,^{4,14,19} D. Rimal,¹⁸ D. Rudik,^{1,2} K. Scholberg,⁵ B. J. Scholz,⁹ G. Sinev,⁵ W. M. Snow,³ V. Sosnovtsev,² A. Shakirov,² S. Suchyta,¹⁰ B. Suh,^{4,5,14} R. Tayloe,³ R. T. Thornton,³ I. Tolstukhin,³ J. Vanderwerp,³ R. L. Varner,¹⁴ C. J. Virtue,²⁰ Z. Wan,⁴ J. Yoo,²¹ C.-H. Yu,¹⁴ A. Zawada,⁴ J. Zettlemoyer,³ A. M. Zderic,¹³ COHERENT Collaboration#



$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu H}^{NSI} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{q=u,d\\\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau}} \left[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} (1-\gamma^5) \nu_{\beta} \right] \times \left(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qL} [\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu} (1-\gamma^5)q] + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qR} [\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu} (1+\gamma^5)q] \right)$$



CEvNS Cross Section and Nuclear Form Factors



CENNS-10 (LAr)

- Built at Fermilab in 2013~2015 and moved to ORNL in fall 2016 (tested at Indiana Univ.)
- 22 kg LAr fiducial volume viewed by 8"PMTs (TPB-coated PMTs and teflon walls)
- Energy threshold: ~ 20keVnr
- Pb/Cu/H₂O shielding for passive background reduction
- Using beam trigger for active background shielding
- Expect ≈140 CEvNS events/SNS-year



Yoo-2019-08-27 @ NuFact2019

CENNS-10 (LAr): Engineering Run

Results from CENNS-10 Engineering run (Preliminary):

- Event excess in time with beam
 - → Consistent with expected prompt beam-related neutron rate
- No event excess observed in delayed beam time window (0.5 events expected)
 - → Limit on delayed neutron backgrounds
 - → Limit on CEvNS cross section



CENNS-10 (LAr): Engineering Run



CENNS-10 (LAr): CEVNS Physics Run

July 2017 to 2019 (current) data: CEvNS physics run

- Light yield: ~4 PE/keV (Kr calibration)
- PSD, energy resolution and threshold appears good to test CEvNS in LAr
- Unblinding the physics run data set very soon (Stay tuned!)



CENNS-10 (LAr): CEvNS Physics Run



COHERENT: What Next?

- Data and results from Ge, Nal
- Proposals for larger detectors: beyond the observation of CEvNS
 - 750 kg detector w/underground Ar (reduced ³⁹Ar): CENNS-750
 - D_2O detector for flux normalization





- COHERENT collaboration observed CEvNS process for the first time at Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- COHERENT collaboration will further establish the CEvNS process using different target material detectors
- CENNS-10 (LAr) is almost ready to report the first physics results → test the N² dependence
- Csl (x2 of 2017) data analysis in progress
- There are vigorous R&D efforts to utilize the CEvNS process for various applications

